

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH : : : GUWAHATI - 5.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226/94

MISC PETITION NO. \_\_\_\_\_ (IN O.A. \_\_\_\_\_)

REVIEW APPL. NO. \_\_\_\_\_ (IN O.A. \_\_\_\_\_)

CONT. PETITION NO. \_\_\_\_\_ (IN O.A. \_\_\_\_\_)

Sri D.R. Kalita

APPLICANT(S)

VRS.

NOT XOD

RESPONDENT(S)

Mr. N.S. Deka

ADVOCATE FOR APPLICANT.

Mr. P.P. Deka

Mr. S. Ali & L.G. S.

ADVOCATE(S) FOR  
RESPONDENTS.

Mr. J.L. Sarkan - on behalf of Rupa no. 6

OFFICE NOTE	DATE	COURT'S ORDER
-------------	------	---------------

6-12-94

Mr. N.S. Deka for the applicant. Issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why the application should be admitted and the interim relief as prayed may not be granted. The respondents are directed to produce copy of the order of the SDI (East) order No. AI/Sonaswar dated 10-10-94.

Returnable on 19-12-94. Adjourned to 19-12-94.

Full

Vice-Chairman

60

Member

lm

19.12.94

Adjourned to 11.1.1995.

Full

Vice-Chairman

60

Member

pg

20/12

This application is in form and within time. C. F. of Rs. 50/- deposited vide IPO/BI No. 864/57 Dated 30.11.94

By Registrar (d)

5/12

Registrar, on

recd on 9-12-94

and vide no. 5011-10

12.12.94

9/12

OFFICE NOTE

DATE

COURT ORDER

11-1-95

None is present. Dismissed for default.

Notice duly sent  
on R.no. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6.

29/12

*[Signature]*  
Vice-Chairman

*[Signature]*  
Member

Requisite fee of Rs. 5/-  
deposited for..... Nos.  
Respondent vide IPO/BD/  
Cash Receipt No. 208  
Dated 2.1.95

1m

20.1.95

By order separately passed on  
M.P.No.8/95 the application is restored.  
To be placed for admission on 30.1.95.

*[Signature]*  
21/1/95  
Registral (d)

*[Signature]*  
Vice-Chairman

*[Signature]*  
Member

13.1.95

Copy of order dt. 11.1.95  
issued to the counsel  
of the parties vide  
H.No. 296-97 dt. 16.1.95

nk

30.1.95

Adjourned to 31.1.1995.

*[Signature]*  
Vice-Chairman  
*[Signature]*  
Member

Mr. J.L. Sarkar,  
learned counsel has  
submitted valuations pg  
on behalf of Rep. No. 6.

*[Signature]*  
30/1/95

31.1.95

Mr N.S. Deka for the applicant.

The learned counsel seeks to withdraw  
the application with liberty to file fresh  
application on the same cause of action on  
the grounds that due to oversight certain  
defects have crept in in the application and  
it requires to be extensively amended.  
Accordingly application is allowed to be  
withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh  
application on the same cause of action.

*[Signature]*  
Cancelled

nk

Member

Vice-Chairman

OFFICE NOTE

COURT ORDERS

31.1.95

Mr N.S. Daka, for the applicar

The learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw the application with liberty to file fresh application on the same cause of action on the grounds that due to oversight certain defects have crept in in the application and it requires to be extensively amended. Accordingly the application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action.

*hille*

Vice-Chairman

*60*  
Member

nkm

21.3.95

copy of order dtd. 31.1.95  
issued to all concerned by  
Regd. Post vide d/No. 1346  
to 1351 dtd. 24.3.95.

*LB*