

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.457/2015**

**Date of decision: 19.09.2019**

**CORAM:- R. VIJAYKUMAR, MEMBER (A) .  
R.N. SINGH, MEMBER (J) .**

1. Ammunition Factory Workers Union  
Having its HQ at: AIDEF Bldg.,  
S. M. Joshi Bhavan,  
S. No.81, Dr. Bava Saheb Ambedkar Road,  
Khadki Pune 411 003.  
Through: The Secretary, AFWU,  
Shri Jiju Mathew, Jt. Secretary,  
working as Fitter HS II/PR/AFK  
Khadki Pune 411 003.  
Res at: Flat No.3, Shree Garshan,  
Shanti Nagar, Yerwada, Pune-411 006.
2. Shri Sanjay R. Gabale (980104)  
Mechanist HS. Gr.I/C 4/ 185,  
Ammunition Factory,  
Khadki Pune-411 003.  
Res T. 47/3/337, Ganesh Nagar,  
New Sangvi, Pune-411 027.
3. Shri Shaikh Sameer Husen (203134)  
Line Mistry (F), F1/89, Ammunition  
Factory, Khadki Pune-411 003.  
Res at. Qr. No.35/3, Type-II,  
Ordnance Estate,  
Khadki Pune 411 003.

**... Applicants.**

**(By Advocate Shri R. C. Ravalani)**

**VERSUS.**

1. Union of India,  
Through The Secretary,  
Ministry of Defence,
-



South Block, New Delhi-110 011.

2. The Secretary  
Department of Defence Production  
South Block, New Delhi-110 011.
3. The Secretary,  
Ministry of Personnel, Public  
Grievances 7 Pension  
Department of Personnnel and Training  
North Block: New Delhi-110 011.
4. The Secretary  
Ministry of Finance  
Department of Expenditure  
North Block: New Delhi-110 011.
5. The Chairman,  
Ordnance Factory Road,  
10-A, S. K. Bose Road,  
Kolkata-700 001.
6. The General Manager  
Ammunition Factory,  
Khadki Pune-41 003.

... Respondents.

(By Advocates Shri N. K. Rajpurohit)

O R D E R (O R A L)

Per: R. Vijaykumar, Member (A)

1. When the case is called out, none appeared for the applicant.
  2. Shri N. K. Rajpurohit, learned counsel appeared for the respondents.
  3. This is a 2015 matter listed for final hearing today. There was no representation on behalf of the applicant even on the last date of hearing.
-



4. It appears that the applicant has lost interest in pursuing the matter.

5. In view of above, the OA is dismissed in default as well as for non-prosecution by the applicant.

6. No order as to costs.

[Redacted]  
(R. N. Singh)  
Member (J)

[Redacted]  
(R. Vijaykumar)  
Member (A)

V.

JD  
14/10