

**THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR
ORDER SHEET**

APPLICATION NO.: _____

Applicant(s)

Respondent (s)

Advocate for Applicant (s)

Advocate for Respondent (s)

NOTES OF THE REGISTRY

ORDERS OF THE TRIBUNAL

30.01.2008

OA No. 437/2006 with MAs 90/2007 & 111/2007

None present for the parties.

When the case was called up, neither Mr. Ravi Chirania, learned counsel for the applicant, nor Mr. N.C. Goyal and Hemant Mathur, learned counsel for the respondents were present. We have perused the record. Records reveal that on earlier occasions also, learned counsel for the applicant has always been consistently absent *barring the first occasion inter-alia.*

Under these circumstances, we deem *it* *and* just & proper that no useful purpose would be served if adjournment is granted *as he* and the OA deserves to be dismissed for default.

Adi' and M.A.s are - like ..
In the result, OA is dismissed ~~for default~~ *in limine.*

R.R. Bhandari
(R.R. BHANDARI)
MEMBER (A)

N.D. Raghavan
(N.D. RAGHAVAN)
VICE CHAIRMAN

AHQ

*Copies sent
side no
76877
G*