

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR BENCH
JABALPUR

REVIEW APPLICATION NO.200/00027/2018
(in OA No.200/441/2014)

Jabalpur, this Tuesday, the 09th day of October, 2018

HON'BLE MR.NAVIN TANDON, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER
HON'BLE MR.RAMESH SINGH THAKUR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

1. Union of India,
through its Secretary,
Ministry of Defence,
New Delhi-110011
2. The Chairman,
Ordinance Factory Board,
10-A, Auckland Road, Kolkata-700001
3. The General Manager,
Vehicle Factory,
Jabalpur (M.P.)-482009
4. The General Manager,
Grey Iron Foundry,
Jabalpur 482009 (M.P.)

-Applicants

V e r s u s

- 1.Dinesh Kumar Choudhary, aged about 53 years,
S/o Late Shri C.L. Choudhary,R/o T-4 Shakti Vihar Apartment
Wright Town, Jabalpur 482009 (MP)
2. Gurdeep Singh Ahuja, Aged about 51 years, S/o Shri A.S.Ahuja,
R/o Shukh Sagar Vally, Polipathar, Jabalpur 482001 (M.P.)
3. Naresh Kumar Choubey, aged about 58 years,
S/o Shri G.S.Choubey, R/o Kachnar Vihar Vijay Nagar,
Jabalpur-482001 (M.P.)
4. Dilip Kulkarni, aged about 55 years,
S/o Late Shri Shriram Kulkarni, R/o Radhika Apartment,

Yadav Colony, Jabalpur-482002 (M.P.)

5. H.K.Bali, aged about 56 years, S/o Shri J.R. Bali,
R/o Narsingh Nagar, Ranjhi, Jabalpur-482005 (M.P.)

6. P. M. Buti, Aged about 56 years, S/o Late Shri M. Buti,
R/o VFJ Estate Jabalpur, Jabalpur 482009(M.P.)

7. M.K. Kanojia, aged about 54 years,
S/o Late S.R.Kanojia, R/o Vikas Nagar,
Krishi Upaj Mandi, Jabalpur 482002(M.P.)

8. A.K.Tamhane, aged about 56 years,
S/o Late Shri R.G. Tamhane, R/o Vivek Colony,
Kanchanpur, Jabalpur 482004(M.P.)

9. A.K.Guha, Aged about 57 years, S/o Late Shri T.P. Guha,
R/o Satna Building, II Floor, Jabalpur 482002 (M.P.)

10. M. K. Kendurkar, aged about 56 years,
S/o Late Shri Krishana Rao, R/o Ashok Nagar Adhartal,
Jabalpur 482004(M.P.)

11. R.L.Tiwari, Aged about 57 years,
S/o Late Shri V.P.Tiwari, R/o Maha Kahshal Nagar,
Adhartal, Jabalpur-482004(M.P.)

12. M.K.Sharma, Aged about 58 years,
S/o Late Shri P.S.Sharma, R/o Vehicle Estate, VFJ,
Jabalpur 482009(M.P.)

13. H.K. Newley, aged about 59 years
S/o Late Shri M.L.Newley, R/o Ashok Nagar,
Adhartal, Jabalpur 482004(M.P.)

14. S.L. Kartikey, aged about 54 years,
S/o Late Shri L.L. Kartikey, R/o Vehicle Estate, VFJ,
Jabalpur 482009 (M.P.)

15. Mahmood Ali, Aged about 58 years,
S/o Late Shri Wahid Ali, R/o House No. 660 Cantt.
Jabalpur 482001(M.P.)

16. R.K.Prasad, aged about 54 years,
S/o Late Shri Ras Narain Lal, R/o House No. 4162,
Ganga Maiya VFJ, Jabalpur 482009(M.P.) **-Respondents**

O R D E R(in circulation)

By Ramesh Singh Thakur, JM-

This Review Application has been filed by the applicants (respondents in O.A.) to review the order dated 20.08.2018 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No.200/441/2014.

2. In the review application itself the applicants have themselves stated that the applicants after due diligence could not produce and place on record the O.M. dated 18.03.1974 of the respondent-department. This fact itself shows that there is no error apparent on the face of the record while deciding the Original Application. Moreover, during the course of the arguments no such type of submissions has been made.

