

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH
NEW DELHI**

OA 45/2015

the 1st day of October, 2015.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Syed Rafat Alam, Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. P.K.Basu, Member (A)

1. Yusuf Ali
Age 55
S/o Late Shri Abdul Gafur
R/o D-531, Qutab Vihar-II
Near Shiv Murti
New Delhi – 110 071
Defence Civilian
2. Ammu Kutty PC
Age 55 years
W/o Shri Paul Thomas
R/o 104, MIG Flat, Pocket-1
Phase-1, Sector 13
Dwarka
New Delhi – 1110 075
Defence Civilian
3. Sita Ram
Age 44
S/o Late Shri Puran Chand
R/o D-531, Qutab Vihar-II
Near Shiv Murti
New Delhi – 110 071
Defence Civilian
4. Hanif Khan
Age 54
S/o Shri Anwar Khan
R/o D-531, Qutab Vihar-II
Near Shiv Murti
New Delhi -110 071
Defence Civilian
5. Pratap Singh
Age 54
S/o Shri Sher Singh
R/o M-17/1, Basant Range Colony
Delhi Cantonment
New Delhi – 110 010
Defence Civilian
6. Madan Lal
Age 48
S/o Shri Prem Singh
R/o M-16/2, Basant Range Colony
Delhi Cantonment

New Delhi – 110 010
Defence Civilian

7. Dilbag
Age 30
S/o Shri Ram Kishan
R/o M-16/1, Basant Range Colony
Delhi Cantonment
New Delhi – 110 010
Defence Civilian

8. Ram Vilash
Age 58
S/o Shri Zinpat
R/o H.No.WZ 92E-2
Village – Todapur
New Delhi – 110 012
Defence Civilian

9. Krishan Kumar
Age 44
S/o Shri Munna Lal
R/o H.No.7900
Nai Basti, Ram Nagar
Ara Kasa Road
Paharganj
New Delhi – 110 055
Defence Civilian

10. Jai Raj
Age 55
S/o Shri Kishan Lal
R/o Q.No.34/8, Sadar Bazar
CVD Line, Delhi Cantonment
New Delhi – 110 010
Defence Civilian

(By Advocate: None)

.... Applicants

VERSUS

1. Union of India through
Secretary
Ministry of Defence
South Block
New Delhi – 110 011
2. HQ, C.A.M.S.
Through its Commandant
Rau Tula Ram Marg
Delhi Cantonment
New Delhi – 110 010
3. Area Account Office
Through ACDA
61. Tigris Road

Delhi Cantonment
New Delhi – 110 010

.... Respondents

Order (Oral)

By Hon'ble Mr.Justice Syed Rafat Alam, Chairman

When the matter is called out, none is present on behalf of the applicant, however, name of Ms. Seema Sharma is shown as counsel for the applicant. On the previous date also i.e. yesterday none appeared on behalf of the applicants. Besides that this matter was earlier dismissed in default vide order dated 20.01.2015 where after it was restored vide order dated 16.09.2015 in the presence of the counsel for the applicant and this was fixed for 30.09.2015. Yet nobody responded yesterday. Even today no one is present on their behalf. Therefore, the OA is dismissed in default and for non prosecution.

(P.K.Basu)
Member (A)

(Syed Rafat Alam)
Chairman

uma