CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH

O.A.NO.2681/2003____

with

____ 0.A.No.3112/2003

New Delhi, this the 6th day of July, 2004

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V.S. AGGARWAL, CHAIRMAN HON'BLE SHRI S.A.SINGH, MEMBER (A)

O.A.No.2681/2003:

- Ishwar Singh Chillor r/o F-16. Milap Nagar, Uttam Nagar New Delhi.
- Smt. Phool Ahuja r/o Q-47, Rajaori Garden New Delhi.
- R.S.Tanwar
 R/o WZ572, Nariana Village
 New Delhi.
- 4. Gopal Dutt
 r/o Sangal Appartment Flat No.86
 Pocket 24, Sector 24, Rohini
 New Delhi. ... Applicants

(By Advocate: Sh. Yogesh Sharma)

Versus

- N.C.T. of Delhi through The Chief Secretary New Sectt. New Delhi.
- 2. The Director Directorate of Social Welfare Govt. of NCT of Delhi Kasturba Gandhi Marg New Delhi - 1.
- 3. The Joint Director Department of Social Welfare Govt. of NCT of Delhi GLNS Building. Delhi Gate New Delhi - 2.

.. Respondents

(By Advocate: Sh. Saurabh Ahooja, proxy for Sh. Ajesh Luthra)

O.A.No.3112/2003:

 Sudhir Kumar s/o Late Sh. Patras r/o 3380, Chrischan Colony Karol Bagh New Delhi.

Applicant

. . .

(By Advocate: Sh. Yogesh Sharma)

Versus

- N.C.T. of Delhi through The Chief Secretary New Sectt. New Delhi.
- 2. The Director Directorate of Social Welfare Govt. of NCT of Delhi Kasturba Gandhi Marg New Delhi - 1.

.. Respondents

(By Advocate: Sh. Saurabh Ahooja, proxy for Sh. Ajesh Luthra)

ORDER

Justice V.S. Aggarwal:-

By this common order, we propose to dispose of the above said two Original Applications. For the sake of convenience, we are taking the facts from 0.A.2681/2003 entitled Ishwar Singh Chillor & Others v. National Capital Territory of Delhi & Others.

2. The applicants joined as Craft Instructors in Social Welfare Department and their particulars are:

"Name	Date of Appointment	. At present
Ishwar Singh Chillor	21.1.69	Retired on 30.6.2002
Smt. Phool Ahuja	5.5.71	Retired on 30.11.01
R.S.Tanwar	15.11.69	Working in HMRP(C) Awantika

les Ag

Gopal Dutt

12.2.70

Working in Central Workshop"

- 3. The applicants were appointed in the Grade of Rs.5000-8000 (Revised) and subsequently earned promotion to the grade of Rs.5500-9000 (In-situ) in the year 1992. The Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions, Department of Personnel and Training on 9.8.1999 had introduced the Assured Career Progression Scheme (for short 'ACP Scheme') for the Central Government Civilian employees in all Ministries and Departments. In accordance with the said ACP Scheme, it is asserted that on completion of 12 and 24 years of regular service, a person is entitled for the financial upgradation.
- 4. Applicants' claim is that they have completed 24 years of service and have fulfilled all the conditions of the Scheme and thus, they are entitled to the benefit of the ACP Scheme. By virtue of the present application, the applicants seek quashing of the order of 8.7.2003 refusing the claim of the applicants and declare that the action of the respondents is illegal and that the applicants are entitled to the upgradation of their pay scales.
- 5. At this stage, it is relevant to mention that the claim of the applicants had been rejected vide impugned order dated 8.7.2003 by recording the following reasons:

