

**Central Administrative Tribunal
Madras Bench**

OA/310/01619/2015

Dated Monday the 10th day of October Two Thousand Eighteen

P R E S E N T

**Hon'ble Mr. R.Ramanujam, Member(A)
&
Hon'ble Mr.P.Madhavan, Member(J)**

1. P.S.Ravichandran
2. Kalyani Rajkumar
3. J.Sekar, G.Jayaramn
4. S.Tamilarasi
5. P.Durai
6. Sujatha Sampath
7. S.Mohammed Samiullah
8. M.Selvan
9. A.Illangovan
10. Padmini Manohar
11. V.Ragotham
12. S.Subramanian
13. Chandra Mathrubhutham
14. R.Kannan
15. R.Balasubramanian
16. S.Babu Sankar
17. D.Muthu Manickam
18. C.Narayanan Kutty
19. D.Sivasankaran
20. K.Selvamani
21. T.V.Viswanathan
22. V.Kumaravel
23. P.Ramesh
24. Uma Damodaran
25. J.M.Selvi
26. N.Vittal Das
27. P.K.Basker
28. R.J.Anandan
29. R.Lakshmanan

- 30.B.Vijaya Kumar
- 31.Sudha Gopakumar
- 32.A.Shanthi
- 33.V.Kumar
- 34.M.Rubakanthan
- 35.V.K.Anbazhagan
- 36.S.G.Jeyaprakash
- 37.S.Suresh
- 38.T.Balaramaiah
- 39.S.Adishesan
- 40.R.Sundaramurthy
- 41.T.M.Prem Kumar
- 42.M.Premachetty
- 43.M.S.Abibulla
- 44.V.Seenivasan
- 45.S.Sridharan
- 46.S.A.R.Nishthar
- 47.A.M.Rashida
- 48.G.Rajasekar
- 49.P.G.Rameshkumar
- 50.K.Vijayaraghavan
- 51.Latha Parthasarathy
- 52.K.M.Krishna
- 53.Meena Dhandapani
- 54.Jayanthi Swaminathan
- 55.K.Valsalakumari
- 56.M.K.Radhika Devi
- 57.C.P.Suresh
- 58.A.V.Suresh Kumar
- 59.M.Seetha Rajan
- 60.R.Jeyakumar
- 61.S.Gopinath
- 62.V.Kasi Mayan

.. Applicants

By Advocate **M/s.Ratio Legis**

Vs.

1. The Union of India, rep by
The Chairman,
Railway Board, Rail Bhavan,
New Delhi 110 001.
2. The General Manager,
Southern Railway,

Park Town, Chennai 600 003.

3. The Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer,
Southern Railway Head Quarters,
Park Town, Chennai 600 003.

.. Respondents

By Advocate **Ms.S.Sujatha**

ORAL ORDER

(Pronounced by Hon'ble Mr.R.Ramanujam, Member(A))

Heard. The applicants have filed this OA seeking the following reliefs:-

“To direct the respondents to grant 5400 grade pay w.e.f. 1.9.2008 on par with their juniors on the basis of the order in OA 1075/2010 dated 05.8.2011, as confirmed and affirmed in WP No.1073/2012 dated 3.4.2014;

Consequently direct the respondent 2 and 3 to re-fix the pay of the applicants by stepping up the grade pay on the basis of RS(RP) Rules 2008 or otherwise by granting financial upgradation on the basis of their length of service in the post of SO/Sr.SSO/Sr.ISA/TIA with all consequential benefits including arrears of pay and corresponding Travelling allowances with simple interest:

Issue such further and other appropriate orders or directions as this Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case by suitably molding the relief and award costs and thus render justice.”

2. It is submitted that the relief sought by the applicants was covered by the order of this Tribunal in OA 1620/2015 and 1750/2015 dated 11.9.2018 and the case was being unnecessarily delayed for want of representation for the respondents. Learned counsel for the applicants would further submit that the order of this Tribunal was relied upon by the Bangalore Bench of this Tribunal and confirmed by the Hon'ble Karnataka High Court in a WP filed thereagainst by the respondents. Accordingly, the matter had attained finality and had also been implemented by the South Western Railway.

3. On perusal, it is seen that the respondents were either unrepresented or represented through proxy-counsel till 06.2.2018 and thereafter, the respondents

remained unrepresented on 19.9.2018, 24.9.2018, 26.9.2018 and 09.10.2018. Accordingly the matter was adjourned for today to be taken up on priority and it is seen that the respondents are unrepresented today also.

4. In view of the above and in the light of the submission by the applicants that the matter is covered by the order of this Tribunal in OA 1620/2015 and 1750/2015 dated 11.9.2018, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this OA with a direction to the respondents to reconsider the case of the applicants in the light of the order passed by this Tribunal in OA 1620/2015 and 1750/2015 dated 11.9.2018, if the applicants are similarly placed and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The fact that the applicants were not party in the said cases shall not be held as a ground to reject their case.

5. OA is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

(P.Madhavan)
Member(J)

10.10.2018

(R.Ramanujam)
Member(A)

/G/