

Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench: New Delhi

OA No.4566/2014  
MA No.3339/2015

New Delhi this the 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2016

**Hon'ble Shri Sudhir Kumar, Member (A)**  
**Hon'ble Shri Raj Vir Sharma, Member (J)**

Pinky W/o Sh. Dharmender,  
D/o Sh. Hawa Singh  
Village & PO: Jauti,  
Delhi-110081.

Age: 31 years (Unemployed)

...Applicant.

(By Advocate: Shri Avadh Kaushik)

Versus

Delhi Subordinate Service Selection Board  
(Through its Chairman)  
FC-18, Institutional Area,  
Karkardooma, Delhi-110092.

...Respondent.

(By Advocate: Shri Amit Anand)

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**Per Sudhir Kumar, Member (A):**

Heard the learned counsel for both the sides. It is seen that in Para 4.11 of the counter reply, the respondents have themselves stated as follows:

"That the as per list of candidates scrutinized by the empanelled agency the name of candidates has

been shown as UR category. The board will rectify the mistake & consider the name of candidate in OBC category while processing the result of pending candidates."

(OA No.4566/2014)

(2)

2. In view of this submission, learned counsel for the respondents fairly concedes that they will be correcting their mistake, and considering the case of the applicant as a candidate in the OBC category. Therefore, no *lis* remains in this case to be adjudicated upon, and the O.A. is disposed off, with a direction to the respondents to do the needful, within a period of two months.

3. The O.A. and the M.A. stand disposed off, but there shall be no order as to costs.

(Raj Vir Sharma)

Member (J)

/kdr/

(Sudhir Kumar)

Member (A)