18 Ag

- "1. That no Junior Instructors has been granted the financial upgradation in the scale of Rs.10,000-15,200/- as claimed by the applicants.
- As regards 13 Instructors who have completed service of 24 years were considered for granting ACP but as instruction No.53 of Office also No.F.35034/1/97-Estt(D) Memorandum (Vol.IV) Govt. of India, Ministry Personnel Grievance and Pension (DOPT) dated 18.07.01 in terms of condition No.6 of Annexure 1 to DOPT OM dated 09.08.99, only those employees who fulfil all promotional norms are eligible to be considered under ACPS. Therefore various stipulations and conditions specified in the RRs for promotion to the next higher including higher/additional grade. educational qualification if prescribed would need to be met also for consideration under ACPS. next The promotional/Hierarchy scale of Craft Instructor in the pay scale of Rs.5500-9000/- is as Deputy Supdt. in the pay scale of Rs.5500-9000/- and as RRs in case of promotion to the post per of Dy. Supdt. only those officers will be eligible for promotion who are atleast graduate but as per service record of other craft instructors no one possessed graduation. As such the cases of other it was observed that they instructors, being either seniors or juniors were not considered by the screening committee and the cases of the craft instructors for granting ACP Scheme were recommended to only those craft instructor who possessed qualification of being graduate."
- 6. The applications are being contested. Ιt is stated that as per the recruitment rules, for the Deputy post of higher/promotional posts of Superintendent. the qualifications prescribed 15 The applicants are under-graduates and are graduate. eliaible for the grant of said Therefore, they are not entitled to the benefit of the ACP Scheme.

ls Ag C

- 8. Reliance on behalf of the applicants was placed on the Scheme known as ACP Scheme to contend that it is an upgradation and not a promotion and, therefore, the educational qualifications prescribed need not be satisfied.
- 9. At the first blush, we were swayed by the pleas so raised but our attention was drawn towards condition of grant of the benefit under the ACP Scheme. It provides:
 - $\ \ \,$ "5.3 Fulfilment of normal promotion norms (bench-mark, departmental examination, seniority-cum-fitness in the case of Group D'employee, etc) for of financial upgradations, performance of such duties as are entrusted to the employees together with retention of old designation, financial upgradation as personal to the incumbent for the stated purpose and restriction of the ACP Scheme for financial an certain other benefits (house Building Advance, of allotment Govt. accommodation, etc) only without conforming advances. any privileges related to higher status invitation to (e.a. ceremonial functions, deputation to highest posts, etc) shall be ensure for grant of benefits under the ACP Scheme."
- 10. It clearly_shows that fulfilment of normal promotional norms for grant of financial upgradation is a must before a promotion can be

18Ag C

granted. The expression that it should meet the benchmark, departmental examination, etc. are only illustrative and not exhaustive. Once for fulfilment of normal promotional avenues, a person has to be a Graduate, necessarily he must fulfil that condition also.

11. To the same affect is the Office Memorandum No.35034/1/97-Estt.(D)(Vol.IV), dated 18.7.2001 which pertains to clarification No.53. It reads as under:

Doubt 53.- If for promotion on regular basis, an employee has to possess a higher/additional qualification, will it be necessary to insist on possession of these qualifications even while considering grant of financial upgradation under the ACPS?

Clarification.— In terms of Condition No.6 of Annexure-I to DoP&T, O.M., dated 9-8-1999, only those employees who fulfil all the promotional norms are eligible to be considered for benefit under ACPS. Therefore, various stipulations and conditions specified in the Recruitment Rules for promotion to the next higher grade, including the higher/ additional educational qualification, if prescribed, would need to be met even for consideration under ACPS."

- 12. None of the said OMs have been challenged.
- 13. We also rely in this regard on clarification on ACP Scheme. Of course, it pertains to Group 'D employees. It conveys the same meaning as we have referred to above. It refers to paragraph 6 of the Scheme and states that even educational

le Ag

qualifications must be fulfilled. We find no reason as to why the same analogy would not be applicable to the applicants.

- 14. Once the applicants do not fulfil the educational qualifications, their claim has rightly been rejected, because they are not Graduates.
- applicants urged that applicants are being discriminated. Some junior persons have been granted the said benefit. The said contention has to be stated to be rejected because if the juniors are Graduates, they would get the benefit of ACP Scheme.
 - 16. No other arguments have been raised.
- 17. Resultantly, the applications being without merit must fail and are dismissed.

S.A.Sineni) Member (A) (V.S. Aggarwal) Chairman

/NSN/