## CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

Review Application No. 29/2011 in Original Application No. 81/2008

Date of Order: 16.09.2011

Kushal Pal Singh s/o Ramji Lal, aged about 45 years, r/o 612/37, Patel Nagar, Gaddi Maliyan Road, Ajmer and presently posted as Technician (Trimmer) Grade-I Carriage Workshop, Department 26, Ajmer, North Western Railway, Ajmer Division.

... Applicant

(By Advocate : Shri C.B. Sharma)

ď)

## **VERSUS**

- 1. Union of India through General Manager, North Western Railway Zone, North Western Railway, Jaipur 302006.
- 2. Chief Works Manager, (Loco Workshop), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 3. Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage Workshop), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 4. Senior Personnel Officer (Loco Workshop) North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 5. Shri Lalit Kumar Dixit, Technician Grade-III Welder, Ticket No. 09828, Department 22, C/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage) North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 6. Shri Deependra Sharma, Technician Grade-III Welder Ticket No. 38908 Department 28, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 7. Shri Mukesh Kumar Technician Grade-III Fitter Ticket No.06191 department 22, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage) North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 8. Shri Bamania Anoop Singh, Technician Grade-I CBR Ticket No.33297 Department 28, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.

- 9. Shri Ajit Singh Technician Grade-I Fitter Ticket No.09866 Department 22, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- Shri Raghubeer Saran Sharma, Technician Grade-l Welder Ticket No.02045 Department 22, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 11. Shri Madhusudan Solanki Technician Grade-I Fitter Ticket No.10029 Department 22, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
- 12. Shri Manoj Kumar Tak Technician Grade-II CBR Ticket No.43734 Department 28, c/o Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer (Carriage), North Western Railway, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.

.....Respondents

## ORDER (By Circulation)

The present Review Application has been filed by the applicant, Kushal Pal Singh, in the OA for reviewing/recalling the order dated 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 passed in OA No. 81/2008 – Kushal Pal Singh vs. Union of India and Ors.

- 2. We have perused the averments made and the ground taken in the Review Application, and are of the view that there is no merit in this Review Application in view of the limited scope provided under the law for reviewing the order.
- 3. The law on this point is already settled and the Hon'ble Apex Court has categorically held that the matter cannot be heard on merit in the guise of power of review and further if the order or decision is wrong, the same cannot be corrected in the guise of power of review. What is the scope of Review Petition and under

(P)

what circumstance such power can be exercised was considered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Aiit Kumar Rath Vs. State of Orissa, (1999) 9 SCC 596 wherein the Apex Court has held as under:

"The power of the Tribunal to review its judgment is the same as has been given to court under Section 114 or under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC. The power is not absolute and is hedged in by the restrictions indicated in Order 47 Rule 1 CPC. The power can be exercised on the application of a person on the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the order was made. The power can also be exercised on account of some mistake of fact or error apparent on the face of record or for any other sufficient reason. A review cannot be claimed or asked for merely for a fresh hearing or arguments or correction of an erroneous view taken earlier, that is to say, the power of review can be exercised only for correction of a patent error of law or fact which stares in the fact without any elaborate argument being needed for establishing it. It may be pointed out that the expression 'any other sufficient reason' used in Order XL VII Rule 1 CPC means a reason sufficiently analogous to those specified in the rule".

4. In view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court, we find no merit in this Review Application and the same is accordingly dismissed by circulation. 16. Shatten

(ANIL KUMAR) Admv. Member

Anil Suma

(JUSTICE K.S.RATHORE) Judl. Member

<u>Kumawat</u>