

100  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH  
GUWAHATI-05

(DESTRUCTION OF RECORD RULES, 1990)

INDEX

✓ O.A/T.A No. 225/95.....  
R.A/C.P No.....  
E.P/M.A No.....

1. Orders Sheet..... Pg. 1..... to.....
2. Judgment/Order dtd. 12.1.97..... Pg. 1..... to 11. (A.P. Common in entries 15 onwards)
3. Judgment & Order dtd..... Received from H.C/Supreme Court
4. O.A..... 225/95..... Pg. 1..... to 24.....
5. E.P/M.P..... Pg..... to.....
6. R.A/C.P..... Pg..... to.....
7. W.S..... Pg..... to.....
8. Rejoinder..... Pg..... to.....
9. Reply..... Pg..... to.....
10. Any other Papers..... Pg..... to.....
11. Memo of Appearance.....
12. Additional Affidavit.....
13. Written Arguments.....
14. Amendment Reply by Respondents.....
15. Amendment Reply filed by the Applicant.....
16. Counter Reply.....

SECTION OFFICER (Judl.)

Sahil  
6.2.18

04 225/95

Page No.

QA/TA/CP/RA/MP No. of 19'

OFFICE NOTE

DATE

ORDER

24.11.95

Learned Railway counsel Mr B.K. Sharma seeks for further time for submission of written statement. Allowed.

List on 19.1.95 for written statement and further orders.

*6*  
Member

pg

19.1.96

None is present. Counter has not been submitted.

Adjourned to 1.3.1995 for written statement and further orders.

*6*  
Member

pg

1-3-96

Learned counsel Mr. B. K. Sharma for the applicant is not present. Written statement has not been submitted by the respondent Mr. B. K. Sharma seeks time on behalf of Mr. B. K. Sharma for submission of written statement. ~~Time for further 6 weeks~~ List on 19-4-96 for hearing. In the meantime respondents are allowed to submit written statement with copy in advance to the counsel of the applicant.

*6*  
Member

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : GUWAHATI BENCH : GUWAHATI

ORIGINAL APPLN.NO. 225 OF 1995

TRANSFER APPLN.NO. OF 1995

CONT EMPT APPLN.NO. OF 1995 (IN NO. ....)

REVIEW APPLN.NO. OF 1995 (IN NO. ....)

MISC.PETITION NO. OF 1995 (IN NO. ....)

P. Naha..... APPLICANT(S)

-vs-

M. O. I. 90M... RESPONDENT(S)

For the Applicant(s) ... Mr. R. Dutta

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. B. K. Shonma, Railway,  
adv.

For the Respondent(s)

OFFICE NOTE DATE ORDER

11-10-95

Learred counsel Mr. R. Dutta for the applicant moves this application, he is present for the respondent.

Perused the contents of the application and the reliefs sought. The applicant impugnes the letter No. E/1/1/LM (Rostr) dated 7-7-94 (Annexure A/6). The subject matter is stepping up of his pay with reference to the pay of his junior.

Application is admitted. Issue notice on the respondent to file written statement. List on 24-11-95 for written statement and for further orders.

6  
Member

Requisition are  
and issued  
v. no. 4543-47 Dr. 20/10/95

lm

Notice issued on  
R. M. 2, 3, 4 & 5 write  
Office on 22/10/95  
W/ statement & hs not-  
w) " been filed

(contd. to Page No. 2)

19.4.96

None is present for the respondents. Leave note of Mr.R.Dutta learned counsel for the applicant.

Hearing adjourned to 15-5-96.  
In the meantime respondents may submit written statement with copy to the applicant.

60  
Member

lm

15-5-96

Learned counsel Mr.R.Dutta for the applicant. Learned counsel Mr.<sup>B</sup>.K.Sharma for the respondents.

Written statement has not submitted Mr.Sharma seeks for time to file written statement.

List for hearing on 19-6-96. In the meantime respondents may submits written statement with copy to the applicant.

60  
Member

lm

OA/XXXXXX/XXX/XXX/XXX No. of 19

OFFICE NOTE	DATE	ORDER
	19.6.96	<p>Mr R. Dutta for the applicant. Mr B. K. Sharma for the respondents.</p> <p>-Written statement has not been submitted.</p> <p>List for hearing on 7.8.96.</p> <p>Liberty to the respondents to submit written statement with copy to the counsel for the applicant.</p>
nkm		<p>60</p> <p>Member</p>
	7-8-96	<p>Learned counsel Mr.R.Dutta for the applicant. Learned Mr.S.Sarma for Mr.B. Sharma, Railway counsel. This case has been listed for hearing pending submiss of written statement. Mr.S.Sarma inform that written statement are in a stage o readiness for submission and he see adjournment for submission of wr statement.</p> <p>List for hearing on 26-8-96.</p> <p>The respondents are directed to submit the written statement before the date with copy to the counsel of applic</p>
lm		<p>60</p> <p>Member</p>
	7/8	

26.8.96

Mr. R. Dutta for the applicant.

Mr. B.K. Sharma has submitted leave on absence.

*26/8*

Written statement has not been submitted.  
List for hearing on 16.9.96.

*BD*  
Member

trd

*26/8*

16.9.96

Learned counsel Mr. R. Dutta for the applicant.

Mr. S. Sarma for Mr. B.K. Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, informs that written statement is ready and will be submitted in about one week time. He therefore prays for short adjournment.

Hearing adjourned to 3.10.96. In the meantime the respondents may submit written statement with copy to the counsel of the applicant.

trd

*16/9*

*BD*  
Member

O.A. 225/95

12.2.97

O.A. dismissed vide common order  
in O.A. 175/95.

Copy of the order be placed in  
the O.A.

18.2.97

Copy of the Judgment  
issued to the parties  
alongwith the L/Advocates pg  
vide S.N.

6  
Member

18.2.97

3.10.96

Learned counsel Mr R. Dutta for the applicant. None for the respondents.

Mr Dutta is ready for submission. Mr Sarma for Mr B.K. Sharma, however, prays that the respondents may be given last opportunity to file written statement on 7.10.96 and adjourn the hearing. However, since Mr Dutta has come ready for his submissions and hearing, he is allowed to make his submissions in part. The hearing is adjourned 9.10.96. In the meantime the respondents shall submit written statement with copy to the counsel of the opposite party on 7.10.96 positively.

Hearing adjourned to 9.10.96 as part heard.

60  
Member

trd  
M  
3/10

9.10.96 Learned counsel Mr R.Dutta for the applicant. None for the respondents.

However, a common written statement for the applicants ~~was known~~ in O.A.161 175/95, 209/95, 224/95 to 240/95 and 210/95 to 212/95 has been submitted by the respondents. A copy of which has been served on Mr R.Dutta. Mr Dutta seeks time to file rejoinder. Allowed. Mr Dutta is directed to serve copy of the rejoinder on the respondents before the date of hearing.

