

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI**

R.A. No. 3/2016  
O.A. No. 2169/2013

The 24<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2018

**HON'BLE MR. V. AJAY KUMAR, MEMBER (J)**  
**HON'BLE MR. A.K. BISHNOI, MEMBER (A)**

1. The Chief Secretary  
Government of NCT of Delhi  
Players Building  
Delhi Sachivalaya  
New Delhi - 110 002.
  2. Department of Women & Child Development  
GNCT of Delhi, Delhi  
Through its Director  
1, Canning Lane, Kasturba Gandhi Marg,  
New Delhi - 110 001.
  3. The Secretary, Finance  
Government of NCT of Delhi  
4<sup>th</sup> Level, Players Building  
Delhi Sachivalaya  
New Delhi - 110 002.
- .. Review Applicants/  
Respondents

(By Advocate: Shri Sameer Sharma)

Versus

1. Paramjeet Kaur,  
D/o Shri T.S. Walia  
A-4, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Swaran Singh Road,  
Adarsh Nagar  
Delhi - 110 033.
2. S. Niangkhanching,  
D/o Shri S. Chintual  
House No.492, R.K.Puram  
Sector - 8, New Delhi - 110 022.
3. Mani Kumari,  
W/o Shri Sanjeev Kumar

A-196.197, Manglapuri Phase-2  
Palam Colony,  
New Delhi - 110 045.

.. Respondents/  
Original Applicants

(By Advocate: Shri B. Anand)

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**By Mr. V. Ajay Kumar, Member (J)**

Heard Shri Sameer Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the review applicants and Shri B. Anand, learned counsel for the respondents in the Review Application.

2. The Review Application is filed by the respondents mainly contending that the orders passed in the O.A. required to be reviewed, as this Tribunal while allowing the same, not considered the fact that Staff Nurse performs duties in a hospital for almost 8 to 12 hours, whereas the applicants were not required to work for equal number of hours.

3. The learned counsel for the review applicants further submits that the qualifications of the applicants are not equivalent with that of Staff Nurse of any hospital.

4. The submissions made by the counsel for the Review Applicants have already been considered by this Tribunal while allowing the O.A. and under the guise of the Review Application,

they are trying to re-argue the O.A. once again on the merits of the case, which is not permissible as per the settled principle of law.

5. Accordingly, we do not find any merit in the Review Application and, accordingly, the same is dismissed being devoid of any merit. No costs.

**(A.K. BISHNOI)**  
**Member (A)**

**(V. AJAY KUMAR)**  
**Member (J)**

*/Jyoti /*