

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Madras Bench**

**OA 310/01323/2019 & MA 310/00605/2019**

**Dated Friday the 4<sup>th</sup> day of October Two Thousand Nineteen**

**P R E S E N T**

**Hon'ble Shri. P. Madhavan, Member (J)**  
**&**  
**Hon'ble Shri. T. Jacob, Member (A)**

1. N.Balakrishnan  
No.41, Agraharam, Old Peravurani,  
Peravurani Post,  
Thanjavur District.

2. K.Sankar  
No. 92, Ambedkar Street,  
Vadaseri P.O Thanjavur District,

3. R.Suresh,  
No. 210/1, Vendakottai Road,  
Vaavanpuram,  
Pattukottai.

... Applicants

By Advocate **M/s. P. Rajendran**

Vs.

1. The Union of India represented by the  
Chief Post Master General, Tamil Nadu Circle,  
Chennai – 600 002.

2. The Superintendent of Post Offices,  
Pattukottai Division,  
Pattukottai.

... Respondents

By Advocate **Mr. Su. Srinivasan**

## **ORAL ORDER**

Pronounced by Hon'ble Mr. P. Madhavan, Member(J)

MA 605/2019 filed for joining the applicants together and filing single OA is allowed.

2. The applicants have filed this OA under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking the following reliefs:

“.....to direct the respondents to refrain from applying the New Pension Scheme to the applicants but to apply the Old Pension Scheme and grant them all consequential benefits and Render Justice.”

3. When the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the applicants submit that similar matters are already pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLPs No. 16767/2016 and 18460/2015.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the applicants will be satisfied if the competent authority passes a favourable order considering their case in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLPs No. 16767/2016 and 18460/2015.

5. Mr. Su. Srinivasan takes notice for the respondents and he has no objections in disposing of this OA subject to the outcome of the SLP pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

6. In view of the submissions made by either side, this OA is disposed of with the following direction:

The respondents are directed to consider the representation of the applicants in the

light of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 16767/2016 and 18460/2015 if it goes in favour of the applicants.

(T. Jacob)  
Member(A)  
AS

04.10.2019

(P. Madhavan)  
Member (J)