

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
CHENNAI BENCH**

**OA/310/00905/2019**

**Dated Tuesday the 16<sup>th</sup> day of July Two Thousand Nineteen**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. P. MADHAVAN, Member (J)  
HON'BLE MR. T. JACOB, Member (A)**

K.Sargunam,  
Sr. Section Engineer (Retd),  
3/9, MGR Street,  
West Banu Nagar, Ambattur,  
Chennai 600053. ....Applicant

By Advocate M/s. K. Harikrishnan

Vs

1.Union of India,  
rep by General Manager,  
Southern Railway,  
Park Town, Chennai 600003.

2.Chief Workshop Manager,  
Loco Workshop,  
Southern Railway,  
Ayanavaram, Chennai 600023.

3.Principal Chief Personnel Officer,  
Headquarters Office,  
Southern Railway,  
Park Town, Chennai 600003.

4.FA & CAO,  
New Joint Office,  
Southern Railway,  
Park Town, Chennai 600023.

5.Workshop Personnel Officer,  
Loco Workshop,  
Southern Railway,  
Ayanavaram, Chennai 600023. ....Respondents

By Advocate Mr. P. Srinivasan

## **ORAL ORDER**

**(Pronounced by Hon'ble Mr. P. Madhavan, Member(J))**

Heard. The applicant has filed this OA seeking the following reliefs :

"To call for all the records relating to denial of notional increment on 1<sup>st</sup> July 2014, the year of retirement to the applicant, consequently to direct the respondents to :-

- a. Grant one notional increment on 1<sup>st</sup> July 2010 revise the Last Pay Drawn and consequently to revise the Basic Pension of the applicant as per his entitlement and
- b. To pass such other order(s) as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem it fit and proper and thus render justice."

2. It is submitted that the applicant has given a representation dt. 31.05.2019 with regard to his grievance before the appropriate authority but it was disposed of by a non-speaking order dt. 01.06.2019. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to reconsider the representation in accordance with relevant rules and regulations and policy of Government of India within a time limit to be stipulated by this Tribunal. We have perused the order dt. 01.06.2019. It does not have any reasons stated for the order. So it is cryptic & cannot be accepted.

3. Mr. P. Srinivasan, Standing Counsel takes notice for the respondents and has no objection to the same.

4. In view of the limited submission made, we deem it appropriate to direct

the respondents to reconsider the representation of the applicant dt. 31.05.2019 accordance with the relevant rules & regulations and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. OA is disposed of at the admission stage. No costs.

**(T.Jacob)**  
**Member(A)**

**(P. Madhavan)**  
**Member(J)**

**16.07.2019**

SKSI