

2

Central Administrative Tribunal
Principal Bench

CP-185/2004
MA-1316/2004
OA-112/2003

New Delhi this the 5th day of July, 2004

Hon'ble Shri V.K. Majotra, Vice Chairman (A)
Hon'ble Shri Shanker Raju, Member (J)

1. Vijay Kumar Malhotra,
Junior Technical Officer (1) A/F
S/o Late Shri Girdhari Lal
R/o House No.BD-830
Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-23.
2. Harbans Singh Balwa
Junior Technical Officer (1) Eng.
S/o Late Shri Amar Singh Balwa
R/o Quarter No.892 Sector 8
R.K. Puram, New Delhi-22.
3. Satya Pal Malik,
Junior Technical Officer (1) Elect.
S/o Late Shri Kishan Lal
R/o Y-344 Sarojini Nagar,
New Delhi-23.

-Applicants

(By Advocate: Mrs. Rani Chhabra)

Versus

1. Kamal Pandey
Cabinet Secretary,
East Block, V
R.K. Puram, New Delhi.
2. Amar Bhushan,
The Special Secretary
Aviation Research Centre,
Head Quarters, East Block V
R.K. Puram, New Delhi.
3. Ashok Kumar
The Deputy Director,
Aviation Research Centre AIR Wing
Head Quarters, East Block V
R.K. Puram, New Delhi.

-Respondents

(By Advocate: Shri M.M. Sudan)

ORDER (Oral)

Hon'ble Shri V.K. Majotra, Vice Chairman (A)
Learned counsel heard.

2. CP-185/2004 filed on behalf of applicant and
MA-1316/2004 filed on behalf of respondents being
inter-connected are being disposed of together.

3

-2-

3. OA-112/2002 was decided vide order dated 20.11.2003 with the following directions to the respondents:-

"3. Accordingly, we allow the OA to the extent that the respondents shall decide the representation filed by the applicants vide their applications dated 5.1.2002 and 13.8.2002 also earlier representation of 1999, the same be disposed of by passing a reasoned and speaking order and also keep the view the allegations of the applicant that certain juniors namely, Shri Acharya and Shri I.G. Abarham had been appointed as JTI-I who were alleged juniors to the applicants. This representation be decided within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and if any grievance survives thereafter applicant is at liberty to agitate afresh".

4. Thereafter respondents were allowed extension of time by one month for implementation of directions of this court vide order dated 11.5.2004 in MA-626/2004. Now, respondents have come up in MA-1316/2004 seeking another three months time for implementation of directions of this court. They are allowed time till 10.8.2004 for implementation of directions of this court, particularly, in view of the fact that the applicant No.2 is retiring in August, 2004. No further extension of time shall be granted. As such, both MA for extension of time and Contempt Petition are disposed of with liberty to applicant to revive the CP, if the present directions are not complied with by the respondents. Notices to the respondents are discharged

S. Raju

(Shanker Raju)
Member (J)

V.K. Majotra

(V.K. Majotra)
Vice-Chairman (A)

5.7.04

cc.