

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR BENCH

CIRCUIT CAMP : GWALIOR

Original Application No.595 of 2003

Gwalior, this the 6th day of December, 2004

Hon'ble Shri M.P.Singh - Vice Chairman
Hon'ble Shri Madan Mohan - Judicial Member

Manoj Kumar Tripathi, S/o Shri R.S.Tripathi ,
aged about 38 years, Enquiry-cum-Reservation
Clerk, (ECRC) at Gwalior Railway Station,
Gwalior (M.P.)

APPLICANT

(By Advocate - None)

Versus

1. Union of India through General Manager,
North Central Railway, Allahabad (U.P.).
2. The Divisional Railway Manager, Jhansi
Division, North Central Railway, Jhansi (U.P.)
3. The Divisional Commercial Manager (G)/
Disciplinary Authority, Jhansi Division,
North Central Railway, Jhansi (U.P.).
4. Senior Divisional Commercial Manager/
Appellate Authority, Jhansi Division,
North Central Railway, Jhansi (U.P.).
5. Additional Divisional Railway Manager/
Revisional Authority, Jhansi Division,
North Central Railway, Jhansi (U.P.). - RESPONDENTS

(By Advocate - None)

O R D E R (Oral)

By M.P.Singh, Vice Chairman -

By filing this O.A., the applicant has claimed
the following main reliefs-

"(ii) To quash the impugned order of punishment
dated 22/6/01 (Annex.A/5) passed by
respondent No.5.

(iii) Be further pleased to direct the respondents
to award consequential reliefs to the
applicant in terms of earning increments,
pay-fixations etc".

2. The brief facts of the case are that a charge
sheet was issued to the applicant vide memo dated 2.9.98
in which the following charges were levelled against the
applicant-

"(1) One PNR tkt No.410540 has been dealt by him
out of turn submitted for cancellation
amounting to Rs.670/-.

(2) found Rs.07/- short in his Govt.cash".

An enquiry officer was appointed to investigate into the
charges. The enquiry officer submitted his report. The

disciplinary authority vide its order dated 31.10.2001 imposed the penalty of reduction ~~by~~ two stages below for two years with cumulative effect. Thereafter, the applicant filed an appeal against the order of the disciplinary authority. The order of the appellate authority has not been filed by the applicant. Thereafter, he had challenged the order of the appellate authority before the revisional authority. The revisional authority vide its order dated 24.3.2003 (Annexure-A-1) had decided to reduce the punishment of 'reduction by two stages below for two years with cumulative effect' to that of 'withholding of increment for a period of three years with cumulative effect'. We find that the applicant has not ^{claimed any relief by &} ~~xxx~~ challenged the orders of the disciplinary authority, appellate authority and the revisional authority and, therefore, he is not entitled to get any relief in this OA.

3. In the result, the OA is dismissed, without any order as to costs.

(Madan Mohan)
Judicial Member

(M.P. Singh)
Vice Chairman

rkv.

पृष्ठान्त सं ००/००.....जयलपुर, दि.....
पुलितिकलिंग ००/००/००

- (1) सदिय, उच्च न्यायालय और उच्च न्यायालय, जयलपुर
- (2) आवेदक श्री/श्रीमती/श्री.....के काउंसल
- (3) प्रत्यक्षी श्री/श्रीमती/श्री.....के काउंसल
- (4) वरिष्ठ, कोषा, जयलपुर न्यायाधीश सूचना एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु

Robit Dr 40
Dr. 2008
M. L. B. B. B.
Dr. 2008

Issued
On 13.12.04
AS