List for hearing on 20.11.96 as part heard.

60  
Member

pg  
M  
9/10

20-11-96

Learned counsel Mr. R. Dutta for the applicant. Mr. B.K. Choudhury for Mr. B.K. Sharma, learned Railway Counsel.

List for hearing on 16-12-96 as part heard.

nkm

M  
10/11

6  
Member

18.12.96

None present. List for hearing on 13.1.1997.

nkm

M  
19/12

SC  
01/8

6  
Member

15.1.97

Learned counsel Mr. R. Dutta for the applicants. Leave note of Mr. B.K. Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.

List for hearing on 24.1.97.

nkm

M  
15/1

6  
Member

29.1.97

Mr. R. Dutta, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. B.K. Sharma, learned Rail counsel for the respondents present.

Counsel of both sides have completed their submissions. Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved.

pg  
M  
30/1

31/1

6  
Member

6

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, GUWAHATI BENCH.**

Date of Order : This the 12th Day of February, 1997.

Shri G.L.Sanglyine, Administrative Member.

Original Application No.161 of 1995.

Shri Dulal-Kanti Deb	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 175 of 1995	
Shri Chitta Ranjan Paul	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 209 of 1995	
Shri Manindra Lal Deb	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 210 of 1995	
Shri Ranjit Kumar Dey	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 211 of 1995	
Shri Krishna Pada Paul	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 212 of 1995	
Smt Kali Rani Sarkar & Ors.	
Legal heirs of late Jibon Krishna Sarkar	... . . . Applicants
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 224 of 1995	
Shri Manilal Roy	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents
O.A. No. 225 of 1995	
Shri Priyotosh Naha	... . . . Applicant
- Vs -	
Union of India & Ors.	... . . . Respondents

contd.....2

O.A. No. 226 of 1995

Shri Kalachand Saha

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

O.A. No. 227 of 1995

Shri Arun Kanti Das

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents.

O.A. No. 228 of 1995

Shri Parimal Chandra Dey

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents

O.A. No. 229 of 1995

Shri Makhanlal Bakshi

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents.

O.A. No. 230 of 1995

Shri Mukunda Ch. Chanda

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents

O.A. No. 231 of 1995

Shri Kartick Chandra Dey

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents

O.A. No. 232 of 1995

Shri Gopesh Chandra Dam

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents.

O.A. No. 233 of 1995

Shri Harendra Kumar Dey

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents.

O.A. No. 234 of 1995

Shri Dilip Kumar Mazumder

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents

O.A. No. 235 of 1995

Shri Ajit Kr. Chakraborty

- Vs -

Union of India & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents.

12  
O.A. No. 236 of 1995. . . . Applicant  
Shri Ramendra Kumar Basak  
- Vs - . . . Respondents  
Union of India & Ors.  
O.A. No. 237 of 1995 . . . Applicant  
Shri Rai Mohan Roy  
- Vs - . . . Respondents  
Union of India & Ors.  
C.A. No. 238 of 1995 ✓ . . . Applicant  
Shri Jadhu Gopal Chakraborty  
- Vs - . . . Respondents  
Union of India & Ors.  
O.A. No. 239 of 1995 . . . Applicant  
Shri Rebati Mohan Choudhury  
- Vs - . . . Respondents  
Union of India & Ors.  
O.A. No. 240 of 1995 . . . Applicant  
Shri Fanindra Kumar Baidya  
- Vs - . . . Respondents  
Union of India & Ors.

Shri R.Dutta, Advocate for all the applicants.

Shri B.K.Sharma, Railway Advocate for all the respondents.

O R D E R

G.L.SANGLYINE, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMNER

The applications submitted by the 23 applicants under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985 are disposed of by this common order for convenience. The respondents Railways have also submitted a common written statement in respect of all these applications.

2. The applicants were either holding the post of Fireman 'A' or Diesel Assistant Driver. They were subsequently promoted to their next promotional post of Shunter.

For the purpose of ready reference and easier appreciation of facts the dates of promotion of each one of them to

Shunter ~~xx~~ ~~XXXXXX~~ 'X' are given below as gathered from the respective applications under consideration :-

1.	Sri D.K. Deb	1.1.1986
2.	" C.R.Paul	1.3.1985
3.	" M.L.Deb	14.2.1985
4.	" R.K.Dey	11.2.1984
5.	" K.P.Paul	6.12.1985
6.	" J.K.Sarkar	7.6.1984
7.	" M.L.Roy	19.2.1985
8.	" P. Naha	1.3.1985
9.	" K. Saha	14.2.1985
10.	" A.K.Das	14.12.1985
11.	" P.C.Dey	1.6.1984
12.	" M.L.Bakshi	14.2.1985
13.	" M.C.Chanda	13.6.1984
14.	" K.C.Dey	22.1.1985
15.	" G.C.Dam	1.3.1985
16.	" H.K.Dey	14.2.1985
17.	" D.K.Mazumder	1.3.1985
18.	" A.K.Chakraborty	30.1.1986
19.	" R.K.Basak	1.3.1985
20.	" R.M.Roy	14.10.1985
21.	" J.G.Chakraborty	8.12.1985
22.	" R.M.Choudhury	31.5.1984
23.	" F.K.Baidya	25.1.1989

3. The categories of employees known as Fireman 'A' and Diesel Assistant Driver are called running staff in the Railways. The applicants belonged to these categories as the case may be. Restructuring of all running staff categories were affected with effect from 1.1.1984 on proforma fixation and the monetary benefits consequent thereto were given with effect from 1.1.1985 but the categories of Fireman 'A' and Diesel Assistant Driver were not restructured. These categories were, however, given the benefit of Special Pay at the rate

of Rs.15/- per month. This special Pay was admissible to 30% of the ~~post~~ in each category. This Special Pay was given in order of seniority in each category of post. The Special Pay was given with effect from 1.7.1985. This Special Pay was, however, abolished with effect from 1.1.1986 as a result of 4th Central Pay Commission but the same was allowed to be taken into account in the fixation of pay of Fireman 'A' and Diesel Assistant Driver. The grievance of the applicant is that many employees junior to the applicants as Fireman 'A' or Diesel Assistant Driver were drawing higher pay than the applicants because of fixation of pay due to merger of the Special Pay which was taken into consideration while fixing the pay of the juniors. They had made representation before the competent authorities of the respondents but their representations were rejected on the following grounds :

"If in the lower grades the junior employee drawn higher rate of pay than the senior employee due to advance increment or accelerated promotion etc., the stepping up of pay of senior employee will not be applicable."

