

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CHANDIGARH BENCH**

...
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.060/01116/2019
Chandigarh, this the 31st day of October, 2019

...
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. SANJEEV KAUSHIK, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE MR. PRADEEP KUMAR, MEMBER (A)

....

Saroj Lata, aged 58 years W/o Shri Surinder Kumar Goel, presently working as TGT (Social Studies), Govt. Model Senior Secondary School, Sector 37 D, Chandigarh, R/o House No. 875/1, Sector 40-A, Chandigarh. Group B - 160040

....Applicant

(Present: Mr. R.K. Sharma, Advocate)

Versus

1. Union Territory, Chandigarh through Advisor to Administrator, U.T. Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh – 160009.
2. Secretary, Department of Education, Chandigarh, 1st Floor, Additional Deluxe Building, Sector 9, Chandigarh – 160009.
3. Director School Education, U.T. Chandigarh, Additional Deluxe Building, Sector 9, Chandigarh – 160009.
4. District Education Officer, U.T. Chandigarh, Sector 19-B, Adjoining Church, UT Chandigarh – 160019.

.....

Respondents

ORDER (Oral)

SANJEEV KAUSHIK, MEMBER (J)

1. Applicant, who is a TGT (Social Studies) is before this Court seeking issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider and promote her to the post of Lecturer in History w.e.f. 05.03.2019, the date from which her juniors have been so promoted in their respective disciplines, with all consequential benefits.
2. Heard.
3. Mr. R.K. Sharma, learned counsel submitted that the respondents vide a communication dated 14.01.2019 called for names of the eligible candidates for promotion to the posts of Lecturer in the

different subjects, which included one post of lecturer in History also. He argued that the name of the applicant is at No. 1 of the eligible TGTs (Social Science) but she has not been considered and promoted till date whereby TGTs of other subject, though junior to her, have been promoted as Lecturer on 05.03.2019. He further submitted that the representations dated 09.09.2019 and 29.10.2019 (Annexure A-6 and A-7), filed by the applicant seeking redressal of her grievance that she be considered and promoted to the post of Lecturer, could not yield any result. He, therefore, prayed that the applicant would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide her claim in accordance with law, within a time-bound manner.

4. In view of the limited prayer made on behalf of the applicant, there is no need to issue notice to the respondents and call for their reply. The O.A. is disposed of, in limine, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the applicant for promotion to the post of Lecturer, as raised in the indicated representations (Annexures A-6 and A-7), by passing a reasoned and speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. Needless to mention that the disposal of the O.A. shall not be construed as an expression of any opinion on the merit of the case. No costs.

(PRADEEP KUMAR)
MEMBER (A)

'mw'

(SANJEEV KAUSHIK)
MEMBER (J)
Dated: 31.10.2019