

Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench  
New Delhi

O.A.No.4582/2014

Order Reserved on: 08.12.2015  
Order pronounced on 18.12.2015

Hon'ble Shri V. Ajay Kumar, Member (J)  
Hon'ble Dr. B. K. Sinha, Member (A)

Mukesh Kumar Sharma, Age 28 years  
S/o Sh. Bhiaru Lal Sharma  
Gram Ratnepura, Post Garhwari  
Tehsil. Jamva Ramgarh  
District Jaipur (Rajasthan).

... Applicant

(By Advocate: Shri Sachin Kumar Jain)

## Versus

1. Delhi Subordinate Service Selection Board  
Through its Secretary  
FC-18, Institutional Area  
Karkardooma,  
Delhi – 110 092.
2. Govt. of NCT Delhi  
Directorate of Education  
Old Patachor Building,  
Lucknow Road  
Timar Pur,  
Delhi – 110 054. .. Respondents

(By Advocate: Ms. Ritika Chawla)

## ORDER

**By V. Ajay Kumar, Member (J):**

Heard the learned counsel for both sides and carefully perused the pleadings on record.

2. The applicant, whose candidature was rejected by the Respondent-DSSSB, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, for selection to TGT (Sanskrit) Male, advertised vide Advertisement No.01/2013, filed the present OA questioning the said rejection.

3. Relevant particulars of the applicant are as under:

Advertisement No.	Post Code No.	Name of the post	Reasons for rejection
01/2013	14/13	TGT (Sanskrit) Male	Not having the requisite qualification as on closing date

4. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that he is possessing all the essential qualifications, as required under the Advertisement No.1/2013 and hence, the rejection of his candidature is illegal.

5. This Tribunal, while issuing notices in the OA, directed the respondents to permit the applicant to participate in the selection process, provisionally, however, directed not to declare his results without leave of this Court. Consequently, the applicant was allowed to participate in the process of selection.

6. It is the stand of the respondents in the OA that the verification of the certificates pertaining to the essential qualifications would be done at the time of appointment only, i.e., after the applicant successfully cleared the examination. The respondents are using the OMR Technology in respect of the application for the examination. The candidate is required to bubble the relevant Columns correctly as per

the instructions issued vide the Advertisement. If the candidate fails to bubble the required slots indicating his essential qualifications and other details, the OMR Technology rejects the candidature.

7. The applicant along with his rejoinder filed the copies of the Certificates in proof of his possessing the essential qualifications as required under the said Advertisement.

8. The respondents on their part, produced the copy of the respective OMR sheet of the applicant to show that the applicant failed to bubble the required slots in the OMR Sheet.

9. Heard the learned counsel for both sides and carefully perused the copy of the OMR sheet of the applicant and also the copies of the certificates filed by the applicant along with his rejoinder. It reveals that though the applicant is possessing the essential qualifications as required under the Advertisement, as on the closing date of receipt of the application, but in view of either not bubbling the relevant Columns or in misunderstanding the instructions of the advertisement, the respondents rejected his candidature.

10. It is well settled that applications or candidatures or selections normally shall not be rejected by the authorities, basing on the minor mistakes committed by the youngsters in filing up the application forms or in the examinations, if otherwise, they establish their identity and that they are qualified and eligible for consideration of their cases by furnishing the documents in proof of the same.

11. This Tribunal, today, disposed of a batch of OAs bearing OA No.4445/2014 (**Neha Nagar v. Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board & Others**), after considering a catena of cases whereunder the Courts held that the indiscretions committed by the youngsters while filling the OMR Sheets, etc. shall be condoned and that their candidatures should be considered on merits along with others. Since the present OA is also identical, we are disposing of this OA on the same lines.

12. In view of the above legal position and in view of the fact that the applicant was already permitted to take the examination provisionally by virtue of the interim orders dated 23.12.2014 and his results are yet to be declared by the respondents, we are of the considered view that the ends of justice would be met if the respondents are directed to declare the results of the applicant and to consider his case along with others as per his merit, after verifying his qualifications or otherwise satisfying themselves with his suitability, in accordance with law, within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The OA is disposed of, accordingly. No costs.

Issue by DASTI.

(Dr. B.K. Sinha)  
Member (A)

(V. Ajay Kumar)  
Member (J)

/nsnrvak/