They made further representations but no reply was given by the respondents. Hence this application.

4. The respondents have defended their case stating that the pay of Shunter/~~Fireman~~ 'X' promoted prior to 1.1.1986 were fixed at lower stages whereas the pay of those enjoying the Special Pay was fixed at higher stages on promotion as Shunter in the revised grade. Some of the applicants got their promotion to the post of Shunter/~~Fireman~~ 'X' before 1.7.1985, that is, the date of effect of Special Pay, and they did not enjoy the benefit of Special Pay as Fireman 'A' or Diesel Assistant Driver. As a result, there cannot be any question of stepping up of their pay while fixing their pay with effect

from 1.1.1986 consequent to the 4th Central Pay Commission. They further state that, <sup>in respect of</sup> two applicants, namely, Dulai Kanti Deb and Shri Ajit Kr. Chakraborty who were promoted after 1.1.1986 the benefit of fixation of their pay after taking into consideration of special pay of Rs.15/- per month drawn by them had already been granted. Learned counsel Mr R. Dutta appearing for the applicants submitted that the stand of the respondents in rejecting the prayer of the applicants to step up their pay is not sustainable as it is not in accordance with FR 22 C and the Notification No. PC-IV/86/ RSRP/1 dated 19.9.1986 issued by the Ministry of Transport, Department of Railways (Railway Board). Mr Dutta relies on Note 7 of Rule 7 thereunder and submitted that all conditions laid down under this Note were fulfilled by the applicants and as such their prayer is justified. Mr B.K. Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, on the other hand opposes the contention of Mr Dutta.

5. The respondents have stated in the written statement that all the applicants except Jadhu Gopal Chakraborty (O.A. No. 238/95), Dulal Kanti Deb (O.A. 161/95) and Sri Ajit Kr. Chakraborty (O.A. 235/95) were promoted as Shunter/~~Driver~~ before 1.7.1985. Since this is the material date it has to be mentioned here that the dates of promotion to Shunter as given by the applicants K.P. Paul as 6.12.85, A.K. Das as 14.12.1985, R.M. Roy as 14.10.85 and J.G. Chakraborty as 8.12.85 are not same with the dates given by the respondents in a sheet at Annexure V to the written statement. These above mentioned cases are specifically mentioned because it will be relevant whether they were drawing Special Pay as Diesel Assistant Driver from 1.7.1985 to

the alleged date of their promotion to Shunter. In the case of all other applicants except the four mentioned and above/ A.Chakraborty, D.K.Deb and F.K.Baidya their dates of promotion to Shunter were before 1.7.1985. All these applicants were not therefore enjoying Special Pay of Rs.15/- per month as Diesel Assistant Driver/Fireman 'A'. he states that, Even in the case of K.P.Paul though/he was Diesel Assistant Driver on 1.7.1985 he has not stated in his application that he was drawing Special Pay after 1.7.1988. Similar is the case of Shri J.G.Chakraborty. Sri A.K.Das and R.M. Roy also have not stated that they were drawing special pay from 1.7.85 to the dates of their promotion. In the case of F.K.Baidya, the question of drawing special pay according to him, of Rs.15/- per month does not arise as/due to disciplinary proceeding he was removed from service in 1981 and was reinstated on 23.2.1989 and given promotion as Shunter on 25.1.1989. He has not stated in this application that he was paid arrear of Special Pay after reinstatement. Also if the date of 26.3.1985 was the date of his promotion to Shunter as stated by the respondents in Annexure-V the question of drawing Special Pay by him as Diesel Assistant Driver after 1.7.1985 does not arise.

6. Note 7 aforesaid reads as follows:

"Note 7: In case, where a senior Railway servant promoted to a higher post before the 1st day of January, 1986 drawn less pay in the revised scale than his junior who is promoted to the higher post on or after the 1st day of January, 1986, the pay of the Senior Railway servant should be stepped up to an amount equal to the pay as fixed for his junior in that higher post. The stepping up should be done with effect from the date of promotion of the junior Railway servant subject to fulfilment of the following conditions, namely,

12

- (a) both the junior and the senior Railway servants should belong to the same cadre and the posts in which they have been promoted should be identical in the same cadre.
- (b) the pre-revised and revised scales of pay of the lower and higher posts in which they are entitled to draw pay should be identical; and
- (c) the anomaly should be directly as a result of the application of the provisions of Rule 2018B(FR22C) of Indian Railway Establishment Code Volume II or any other Rule or order regulating pay fixation on such promotion in the revised scale. If even in the lower post, the junior officer was drawing more pay in the pre-revised scale than the senior by virtue of any advance increments granted to him, provisions of this Note need not be invoked to step up the pay of the senior officer."

Mr Dutta submits that all the conditions mentioned above are fulfilled in his case and further that the last sentence "if even ..... officer" does not apply to the present case of the applicants. Before proceeding further it is essential to reproduce here Rule 2018(B) of Indian Railway Establishment Code Volume II :

"2018-B (F.R. 22C)-Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules, where a railway servant holding a post in a substantive, temporary or officiating capacity is promoted or appointed in a substantive, temporary or officiating capacity to another post carrying duties and responsibilities or greater importance than those attaching to the post held by him, his initial pay in the time-scale of the higher post shall be fixed at the stage next above the pay notionally arrived at by increasing his pay in respect of the lower post by one increment at the stage at which such pay has accrued."

The subject matter in these applications is fixation of pay of the applicants in the grade of Shunter, in view of the fact that their juniors in the grade of Diesel Assistant Driver, Fireman 'A' were drawing higher pay than them on their (junior) promotion to the post of Shunter.

7. As indicated above Mr Dutta has relied on Note 7 of the Notification dated 19.9.1986 submitted by the respondents with their written statement in his submission though it is seen that this Notification does not find mentioned in any of the Original Applications under consideration. The employees junior to the applicants in the grade of Diesel Assistant Driver/Fireman 'A' who drew more pay than the applicants on promotion as Shunter are the following according to Annexure-V to the written statement.

