

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
AHMEDABAD BENCH, AHMEDABAD.**

**OA No.185/2017**

**This the 07<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2019**

**Coram :**

**Hon'ble Ms. Archana Nigam, Administrative Member**

**Hon'ble Shri M.C.Verma, Judicial Member**

Shri Urvish

Son of Shri Somnath Dave

Aged about 66 years

Retd. Dy. Director (P) of the respondents

Residing at : C/302, Yellow Avenue

Maple County-2

Ahmedabad. 380 059..... Applicant

(By Advocate : Shri M.S.Trivedi )

VERSUS

1. Union of India, through  
The Secretary  
Ministry of Information & Broadcasting,  
Prasar Bharati Broadcasting Corporation of India  
New Delhi 110 001.
2. The Director General  
O/o. DG, Doordarshan  
Prasar Bharati Broadcasting Corporation of India  
Mandi House, New Delhi 110 002.

**CAT, Ahmedabad Bench**

3. The Director  
Office of Director  
Doordarshan Kendra  
Thaltej Tekra  
Ahmedabad 380 054..... Respondents.

(By Advocate : Ms. R.R.Patel )

**ORDER – ORAL**

**Per : Hon'ble Ms. Archana Nigam, Member (A)**

Alleged grievance of applicant, as set out in the OA is for non extending of benefits of 03<sup>rd</sup> Financial upgradation to him, as per MACP Scheme by the respondents. It has been pleaded by the applicant that he joined service of the respondents in year 03.8.1978 as Producer (News) and in year 2008 had completed 30 years of service but till then was given only two promotions. That he is entitled to get benefit of 03<sup>rd</sup> financial upgradation under MACP Scheme w.e.f. September, 2008, he made representation to extend the

**CAT, Ahmedabad Bench**

benefit of 3<sup>rd</sup> financial upgradation on 28.4.2016 but respondents failed to take any action and hence, is this OA with MA for condonation of delay.

2. Notice was directed to be issued, and it was issued on 08.5.2017. Respondents put their appearance on 13.6.2017 but no reply was filed. When, after 5-6 adjournments also respondents failed to file reply, application for condonation of delay was heard on 26.9.2018 and was allowed on that day. Simultaneously, to avoid injustice to everyone, four weeks time, as was requested by counsel for respondents, was granted to respondents to file reply to OA. Despite said order, no reply was filed and on 17.12.2018 upon assurance of the learned counsel for the respondents that she will pursue and ensure of filing of reply by the next date, last and final opportunity was granted to the respondents to file reply on or before next date. To our utter dismay, no reply was filed on

**CAT, Ahmedabad Bench**

the next date also. Hence, it is a matter where only in name of pleadings, averment of applicant in OA of the applicant is there and there is no reply of the respondents.

3. Heard. Having considered the entirety, Respondents are directed to grant 3<sup>rd</sup> MACP to the respondents, if he is entitled to, with effect from the date to which he is entitled to and to calculate amount of the arrear payable to him with interest payable as per rules, at the earliest and not later than in four weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

4. With the above directions and observations, the OA stands disposed off. No orders as to costs.

**(M.C.Verma)**  
**Member (J)**

**(Archana Nigam)**  
**Member (A)**

Nk