

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH, MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.395/2019

This the 18th day of June, 2019

**CORAM:- R. VIJAYKUMAR, MEMBER (A) .
R. N. SINGH, MEMBER (J) .**

1. Tushar Tanji Kaphale,
S/o Tanaji Khundu Kaphle,
S/o Age 26, Occ: unemployed,
R/o Godavari Chawl No.1,
R.No.3, Gaondevi Mandir,
At Mharal, Taluk Kalyan
Varap, dist.
Thane-Maharashtra-421301.
2. Pankaj Ramdas Suryawanshi
S/o Suryawanshi Ramdas
Lotu Age 25 yrs,
Occ; Unemployed R/o Muktanand
Colony, N/R Wadhe,
STD Plot 34, BH Suhas Hotel Bhusawal,
Dist Jalgoan Maharashtra 425 201.
3. Nilesh Arvind Trihuvan
S/o Tribhuvan Arvind,
Age 29 yrs, occ: unemployed
Ramabai Nagar,
More Chawl, Manmad, Tal.
Nandgaon, Dist Nashi 423104,
presently residing at
A/406 Pushpa Jagirdar Hari
Vaibhav Building, Phase/II near
Vastu park, chacak Mala jai
Bhavan Road, Nasik road 422101
Maharashtra.
4. Pavan Kumar, S/o Ganga
Prasa Shukla,
Age Occ: unemployed R/o
Village Mahdeeh,
Dawarpar Dist. Gorakhpur
UP Utter Pradesh -273016.

5. Harshala Uday Sagvekar,
D/o Uday Sugvekar, Age 31 yrs,
Occ:unemployed
R/o A/5 232 NCH colony, Powai
Kanjurmarg West, Mumbai
Maharashtra-400078.
6. Asmita Anant Patil, D/o Anant Patil,
Age 29 yrs, Occ: unemployed R/o 48/3,
Bhai Bhandarkar Macchimar Vasahat,
Badhwar Park, Colaba,
Mumbai-Maharashtra-400005.

... Applicants.

(By Advocate Ms. Anita Swain)

VERSUS.

1. The Union of India,
Rep by its Secretary,
Ministry of Defence,
South Block, New Delhi-110011.
2. The Chief of Naval Staff,
For Principle Director of
Civilian Personnel,
Integrated Headquarters,
Ministry of Defence (Navy),
Sena Bhavan, PO, DHQ, South Block,
New Delhi-110011.
3. The Flag Officer Commanding
in Chief, (CCPO),
Command Civilian Personnel Officer,
Headquarter Western Naval Command,
Shahid Bhagat Singh Road, Mumbai-400001.
4. The Admiral Superintendent,
Naval Dockyard,
Shahid Bhagat Singh Road, Lion Gate,
Mumbai-400023.

... Respondents

O R D E RPer: R. Vijaykumar, Member (A)

1. When the case is called out, Ms. Anita Swain, learned counsel appeared for the applicant.
2. Heard her.
3. After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the OA with liberty to avail appropriate legal remedies.
4. In view of the aforesaid, the OA is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.
5. No order as to costs.

(R. N. Singh)
Member (J)

(R. Vijaykumar)
Member (A)

V.

JD
26/6/19