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date of promotion to Shunter</u>
1.	Sri Santosh Rn.Sarkar	16.1.86
2.	" Gopal Ch. Dey	9.1.86
3.	" Motilal Majumder	9.1.86
4.	" N.D.Chakraborty	8.10.88

Therefore all these juniors were promoted after 1.1.1986. The Special Pay of Rs.15/- per month which they were drawing between 1.7.1985 and 31.12.1985 was abolished with effect from 1.1.1986 but this Special Pay was taken into consideration for the purpose of fixation of their pay in the revised scale of pay of Diesel Assistant Driver as a result of the 4th Pay Commission. Consequently on their promotion as Shunter after 1.1.1986 they carried with them this benefit and their pay in the scale of Shunter was accordingly fixed. According to para 5 and 6 of the written statement of the respondents and Annexure-V thereto all the applicants except Jadu Gopal Chakraborty (O.A.238/95), Dulal Kanti Deb (O.A.161/95) and Ajit Kr. Chakraborty (O.A.235/95) were promoted as Shunter before 1.7.1985 and they did not draw their special pay of Rs.15/-per month which came into effect from 1.7.1985 in the scale of pay of Diesel Assistant Driver/Firemen 'A'. No rejoinder has been submitted by any

of the applicants to these statements made by the respondents and they have not been sought to be controverted. It may also be mentioned here that although one common written statement has been submitted by the respondents in respect of the 23 applicants, the learned counsel for the applicants has chosen to proceed for hearing on the basis of this common written statement in respect of all the applications. Therefore, these statements of the respondents are taken to be correct. It is the contention of the respondents that stepping up of pay is permissible only when two persons are in the same grade, same pay scale and the anomaly arises in the same pay scale. It is seen from the facts stated above that the case of the 20 applicants who were promoted to Shunter before 1.7.1985 is not the same with that of the juniors. It is evident that the senior employees, namely the applicants, had not drawn the same pay as the juniors in the scale of pay of Diesel Assistant Driver/Fireman 'A' as the applicants were promoted before 1.7.1985 to Shunter and did not draw the Special Pay of Rs.15/-per month. These 20 applicants were not also in the same cadre of Diesel Assistant Driver/Fireman 'A' as the juniors as on and after 1.7.1985. In view of these facts there cannot be any anomaly in fixation of their pay in the scale of pay in the scale of pay of Shunter with reference to the pay of the juniors who were promoted after 1.1.1986 to Shunter and who stand in a different footing. In the light of the above the 20 applicants cannot succeed in their respective applications now under consideration.

8. In the case of Jadu Gopal Chakraborty (O.A.238/95) the respondents have stated in the written statement that he drew the Special Pay of Rs.15/-per month with effect from 1.7.1985 to 30.12.1985 as he was promoted to the post of Shunter on 31.12.1985. It appears that he was not allowed

higher fixation of pay because he was promoted to Shunter on 31.12.1985. Note 7 aforesaid permits stepping up of pay of a senior employee promoted before 1.1.1986 with reference to the pay of a junior promoted on or after 1.1.1986 in the higher post subject to fulfilment of the conditions laid down thereunder. In view of this fact and the fact that Shri Chakraborty was drawing Special Pay of Rs.15/- per month till 30.12.1985, that is one day before his promotion, I consider that it will be fair to direct the respondents to re-consider his case with reference to the facts of his case and the Notification No.PC-IV/86/RSRP/1 dated 19.9.1986. They are therefore accordingly directed and they should do so on merit. They shall issue a final order within 3 months from the date of receipt of this order by respondent No.3, the Chief Personnel Officer, N.F.Railway, Maligaon, Guwahati. The application is disposed of accordingly.

9. In the case of Dulal Kanti Deb (O.A.161/95) and Ajit Kr.Chakraborty (O.A.235/95) the respondents have submitted that these 2 applicants were promoted after 1.1.1986 and their pay in the revised scale had since been refixed by taking into account Special Pay of Rs.15/-. Mr Dutta submits that the applicants had not actually received benefit of the refixation. The respondents are therefore directed to pay these 2 applicants their dues as a result of refixation of their pay within 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order by respondent No.3 if they had not already been paid. The O.A.Nos.161/95 & 235/95 are disposed of accordingly.

10. The Original Applications of other applicants excluding O.A.Nos.161/95, 235/95 and 238/95 are dismissed. No order as to costs.

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

GUWAHATI BENCH : GUWAHATI

9 OCT 1995

584  
Guwahati Bench  
General Enquiry

(An application U/S. 19 of the A.T. Act)

Original Application No. 225 of 1995.

2  
Filed by  
Smt. (Associate)

Shri P. Naha. : Applicant.

- Versus -

Union of India & Others. : Respondents.

I N D E X

Sl.No.	Particulars.	Annexure No.	Page.
1.	Application		1 to 8.
2.	Rly. Board's letter No. PC/ III/84/UPG/19 dt. 26.6.85.	A/1.	9 to 13.
3.	Chart showing the date of promotion, pay etc. of the applicant and his junior.	A/2.	14.
4.	Rly. Boards letter No. PC/60/ FP-1 dt. 19.03.66	A/3.	15.
5.	Applicant's representation dated 9.5.93	A/4.	16.
6.	Senior Divisional Personnel Officer's D.O. letter No. E/ 41/1/LM(Rstr.) dt. 8.11.93.	A/5.	17.
7.	Divisional Railway Manager (P)'s letter No. E/41/1/LM(Restr.) dt. 7.7.94.	A/6.	18.
8.	Applicant's representation dt. 18.8.94 to the Chief Operating Manager, N.F. Rail- way, Maligaon, Guwahati -11.	A/7.	19 to 20.

22-623 by

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH : GUWAHATI

(An application under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunal Act 1985)

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 1995.

Shri Priyatosh Naha, Son of Late M.C. Naha,  
residing at Qrs. No. T/50/A, Lower Babu  
Patty, P.O. Lumding, District - Nowgaon,  
Assam, Pin - 7824

.... Applicant.

- Versus -

1. Union of India represented by the  
General Manager, N.F. Railway,  
Maligaon, Guwahati - 781011.
2. Chief Operating Manager, N.F. Railway,  
Maligaon, Guwahati - 781011.
3. Chief Personnel Officer, N.F. Railway,  
Maligaon, Guwahati - 781011.
4. Divisional Railway Manager,  
N.F. Railway, Lumding - 782447.
5. Senior Divisional Personnel Officer,  
N.F. Railway, Lumding - 782447.

.... Respondent.

1. Subject matter of the Application: stepping up  
of pay of the applicant.

2x ~~jurisdiction~~

2. Jurisdiction of the Tribunal :-

The applicant declares that the subject matter is within the jurisdiction of the Hon'ble Tribunal.

3. Limitation :-

The applicant submits that application is within the period of limitation.

4. Facts of the Case :-

4.1. That, the applicant is a citizen of India and is entitled to rights and privileges available to citizen of India.

4.2. That, the applicant was appointed on 25.10.64 as Engine Cleaner in the Mechanical Department of N.F. Railway and was posted at Lumding Loco Shed. That, he was promoted to the post of Diesel Assistant Driver (in short DAD) on 7.10.93 in Scale Rs.290-360/- . On 1.3.85 the applicant was promoted as Shunter in Scale Rs. 290-400/- . On 13.04.88 the applicant was promoted to the post of Goods Driver in Scale Rs.1360-2200/- .

