

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH  
HYDERABAD**

**OA/20/746/2017**

**Dated: 22/03/2019**

Between

P. Amjad Khan,  
S/o. Late Mahaboob Khan P.,  
Aged 32 years, R/o. D.No.5/115,  
Bacharao Street, Kadapa,  
YSR Kadapa District,  
Andhra Pradesh.

... Applicant

AND

1. Union of India rep. by its Secretary,  
Department of Posts,  
New Delhi ó 110 001.
2. The Chief Post Master General,  
Andhra Pradesh Circle,  
Hyderabad ó 500 001.
3. The Manager,  
Mail Motor Services,  
Department of Posts, Koti,  
Hyderabad ó 500 095.
4. Mohammed Salman,  
Motor Vehicle Mechanic Group-C in  
Mail Motor Services Division,  
C/o. Manager, Mail Motor Services,  
Dept. of Posts, Koti,  
Hyderabad ó 500 095.
5. Syed Shakeel,  
Motor Vehicle Mechanic Group-C in  
Mail Motor Services Division,  
C/o. Manager, Mail Motor Services,  
Dept. of Posts, Koti,  
Hyderabad ó 500 095.
6. R. Venkata Raju,  
Motor Vehicle Mechanic Group-C in  
Mail Motor Services Division,  
C/o. Manager, Mail Motor Services,  
Dept. of Posts, Koti,  
Hyderabad ó 500 095.

... Respondents

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. M. Panduranga Rao  
Counsel for the Respondents : Mrs. Megha Rani Agarwal,  
Addl. CGSC

**CORAM :**

***Hon'ble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman***  
***Hon'ble Mr. B.V. Sudhakar, Admn. Member***

**ORAL ORDER**

(Per Honøble Mr. Justice L. Narasimha Reddy, Chairman)

The respondents issued a notification inviting applications for appointment to the post of Motor Vehicle Mechanic Group -Cø in Mail Motor Service, Department of Posts, 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in the year 2015. The applicant and several others applied. One of the requirements is that a candidate must hold a valid driving license, covering all categories of vehicles. During the course of verification it was found that the applicant did not hold a valid driving license. Therefore, his candidature was rejected. The applicant sought information about the non-selection, and the same was furnished through proceedings dated 30.03.2016. It was stated that the applicant did not hold a valid license. The said order is challenged in this O.A.

2. The applicant contends that he had a light as well as heavy motor vehicle license and without verifying the record properly, the respondents have rejected his case.

3. The respondents filed a detailed counter affidavit. It is stated that the applicant had submitted driving license which is valid up to 22.7.2014 and

as on date, it is not in force. It is also stated that the license dated 19.8.2014 which was submitted by the applicant was found to be a manipulated one, on verification.

4. We heard Smt. G. Sridevi representing the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Megha Rani Agarwal, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, in detail.

5. It is not in dispute that one of the requirements is that one must possess a valid driving license to drive all types of vehicles. In the counter affidavit it is clearly mentioned that the applicant did possess heavy vehicle driving license but it was valid only up to 22.7.2014 and the one furnished by the applicant dated 19.8.2014 was found to be not genuine. The same was mentioned in the impugned order as well as the counter affidavit. The applicant did not file any rejoinder disputing the said fact. Once it is not in dispute that the applicant did not hold a valid driving license, there is no way he could be selected. We do not find any merit in the O.A. The O.A. is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**(B.V. SUDHAKAR)**  
**MEMBER (ADMN.)**

**(JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY)**  
**CHAIRMAN**

*pv*