

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench, New Delhi**

**CP 699/2017  
OA 3349/2013**

Friday, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2018

**Hon'ble Mr. K.N. Shrivastava, Member (A)  
Hon'ble Mr. S.N. Terdal, Member(J)**

1. Sh. Dinesh  
Aged 53 years  
R/o Village Saba Pur Gujran  
Delhi – 110094  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)
2. Sh. Jagat Singh  
Aged 51 years  
R/o Village Kherli Hafeez Pur  
Mandi Shyam Nagar  
Dist. Gautam Budh Nagar, UP  
Post : Beldar (Group-D)
3. Sh. Sanjay Suman  
Aged 52 years  
R/o 160, Main Raid Kardampuri  
Shahdara, Delh – 110094  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)
4. Sh. Satbir Singh  
Aged 48 years  
R/o Village Paloda PO Mohdin Pur, Ghaziabad, UP  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)
5. Sh. Dharam Pal  
Aged 53 years  
R/o Village Nangla Badi, PO Nangla Badi, Baghpat, UP  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)
6. Sh. Om Prakash  
Aged 53 years  
R/o C-329, Chajju Pur, Shahdara, Delhi – 110032.  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)

7. Sh. Som Vir  
Aged 55 years  
R/o Village Palla, PO Dadri,  
Dist. Gautam Budh Nagar, UP  
Post : Beldar (Group-D)
8. Sh. Manvir Singh  
Aged 50 years  
R/o B-375, Kabir Nagar, Shahdara, Delhi  
Post: Beldar (Group – D)
9. Sh. Iqbal Singh  
Aged 61 years  
R/o D-24, West Jyoti Nagar, Shahdara, Delhi  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)
10. Sh. Surender Kumar Sharma  
Aged 53 years  
R/o A-334, Shiv Mandir Marg  
Village Chajju Pur, Shahdara, Delhi – 110032  
Post : Beldar (Group – D)

... Petitioners

(Mr. Kshitij Arora for Mr. Anuj Agarwal, Advocate)

### **Versus**

Dr. Mohanjeet Singh  
The Commissioner  
East Delhi Municipal Corporation, 419,  
Patparganj Industrial Area  
Delhi – 110092.

(Mr. Manjeet Singh Reen, Advocate)

...Contemnor/Respondent

### **ORDER (ORAL)**

**Mr. K.N. Shrivastava :**

This CP has been filed for alleged non compliance of the Tribunal's order dated 20.08.2016 passed in OA No. 3349/2013 in which the following observations were issued :

“22. In the light of the aforesaid reasons, the instant OA is accepted. The impugned order dated 13.07.2011 (Annexure A-1) is hereby set aside.

Needless to mention, in case any amount from the salary of any of the applicants is recovered, then MCD is directed to

adjust/refund the indicated amount to them. However, the parties are left to bear their own costs.”

2. The compliance affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondents on 17.05.2018, enclosing herewith an order dated 28.03.2018 (CP-1). We have perused the said order. The respondents have worked out the amounts recovered individually from these petitioners and which are required to be paid back to the petitioners in compliance of the Tribunal's order.

3. When this matter was taken up for consideration on 20.07.2018, it was noted that the respondents had failed to comply with the order of the Tribunal. However, an order dated 28.03.2018 for refund of the amount recovered from the petitioners had been issued by the respondents. Today, Sh. M.S. Reen, learned counsel for respondents has placed on record a copy of the refund cheques individually issued in favour of the petitioners, vis-à-vis the order dated 28.03.2018.

4. In view of it, we are satisfied the order of the Tribunal has been fully complied by the respondents. Accordingly, we order for closure of this CP. Notices issued stand discharged. The petitioners, however, shall have liberty to submit representations to the respondents for any residual issues, if they so wish.

Order **dasti**.

**(S.N. Terdal)**  
**Member (J)**

**(K.N. Shrivastava)**  
**Member (A)**

/anjali/