4.3. That, the Railway Board, vide letter No. PC/III/84/UPG/19 dtd. 26.6.86 issued Orders for Cadre review and restructuring of Group 'C' and Group 'D' Staff. In respect of Fireman 'A' and DAD the

the special pay @ Rs. 15/- per month to the extant of 30% of the post in each category was sanctioned with effect from 1.7.85. After, introduction of the revised Scale of pay with effect from 1.1.86 the element of special pay was to be taken into account in the fixation of pay of Fireman and DAD. The above benefit of arrears were made to the concerned staff vide Bill No. 450-ER/No.1166 dt. 14.6.93.

A copy of the Railway Board's letter No. PC/III/84/UPG/19 dt. 26.6.86 (relevant portion) is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE - A/1.

4.4. That, Shri N.D. Chakraborty, Shri Moti Mazumder, Shri Gopal Dey-II, Shri Santosh Sarkar, Shri J. Uddin, Shri P.K. Goswami, Shri B.D. Roy, Shri K.C. Roy who are junior to the applicant as DAD.

4.5. That, Shri J. Uddin, Shri B.D. Roy, Shri P.K. Goswami, and Shri K.C. Roy who are junior to the applicant at the DAD and were drawing Pay of Rs. 302/- in 1983 when the applicant pay as DAD was also Rs. 302/-. But due to merger of special pay of the above juniors were fixed at higher stage ~~as~~ after they were given the benefit of special pay and its merger.

A copy of comparative Chart ~~showing~~ showing the pay etc. of the applicant and his juniors ~~are~~ annexed herewith as ANNEXURE - A/2.

4.6. That, in the year 1966, Railway Board under letter No. PC/60/PP1 dtd. 19.3.66, communicated sanction of the President that when a Railway servant promoted or appointed to a higher post on or after 1.4.61 draw a lower rate of pay in that post then another Railway servant junior to him in the lower grade and promoted or appointed subsequently to another identical post, the pay of the senior employee in the higher post should be stepped up to a figure equal to the pay as fixed for the junior employee in that higher post from the date of promotion or appointment of the junior employee.

*copy*  
An extract/letter is Published in the Railway Establishment Manual Law and Practice by Shri M.L. Jand, Assistant Professor, Railway Staff Training College, Vadodara, is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE - A/3.

4.7. That, as the pay of the juniors of the applicant were higher than that of the applicant, the applicant represented to the Divisional Railway Manager on 9.6.93 for stepping up of his pay to the stage where his juniors pay have been fixed on being promoted as Shunters and Drivers.

A copy of the said representation is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE - A/4.

4.8. That, the Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, N.F. Railway, Lumding(Respt. No.5) made a proposal for stepping of the pay of the senior employee including the applicant and submitted the same to the accounts branch for voting but the Account Branch returned the proposal suggesting submission of papers to Chief Personnel Officer, N.F. Railway, Maligaon for clarification. Accordingly, Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, N.F. Railway, Lumding sought the clarification to the Chief Personnel Officer, N.F. Railway, Maligaon (Respt.No.3) vide D.O. letter No.E/41/1/LM(Restr.) dated 8.11.93.

A copy of the said letter No. E/41/1/LM(Restr.) dt. 8.11.93 is annexed herewith as  
ANNEXURE - A/3.

4.9. That, the Divisional Railway Manager, N.F. Railway, Lumding vide letter No. E/41/1/LM(Restr.) dt. 7.7.94 informed Shri C.R. Paul and others who made representations for stepping up that a reference was made to Head quarters for clarification and the General Manager(P), N.F. Railway, Maligaon has passed the following Orders :-

"If in the lower grade the junior employees draws higher rate of pay than the senior employee,due to advance increment of accelerated promotion etc. the stepping up of pay of the senior employee will not be applicable."

A copy of the letter No.E/41/1/LM(Restr.) dt. 7.7.94 is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE-A/6.

4.10. That, as the clarification given by the General Manager(P), N.F. Railway, Maligaon was not on correct appreciation of facts and the Orders of the President, the applicant made a representation to the Chief Operating Manager(P), N.F. Railway, Maligaon on 18.8.94 for re-examination of the applicant's case in its proper perspective and to set right the injustice done to the applicant. But no reply has been received by the applicant as yet.

A copy of the representation dated 18.8.94 is annexed here-with as ANNEXURE - A/7.

5. Ground for Relief :-

5.1. That, as the pay of the applicant's juniors were fixed at a higher stage than that of the applicant as a measure of restructuring benefit, the applicant is entitled to the benefit of stepping up rule and to be fixed at the stage where his juniors have been fixed as the applicant and his juniors belong to same Cadre and the pay of the juniors were fixed at a higher stage not as a result of accelerated promotion or advance increment but due to special pay granted to the DADs for Cadre restructuring.

5.2. That, the applicant is being paid less salary due to refusal of the respondents to step-up his pay as per the Orders of the President.

6. Details of the remedies exhausted :-

That, the applicant has represented to Respondent No. 2 and 4, the Chief Operating Manager (P), N.F. Railway, Maligaon and Divisional Railway Manager, N.F. Railway, Lumding for stepping up of his pay.

7. That, the applicant declares that he has not previously filed any application, Writ Petition or Suit in respect of the subject matter of this application in any other Court or Bench of the Tribunal nor any such application, Writ or Suit is pending before any of them.

8. Relief Sought :-

On the facts and circumstances submitted above the applicant humbly prays for :-

Issue of a direction to the respondents for refixation of his pay on the basis of stepping up rule at the stage his juniors have been fixed and to pay the arrears with interest.

9. Particulars of Application fees :-

Indian Postal Order No. 514789 for Rs. 50/- (Rupees fifty) only is enclosed.

R.B.E. No. 182/85

**Subject: Cadre Review and Restructuring of Group 'C' and 'D' Staff.**

**No. FC III/84/UPD/19, dated 25-6-1985.**

Restructuring of certain Group 'C' & 'D' cadres have been under consideration in consultation with the Staff in the Committee of the Departmental Council of the JCM(Railways) for sometime. The Ministry of Railways have decided with the approval of the President to restructure certain categories of Group 'C' & 'D' as detailed in the Annexure enclosed.

2. While implementing these orders specific instructions given in the footnote under the different categories should be strictly and carefully adhered to.

3. For the purpose of restructuring the cadre strength as on 1-1-1984 will be taken into account, and will include Rest over and Leave Reserve posts.

4.1 The staff selected and posted against the additional higher grade posts as a result of restructuring will have their pay fixed under Rule 2018-S(FR-22-G)-RII w.e.f. 1-1-1984 on proforma basis with current payments w.e.f. 1-1-1985. The benefit of fixation will be applicable to the chain/resultant which arises from restructuring.

