

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
CALCUTTA BENCH

No. MA 429 of 2011  
OA 955 of 2011

Date of order : 2.3.2016

**LIBRARY**

Present: Hon'ble Ms. Bidisha Banerjee, Judicial Member  
Hon'ble Mr. P.K. Basu, Administrative Member

RUSTAM SHAH

VS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. (CLW)

For the applicant : Mr. S.K. Dutta, counsel  
For the respondents : Mr. A.K. Banerjee, counsel

O R D E R

Ms. Bidisha Banerjee, J.M.

Heard Id. Counsels for the parties.

2. The case of the applicant in a nutshell is that he was intimated on 2.9.94 by the CPO/CLW in reference to his application, to apply for his employment as and when employment notice inviting applications would be issued from his office. The applicant has claimed that on 6.6.95 one Ramdeo, the CPO (Admn) issued the following letter :

"No. E/57/5/Rustam Sah/Rly-Bd/95/IV. Dated 6.6.1995  
The Secretary (Estt)  
Railway Board,  
New Delhi .

Sub : Re-engagement of Shri Rustam Sah as Sub.  
Khalasi

Ref : Railway Board's letter No. E9Rep) II-95-Ap-2/D/II  
dated 15.3.95

In reference to above it is advise that GM has accorded his approval to the engagement of Shri Rustam Sah S/O Shri Bartullah Sah, Vill. Tiay, Thana Andar, Distt. Siwan as a fresh face sub Khalasi in Group 'D' and the approval of GM has been conveyed to DRM/SEE for engaging him.

(RAM DEO)  
CPO/Admn.  
For GENERAL MANAGER (P)"

3. The respondents in their reply para 6 has specifically denied existence of such letter in the administration, They have resisted the claim on the ground