

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench**

**RA No.198/2017  
MA Nos.3251, 3252, 3253/2017  
in  
OA No.2906/2012**

New Delhi, this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Permod Kohli, Chairman  
Hon'ble Ms. Nita Chowdhury, Member (A)**

Mukesh Chandra Kukreti

... Applicant

Versus

Union of India & others

... Respondents

**O R D E R (in circulation)**

**Justice Permod Kohli, Chairman :**

The review applicant has no *locus*. He is not a party to the OA disposed of vide the impugned judgment. The applicant further intends to rely upon additional documents to be placed on record in the review application. This clearly establishes that there is no error apparent on the face of record, as the judgment sought to be reviewed is based upon the material before the Tribunal. The applicant is entitled to seek separate remedy.

2. The review is not maintainable. Rejected in circulation. All ancillary applications are also disposed of.

**(Nita Chowdhury )  
Member (A)**

**( Justice Permod Kohli )  
Chairman**

/as/