4.2 The posts of Shunting Drivers scale Rs.330-560/- will be filled from Shunter's grade Rs.280-400/- on the basis of seniority-cut-suitability. The posts of Driver Grade 'C' scale Rs.330-560 will however, be filled as per extant orders for promotion of Shunter's to Driver Grade 'C'. Fixation of pay of Shunter's grade Rs.280-400/- appointed as Shunting Driver Grade Rs.330-560/- will be regulated under 2018A R-II (FR-22G). Shunting Drivers grade Rs.330-560/- appointed as Driver 'C' scale Rs.330-560 will have their pay fixed under Rule 2017(a)(ii)-RII(FR-22(a)(ii)).

4.3 The benefit of retrospective fixation from 1-1-1984 and current payment from 1-1-1985 will not be applicable to such of those employees who are promoted against vacancies existing on the date of restructuring. They will be granted benefits only from the date of promotion as per normal rules.

*Attested*

*D. Guria, (Advocate)*  
Calcutta, Guwahati-781011.

Contd. --- pl1

5.1 The existing classification of the posts covered by these restructuring orders, as "Selection" and "Non-Selection", as the case may be, remains unchanged. However, for the purpose of implementation of these orders, if an individual Railway servant becomes due for promotion to only one grade above the grade of the post held by him, at present, on a regular basis, and such higher grade post is classified as a "Selection" post, the existing selection procedure will stand modified in such a case to the extent that the selection will be based only on scrutiny of service records without holding any written and/or viva-voce test. Under this procedure, the categorisation 'Outstanding' will not exist.

5.2 In case, however, as a result of these restructuring orders, an individual Railway servant becomes due for promotion to a grade more than one grade above that of the post held by him at present on a regular basis, the benefit of the modified procedure of selection as afo-said will be applicable only to the first such promotion (if that post happens to be a 'Selection' post); the second and subsequent promotions, if any, will be based only of the normal rules relating to filling in of 'Selection' or 'Non-Selection' posts', as the case may be.

5.3 Vacancies existing on 1-1-1984 and those arising on that date from this entire restructuring should be filled in the following sequence:-

- (1) from panels approved on or before 30-6-1983 and current on that date;
- and
- (2) balances in the manner indicated in para 5.2 & 5.3 above.

5.4 For such of the posts in the annexures to this letter as fall in "Safety categories", the instructions contained in this Ministry's letter No. B(HO) 4-83-172-2, dated 7-10-83 will apply mutatis mutandis in respect of promotions against vacancies covered by these restructuring orders.

5.5 The restructuring orders visualise grant of special pay to certain categories. The grant of special pay will be effective from 1-7-1983 only.

5.6 The existing rules and orders in regard to reservation for SC/ST will continue to apply while filling up additional vacancies in the higher grades arising as a result of restructuring.

3. In the first instance, attention is drawn to the fact that the value of  $\alpha$ , occurring in the expression caused by the factor  $\alpha$  to be adjusted against the expression  $\alpha$  to be adjusted will continue to remain such as pertains to the case of the  $\alpha$  now existing.

6. To receive the parts of manufactured  
articles into the store for the categories of material &  
articles, and to record and distribute them into  
the appropriate.

Q. Is the case of Sunday school segregation in regard alloted school cases to indicate that it can be done?

8. In all the cases covered by this report  
over 90% were paid in higher series of 1000.00 for a  
subsidy as a result of Executive Order 11210  
Executive Order and Executive Order 11210, 1000.00  
was paid as payment of subsides to which day, to  
which and other series of 1000.00  
executed on 1000.00.

30. The Board should take account of the  
existing of existing after the process of selection to  
ensure that the firms engaged should be qualified  
commercially.

16. The Railways will accept any number of passengers on the services of Gurdaspur and Jalandhar for the route Patti-Soh and passengers from Soh to the railway stations for Jalandhar and Jalandhar Cantonment on payment of a sum of Rs. 37/- by the passengers carried by Rail. It is understood that the sum of Rs. 37/- is to be paid by the passengers.

## 2. *Local Building Materials*

2. සාම්ප්‍රදායික ප්‍රාග්ධන සාම්ප්‍රදායික ප්‍රාග්ධන

622 वार्ता लेखन से १.  
623 वार्ता लेखन से  
624 वार्ता लेखन से

Attested:  
H. Saito, (Adi S.C.)  
Aug 22, 2004, Cuyahoga-781011.

卷之三

## Focus Groups

34. విషాద విషాద వి. 340 విషాద విషాద  
(విషాదు - విషాదు) వి. 340 విషాద వి.

(3) **Costs of Power Co.** 100  
    (1) **Interest on Capital** 100  
    (2) **Cost of Power Co.** 100  
    **Interest generated on**  
    **Collection of rate**  
    **paid to the**  
    **Power Co. 100**.

8. **burgos**  
(26,500-400) **816 of Burgos to be  
exhibited as follows  
at store 2, 110-5324-00**

6. Person 04/2020 sold of radio to be 0735  
0000/2000, 1000.  
1000 Meters (0000-  
0000)

Leave between 10:00 AM & 11:00 AM  
Arrive between 11:00 AM & 12:00 PM  
Leave between 12:00 PM & 1:00 PM  
Arrive between 1:00 PM & 2:00 PM  
Leave between 2:00 PM & 3:00 PM  
Arrive between 3:00 PM & 4:00 PM

(2) The said of Section 60<sup>o</sup> said Regulation is to be applied to the State of 50-50% of the total assignments of Section 60<sup>o</sup> and qualified to be possible on Railways, Bridges and Tunnels, and the said of regulation of Section 60<sup>o</sup> is to be the 50-50% of the total assignments of Section 60<sup>o</sup>.

## 2. **क्रमागत वर्ष विवरण**

## 2. *Geoffroya* *Monstrosa* *Scutellata*

2. **Passenger Name** (a) **All Passengers** **Name**  
**Passenger Name** **Passenger Name** **Passenger Name**

Carthage 1865

21  
Revised  
Percentage

2. (i) Goods Guard Cr. 100 Goods Guard  
(Rs. 330-530/- = 400) Cr. 100 Rs. 330/- 80  
530/-.

— (ii) Goods Guard Cr. 100 Goods Guard  
(Rs. 330-530/- = 600) Cr. 100 Rs. 330/- 40  
530/-.

III. Accounts Staff: .....

IV. Cash & Pay Office Staff: .....

V. Tool Checkers: .....

VI. Traders (Rs. 220 - 400/-): .....

VII. Permanent Pay Notes to Permanent Pay Officers

VIII. Passages Checkers (for Central, Southern & Eastern Railways only): .....

M.C. S. & P.

Railway-wise distribution of Supervisory Staff of  
Cash & Pay Departments: .....