3. It may be noted that scope of review under the provisions of Order 47 Rule 1 of Civil Procedure Code, which provision is analogous to Section 22 (3) (f) of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is very limited.

4. The power of review available to this Tribunal is the same as has been given to a Court under Section 114 read with Order 47 Rule 1 of the Civil Procedure Code. The apex court has clearly stated in **Ajit Kumar Rath Vs. State of Orissa and others, (1999)**

9 SCC 596 that: “a review cannot be claimed or asked for merely for a fresh hearing or arguments or correction of an erroneous view taken earlier, that is to say, the power of review can be exercised only for correction of a patent error of law or fact which stares in the face without any elaborate argument being needed for establishing it”. This Tribunal can not review its order unless the error is plain and apparent. It has clearly been further held by the apex court in the said case that: “[A]ny other attempt, except an attempt to correct an apparent error or an attempt not based on any ground set out in Order 47, would amount to an abuse of the liberty given to the Tribunal under the Act to review its judgment”.

5. Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matters of **Meera Bhanja (Smt.) Vs. Nirmala Kumari Choudhury (Smt.)**, (1995)1 SCC 170 referring to certain earlier judgments, observed that an error apparent on the face of record must be such an error which must strike one on mere looking at the record. An error which has to be established by a long-drawn process of reasoning on points where there may conceivably be two opinions can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record. Where an alleged error is far from self-evident and if it can be established, it has to be established by lengthy and complicated arguments, such an error can not be cured in a review proceeding.

6. It is also settled principle of law that the Tribunal cannot act as an appellate court for reviewing the original order. This proposition of law is supported by the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Union of India Vs. Tarit Ranjan Das**, 2004 SCC (L&S) 160 wherein their lordships have held as under:

“The scope for review is rather limited and it is not permissible for the forum hearing the review application to act as an appellate authority in respect of the original order by a fresh order and *rehearing of the matter to facilitate a change of opinion on merits*. The Tribunal seems to have transgressed its jurisdiction in dealing with the review petition as if it was hearing an original application”.

7. Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matters of **State of West Bengal and others Vs. Kamal Sengupta and another**, (2008)2 SCC (L&S) 735 scanned various earlier judgments and summarized the principle laid down therein, which reads thus:

“35. The principles which can be culled out from the above-noted judgments are:

- (i)** The power of the Tribunal to review its order/decision under Section 22(3)(f) of the Act is akin/ analogous to the power of a civil court under Section 114 read with Order 47 Rule 1 CPC.
- (ii)** The Tribunal can review its decision on either of the grounds enumerated in Order 47 Rule 1 CPC.
- (iii)** The expression “any other sufficient reason” appearing in Order 47 Rule 1 has to be interpreted in the light of other specified grounds.
- (iv)** An error which is not self-evident and which can be discovered by a long process of reasoning, cannot be treated

as an error apparent on the face of record justifying exercise of power under Section 22(3)(f).

(v) An erroneous order/ decision cannot be corrected in the guise of exercise of power of review.

(vi) A decision/order cannot be reviewed under Section 22(3)(f) on the basis of subsequent decision/judgment of a coordinate or larger Bench of the tribunal or of a superior court.

(vii) While considering an application for review, the tribunal must confine its adjudication with reference to material which was available at the time of initial decision. The happening of some subsequent event or development cannot be taken note of for declaring the initial order/decision as vitiated by an error apparent.

(viii) Mere discovery of new or important matter or evidence is not sufficient ground for review. The party seeking review has also to show that such matter or evidence was not within its knowledge and even after the exercise of due diligence, the same could not be produced before the court/tribunal earlier.”

8. Since no error apparent on the face of record has been pointed out by the applicant in the instant Review Application, warranting review of the order, in terms of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforementioned cases, the present Review Application is misconceived and is liable to be dismissed.

9. In the result, the Review Application is dismissed at the circulation stage itself.

(Ramesh Singh Thakur)
Judicial Member
kc

(Navin Tandon)
Administrative Member