

Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench

OA 2453/2003

New Delhi, this the 10th day of October, 2003

Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.S. Aggarwal, Chairman  
Hon'ble Mr. Sarweshwar Jha, Member (A)

Bharat Bhushan Lakhina  
S/o Late Sh. A.C. Lakhina  
R/o 99-B, Single Storey  
Ramesh Nagar, New Delhi - 15.

(By Advocate Sh. B.B. Rawal with  
Sh. M.K. Bhardwaj)

...Applicant

v e r s u s

1. Commissioner K.V.S.  
18, Institutional Area  
Shaheed Jeet Singh Marg  
New Delhi.

2. Asst. Commissioner  
KVS, JNU Campus (Delhi Region)  
New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi - 67.

...Respondents

(By Advocate Sh. S. Rajappa)

O R D E R (ORAL)

Mr. Justice V.S. Aggarwal,

By virtue of the present application, the applicant seeks quashing of the orders dated 15-9-2003 purported to have been passed by the Assistant Commissioner i.e. respondent No.2. By virtue of the same, the services of the applicant have been terminated. The grievance of the applicant is that the order has been passed illegally in violation of not only of the directions of this Tribunal, but also even the Delhi High Court.

2. At this stage, we are not venturing into the said controversy. Our attention has been drawn to the fact that an appeal against the said order is maintainable and, therefore, we should not entertain the present application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that since the order is in disobedience of the directions of this Tribunal and is totally illegal, we should entertain the present application.

4. So far as disobedience of the directions of this Tribunal is concerned, indeed the applicant would be at liberty to take appropriate remedy, if so advised, by filing an



-2/-

appropriate application which can be considered on its merits, but when appeal against the impugned order is admittedly available, the applicant must exhaust the said remedy and thereafter, if so advised, in case it is necessary, file application with this Tribunal.

5. Therefore, we, for the present, dispose of the present application directing :-

(a) as the application is pre-mature, the applicant may prefer an appeal in the first instance;

(b) he may take necessary steps, if so advised, in case there is disobedience of any directions of the Tribunal.





► (Sarweshwar Jha)  
Member (A)

(V.S. Aggarwal)  
Chairman

/vikas/