I. Separate Cadre  
Payment Slips: .....

Combined Cadre: .....

Summary (Both separate & combined cadre): .....

*Atal Bihari*  
R. P. Bihari  
Mysore, 12/11/1951

P.T. Naha, Driver/Goods

<u>13.4.88</u>	<u>13.4.89</u>	<u>13.4.90</u>	<u>13.4.91</u>	<u>13.4.92</u>	<u>13.4.93</u>	<u>13.4.94</u>
1410/-	1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1600/-	1640/-

N.D. Chakraborty

<u>18.6.91</u>	<u>18.6.92</u>	<u>18.6.93</u>	<u>18.6.94</u>
1480/-	1520/-	1640/-	1680/-

P.K. Goswami

<u>11.3.88</u>	<u>11.3.89</u>	<u>11.3.90</u>	<u>11.3.91</u>	<u>11.3.92</u>	<u>11.3.93</u>	<u>11.3.94</u>
1410/-	1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

G.C. Dey-II

<u>9.4.88</u>	<u>9.4.89</u>	<u>9.4.90</u>	<u>9.4.91</u>	<u>9.4.92</u>	<u>9.4.93</u>	<u>9.4.94</u>
1410/-	1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

S.R. Sarkar

<u>9.4.88</u>	<u>9.4.89</u>	<u>9.4.90</u>	<u>9.4.91</u>	<u>9.4.92</u>	<u>9.4.93</u>	<u>9.4.94</u>
1410/-	1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

Motilal Majumder

<u>9.4.88</u>	<u>9.4.89</u>	<u>9.4.90</u>	<u>9.4.91</u>	<u>9.4.92</u>	<u>9.4.93</u>	<u>9.4.94</u>
1410/-	1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

J.Uddin

<u>6.3.89</u>	<u>6.3.90</u>	<u>6.3.91</u>	<u>6.3.92</u>	<u>6.3.93</u>	<u>6.3.94</u>
1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

B.P. Roy

<u>10/4/88</u>	<u>10/4/89</u>	<u>10/4/90</u>	<u>10/4/91</u>	<u>10/4/92</u>	<u>10/4/93</u>	<u>10/4/94</u>
1410/-	1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

K.C. Roy

<u>6/3/89</u>	<u>6/3/90</u>	<u>6/3/91</u>	<u>6/3/92</u>	<u>6/3/93</u>	<u>6/3/94</u>
1440/-	1480/-	1520/-	1560/-	1640/-	1680/-

*Arjun*  
R. Datta, 1/12/1991  
Maligaon, Guwahati, Assam

ANNEXURE - 10/3

## STEPPING UP

Fixation of pay on promotion or appointment to higher posts - anomalies arising out of the application of rule 2018-B (F.R. 22-C)-R-II.

1. The question of removing certain anomalies arising as a result of fixation of pay of railway servants promoted or appointed to higher posts after the introduction of rule 2018-B(F.R. 22-C)-R-II has been under the consideration of the Board for sometime past.

2. By a strict application of the above rule, it may happen that a railway servant promoted or appointed to a higher post on or after 1-4-1931 may draw a lower rate of pay in that post than another railway servant, junior to him in the lower grade and promoted or appointed subsequently to another identical post.

3. In order to remove this anomaly the President is pleased to decide and in such cases the pay of the senior employee in the higher post should be stepped upto a figure equal to the pay as fixed for the junior employee in that higher post. The stepping up should be done with effect from the date of promotion or appointment of the junior employee and will be subject to the following conditions, namely -

(a) both the Junior and Senior employees should belong to the same cadre and the posts in which they have been promoted or appointed should be identical and in the same cadre; and

(b) the scale of pay of the lower and higher posts in which they are entitled to draw pay should be identical; and

(c) the anomaly should be directly as a result of the application of rule 2018-B(F.R. 22-C)-R-II. For example, if even in the lower post the junior employee draws from time to time a higher rate of pay than the senior by virtue of fixation of pay under the normal rules say due to grant of advance increments or due to accelerated promotion etc. the provisions contained in this letter will not be invoked to step up the pay of the senior employees.

4. The orders refixing the pay of the senior employees in accordance with the provisions of this letter shall be issued under rule 2033 (F.R. 27)-R-II. The next increment of the senior employees will be drawn on completion of the requisite qualifying service w.e.f. the date of refixation of pay.

5. Those orders take effect from 3-3-88. Cases of seniors drawing less pay than juniors in respect of promotions occurring on or after 1-4-81 may also be regulated under these orders but the actual benefit would be admissible from 3-3-1988.

P.S.

Page

161

PPS:

Atul

To

The Divl. Railway Manager(P),  
N. F. Railway, Lumding.

Sir,

Sub:- Stepping up of Pay.

With due respect I beg to submit a few lines  
for your information & necessary action please.

That Sir, I have been working as Goods Driver  
from 13-4-88. . . . and my basic pay is now Rs. 1560/-  
But in your Memorandum No. E/41/1/LM(Re-structuring)  
of 16.10.92 it is seen that the pay of S/Sri G.C.Doy, II  
Jaiuddin, K.C.Roy, M.L.Majumder, N.D.Chakraborty &  
S.R.Sarkar, is now higher than me though they are  
junior to me.

Therefore, you are requested to please look  
into this and arrange to stepping up my pay on the  
pay of my juniors are higher than me at the earliest  
and thus oblige thereby.

With regards,

Yours faithfully,

Dated, Lumding

The 25. . . . 1993

Prakash Naha  
Driver Goods Lorry/Sheaf.

*Ranu*  
on 25.5.93

*Signs*  
S. Datta, ( . . . . )  
Mallgaon, Gu. 31.11.1993.

NORTHEAST FRONTIER RAILWAY.

OFFICE OF THE  
D. R. M. (P)/LUMDINO

A. P. Barua  
Ex-DPO/LMO

D. O. No. E/21/1/LM (Restr.)

Dte. 8/11/03.

Dear Sri Chakraborty,

Subject - Stepping up of pay of juniores.

In terms of Rly. Board's letter No. PC-III/84/UPC/19 dated 28-6-85 and 13-8-85 circulated under CPO/MLG's letter No. E/205/73/Pt. IV DATED 22-7-85 and 20-8-85 except the categories of Fireman 'A' and DAD all other running categories were re-structured w.e.f. 1.1.84 on proforma fixation and w.e.f. 1.1.85 with monetary benefit. The categories of Fireman 'A' and DAD, which were not re-structured, were however given the benefit of special pay of Rs. 15/- p.m. to the extent of 30% of posts in each category and accordingly, spcl. pay was sanctioned in favour of the seniormost persons in each category.

