

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Madras Bench**

**OA/310/00523/2016**

**Dated the 10<sup>th</sup> day of October Two Thousand Eighteen**

**P R E S E N T**

**Hon'ble Mr. R. Ramanujam, Member(A)  
&  
Hon'ble Mr. P. Madhavan, Member (J)**

1. T. Ganesan  
5-63, Eachamatti  
Sindhupatti P.O.  
Usilampatti TK, Madurai 625 529.
2. M. Ganesamoorthy  
Plot No. 32, Ist Cross Nancy Nagar  
Budalur, Budalur P.O. Thanjavur
3. R. Ganesan  
18, ChinnakalaiNagar  
Dindigul – 624 002.

.. Applicants

**By Advocate M/s. R. Subramanian**

**Vs.**

1. The Union of India owning Bharat  
Sanchar Nigam Ltd (BSNL)  
Rep. by its Chairman and Managing Director  
Sanchar Bhavan, Janpath, New Delhi – 110 001.
2. The Chief General Manager, TN Circle  
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd  
No. 16, Greams Road  
Annasalai, Chennai – 600 002.
3. K.R. Saravanan
4. A. Nithyajarald
5. S. Arun Vinu Shankar
6. V. Balaji

7. A. Kiruthika
8. I. Suresh
9. N. Sivakumar
10. S. Selvaraju
11. G. Kartik Jeeva
12. K. Charles Muthu Narayanan
13. A. Anand
14. M. Sudalaiyandi
15. A. Mariyappan
16. S. Bagavathykumar
17. A. Pagavalan
18. V. Jeyakumar
19. G. Sivaguru
20. G. Sankaramoorthi
21. A. Mayalagan
22. J. Sridhar
23. R. Srinivasan
24. V. Singaravalan
25. K. Rajakumar
26. V. Manohar
27. P. Kanagalakshmi
28. M. Vasumathi Kannan
29. R. Janaki
30. R. Saravanan
31. V. Sreelakshmi
32. S. Shobana Devi
33. M. Kalaiselvi
34. N. Saravanan
35. C. Matheswaran
36. R. Kaviarasan

.. Respondents

By Advocate **Mr. M.S. Velusamy (R1-2)**  
**Mr.S. N. Ravichandiran (R3-36)**

## ORAL ORDER

Pronounced by Hon'ble Mr.R.Ramanujam, Member(A)

Heard. The applicants have filed this OA seeking the following reliefs:-

“i. To quash column 11 clause 1 in schedule to Recruitment Rules of Junior Telecom Officer (Telecom) in BSNL, 2014 and the consequential letter No. 12-1/2016-Rectt dt.7/3/2016 in so far as the filling up of vacancies under promotion category is concerned and direct the respondents to follow 2001 Recruitment Rules in so far as the existing Telecom Technical Assistants (Direct Recruit) are concerned.

ii. To direct the respondent to conduct examinations as per 2001 Recruitment Rules periodically till the list of existing TTAs (Direct Recruits) are promoted.

iii. To pass such further or other orders”

2. At the outset, Counsel for the official respondents submits that the applicants had sought to challenge the 2014 Recruitment Rules for promotion to the post of JTO for which they had no *locus standi*. It is further submitted that the applicants had been promoted subsequently in terms of the provisions of the said rules and having enjoyed the promotion, they could not now challenge the very rule under which they had been promoted. Nothing survived in this OA and it is accordingly liable to be dismissed, it is contended.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks to withdraw the OA stating that the

applicants did not wish to pursue this case as nothing survived in the matter. She has made an endorsement to this effect on the file.

4. Keeping in view the above submission, OA is permitted to be and dismissed as withdrawn.

(P. Madhavan)  
Member (J)  
AS

10.10.2018

(R.Ramanujam)  
Member(A)