

**Central Administrative Tribunal
Principal Bench, New Delhi**

OA No. 250/2018

this the 7th day of May, 2019

Hon'ble Mr. S.N. Terdal, Member(J)
Hon'ble Mr. A.K..Bishnoi, Member (A)

1. Head Constable (Executive) Jeewan Chander
No. 7178/DAP, PIS No. 28980011, Group 'C'
S/o Sh. Tilomani
Aged about 42 years
R/o Qtr No. 56-E, Type – A
Police Colony, Model Town
Delhi – 110009.
2. Head Constable (Executive) Julian Jeorge J.
No. 2462/DAP, PIS No. 28951089, Group 'C'
S/o Sh. Jerald
Aged about 43 years
R/o Qtr. No. 37-H, Type-A
Police Colony, Model Town
Delhi – 110009.
3. Constable (Executive) Keshav Kumar
No. 7367/DAP PIS No. 28070347, Group 'C'
S/o Sh. Sunder Lal
Aged about 30 years
R/o Q. No. 58-C, Type - B
Police Colony, Model Town
Delhi – 110009.
4. Constable (Executive) Dharmender
No. 6996/DAP, PIS No. 28070999, Group 'C'
S/o Sh. Surender Singh Yadav
Aged about 36 years
R/o Qtr. No. M-14, New Police Lines
Delhi – 110009.
5. Constable (Executive) Pushpendra Singh
No. 2874/DAP, PIS No. 28092822, Group 'C'
S/o Sh. Anant Ram
Aged about 30 years
R/o Village Shivnagar, P.O Jakheta
P.S. Shikarpur, District Bulandshahar
U.P., presently at New Delhi.

...Applicants

(By Advocate : Mr. Nilansh Gaur)

Versus

1. Govt. of NCT of Delhi
Through its Chief Secretary
Delhi Secretariat, Players Building
ITO, New Delhi – 110002.

2. Joint Commissioner of Police
Armed Police, Delhi Police, PHQ
MSO Building, I.P. Estate
New Delhi – 110002.
3. Deputy Commissioner of Police
3rd Battalion DAP, Delhi Police
Vikar Puri, New Delhi.

Respondents

(By Advocate : Ms. Harvinder Oberoi)

ORDER (ORAL)

Mr. S.N. Terdal:

Heard. Counsels for both the parties agree that this case is covered by order in OA 233/2018.

2. Perused the order dated 09.10.2018 passed in OA 233/2018. We respectfully agree with the reasoning given in the said order, which is extracted below :

“28. In the circumstances and for the aforesaid reasons, the issue is held in favour of the applicant and the OA is allowed and the impugned orders are set aside with all consequential benefits. Since the applicant was under suspension as on the date of passing of the impugned orders, he would thus remain under suspension and the respondents shall take an appropriate decision regarding revocation or continuation of the same. The respondents shall proceed against the applicant departmentally, as per rules and the applicant shall cooperate in early completion of the said departmental proceedings and the treatment of suspension period shall be dependent on the same.”

3. Respondents are directed to act as per the above order. Accordingly, the OA is disposed of. No order as to costs.

(A.K. Bishnoi)
Member (A)

(S.N. Terdal)
Member (J)

/anjali/