With the introduction of revised scale w.e.f. 1.1.85 (4th P.C.), the element of spcl. pay Rs. 15/- was done away but the same was allowed to be taken into account in the fixation of pay of Fireman and DAD in terms of Rly. Board's letter No. PC-IV/86/n:RP/1 At. 18.9.84 received under OM(P)/MLG's No. E/206/III/43(M) - dated 20/7/84. This exercise created anomalies whereby the pay of shunter promoted prior to 1.1.84 was fixed at lower stages whereas the pay of those enjoying the spcl. pay was fixed at higher stages on promotion as shunter in the revised grades.

Consequent on the above, now the senior persons drawing lower pay than their juniors have claimed stepping up of their pay to the extent of their juniors. A proposal for stepping up of the pay of senior persons was sent to Accounts for vetting but Accounts has returned the proposal back with following observations:

- It is seen from the case No. E/41/1/LM (Restr.) at PP-4 that junior goods drivers are drawing more pay than their seniors on account of fixing of pay after taking special pay of Rs. 15/- into account.

In similar cases Rly. Bd.'s decision has been obtained. but it is seen that Bd.'s decision varies according to merit of individual cases. Hence the case may kindly be referred to CPO/W.F. Rly./Maligon for obtaining clarification from Rly. Board.

In view of the above, it is requested that necessary clarification may please be communicated for the purpose at an early date since this issue is most likely to be raised in the PUM also.

This may please be treated as urgent by communicating early decision.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

*A. P. Barua*  
A. P. Barua  
Golaghat, Guwahati-781011.

To:  
Sri P. P. Chakraborty,  
CPO/Maligon.

( A. P. Barua )

N.F. Rly. Way.

No. E/UL/1/52 (Rstr.)

Office of the  
Divil. Rly. Manager,

To

Shri Chitta Ranjan Paul,  
Goods Driver/Lumding and Others

Lumding, dtd: 7/7/94.

(Through LF/Lumding.)

Sub:- Stepping up of pay.

Ref:- Your letter dtd: 9/5/93.

:::::::

In reference to your letter quoted above, this is to inform you that in connection with the above subject a reference has been made to HQ for clarification and QI(P)/MLG vide his letter No. E/233/III/59 (M). Loose, dtd: 3/6/94 has passed the orders as follows:-

"If in the lower grades the junior employee draws higher rate of pay than the senior employee, due to advance increment or accelerated promotion etc., the stepping up of pay of senior employee will not be applicable."

✓  
S.N. Roy

AP0/II

for Divil. Rly. Manager (P),  
N.F.Rly. Lumding.

TKM/7794,

Attest

S. N. Roy (Signature)  
Collgeen, Guwahati-781011.

Barry A. T.

To  
The Chief Operating Manager (P),  
K. F. Railway, Kali ghat.

( Through Proper channel )

四三

Subs - Wrong interpretation and implementation of Ry. Ed's orders - derogation and hardship arising thereof.

Refo - SI(P)/MLC's clarification vide bld No.  
E/232/221/SC(US) loose, dtds 2,6,23  
communicated under DRC(P)/E/6/10 No.  
E/11/1/41(Restd) dtds 7,7,23.

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Respectfully, I beg to submit the following factual positions which appear to have not been taken into consideration while clarifications as sought for by the S.R.DPO/INT video his D.O. letter No. D/43/2/81 (Rant) dttd. 8.11.83 had been extend by C.I(P)/Malligaon video his letter under reference.

That S/o, while the Sr. DPO vide his D.O. letter mentioned above had correctly explained the background of the case, the emphasis had wrongly been laid on 'Steping up of Pay of seniors to the level of the Juniors drawing higher pay' instead of on removing the anomalies in pay arising out of wrong interpretation and implementation by the DEI(P)/MIG of the Bd's orders regarding grant of Spl. pay to seniormost Person 'A' / DARS as mentioned in para-1 of the aforesaid D.O. letter of Sr. DPO/MIG.

That SIE, even if, the matter is considered as a case of 'Stepping up' in the light of the clarification given by the C(P)MLD, it may be pointed out that I am being paid lower pay not because my junior colleagues enjoying higher pay had been granted (i) advance increment or (ii) accelerated promotion but simply because my junior colleagues like S/Sr. ~~NP (Chennai), T. N. Selvaraj, Dr. S. S. Ch. Devill, Suresh, B. W. Kav~~ had been wrongly granted with the special pay of Rs. 15/- p.m. which when considered at the time of fixation of ISRP '86 scale of pay w.e.f. 1.1.86 raised their pay to higher stage than me inspite of myself being senior to above named and also having been promoted to higher grade earlier to those junior colleagues. Had the S.I. pay been granted to the seniormost only as decided by the Rly. Board, the anomaly and consequential confrontation being suffered by me would not have arisen at all.

### Centdollar

*Streetie*  
6/

First Sir, another aspect of the soon implementation and implementation of the 7.7.86 order in respect to the grant of 30. Pay in question is reflected in by the P.T.O./Circular need also to be in G.I. (Circular) which is as under:-

That, some of the senior incumbents including myself had been declared promoted on and from 1.7.85 (Ref. No. ~~21~~ 21 of seniority list for Driver/ circulate under P.T.O./M.G's No. 1/235/7/11/11.85) showing the position as on 1.4.85; while the restructuring benefit to Loco Running staff other than P/man-1 as well as Special pay to 30.1 colleagues among the P/man-A & PAPs had been granted v.c.f.1.1.85 with financial benefit v.c.f.1.1.85. But inspite of having been the Grade of P/man-A/PAP upto ~~1.6.85~~ The preceeding day of my promotion to the Grade of P/man-B/E, I was awarded with the benefit of special pay although I had been senior in the grade of P/man-A/E to the junior colleagues as above mentioned. Had the benefit of 30.1 been awarded to me as had been due as per Board's order my pay on promotion as well as at the time of fixation of pay from 1.1.86 in terms of 4th C.G. award would have been much higher than what I had actually been paid up.

Under the above circumstances, I would humbly pray for your kind intervention, a thorough re-examination of my case in it's true perspective and set-right the wrong done to me causing immense financial deprivation and consequential hardship. And for such an act of your kindness I shall feel much obliged.

I would also like to mention here that unless my above justified prayer receives your favourable consideration and remedial action within a reasonable time of 3 months at the most - I will be left with no other alternative but to seek justice through the judiciary. I, however, hope that you would not push me to such a circumstantial compulsion.

With best regards,

Yours faithfully,

Miyatosh Naha.

Driver Goods/Long.  
DT - 18 - 8 - 94

Received  
M.S.  
9/8/94

Atiyat

Atiyat  
Miyatosh Naha  
Circular No. 1/235/7/11/11.85

Contd. of page 22