

**Central Administrative Tribunal
Madras Bench**

MA 310/00710/2019 & 310/00711/2019 in OA 35/2015

Dated Monday the 9th day of December Two Thousand Nineteen

P R E S E N T

Hon'ble Mr. P. Madhavan, Member (J)

&

Hon'ble Mr. T. Jacob, Member (A)

- 1.G.Senthil Kumar
- 2.R.Chandrasekar
- 3.V.Prabakaran
- 4.K.Lakshmi Narasimhan
- 5.N.Sugumar
- 6.Jeyalakshmi Sankaran
- 7.P.Ashok Kumar
- 8.T.S.Ganesh Babu
- 9.J.Pushpalatha
- 10.N.Venkatramanan
- 11.Rajalakshmi Ranganathan
- 12.T.Kalaiselvi
- 13.Maragathavalli Haragopal
- 14.S.Asokan, III
- 15.P.D.Moses
- 16.S.Mallika Devi
- 17.M.Ramesh Babu
- 18.N.Sivasakthi
- 19.Lakshmi Srikanth
- 20.R.Christinal Heps Bell
- 21.Shalini Nair
- 22.S.Narayanan-I
- 23.V.Anbumani Johnson
- 24.M.Kamakshi Sundari
- 25.Chitra Viswanathan
- 26.G.Parthasarathy
- 27.K.S.Venkateswaran
- 28.G.Ashokkumar

29.J.Thamilselvan
30.Marie Lenus Vincent
31.N.S.Rajesh
32.T.Muthu-II
33.S.R.Anith Siva
34.S.Anguraj
35.G.Rajkumar
36.P.Geetha
37.R.Anbalagan
38.M.Mohamed Rabeek
39.P.S.Saravanan
40.P.E.Ramesh Babu
41.M.Kalaikannan
42.S.Mangalambigai
43.A.Sudha
44.V.Vijay
45.G.Sivakumar
46.S.Senthil Nayagam
47.Punitha Sugumar
48.B.Meenakshi Bala
49.A.Balaji
50.L.Sriramanarayanan
51.T.Arulmani
52.N.Ramkumar.

....Applicants

By Advocate M/s A. Jenasenan

Vs.

1.Union of India, rep by Secretary to Government,
M/o Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension,
Department of Personnel & Training,
North Block, New Delhi.

2.The Comptroller & Auditor General of India,
Deen Dayal Upadhyay Marg, New Delhi.

3.The Principal Accountant General (G&SSA),
361, Anna Salai, Lekha Pariksha Bhavan,
Teynampet, Chennai 600018, Tamil Nadu.

....Respondents

By Advocates **Mr.K.Rajendran(R1)**
Mr.Vijay Shankar (R2&3)

ORAL ORDER

Pronounced by Hon'ble Mr. P. Madhavan, Member(J)

MA 710/2019 is filed to condone delay of 118 days in filing the MA for restoration and MA 711/2019 is filed for restoration of the OA which was dismissed for non-prosecution on 06.06.2019. Even today there is no representation for the MA applicant. MA respondents present and they are ready to argue the matter. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the case. Accordingly both the MAs are dismissed.

(T. Jacob)
Member (A)

09.12.2019

(P. Madhavan)
Member(J)

AS