

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR BENCH,**  
**JABALPUR**

**Review Application No. 22 of 2005**  
**(In O.A. No. 676 of 2003)**

Gwalior, this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of June, 2005

Harsiddhi Prasad Shrivastava ..... Applicant

**Versus**

Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents


**ORDER (In Circulation)**

**By Madan Mohan, Judicial Member –**

This Review Application has been filed to review the order passed by the Tribunal on 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2005 in OA No. 676 of 2003.

2. In the present Review Application, no clerical error or glaring mistake has been pointed out by the applicant. It is a settled legal position that the review proceedings are to be strictly confined to the ambit and scope of Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be reheard and corrected. It must be remembered that a review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be an appeal in disguise. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Union of India Vs. Tarit Ranjan Das**, 2004 SCC (L&S) 160 held that "Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 S. 14 – Review – Scope – the Tribunal cannot act as an appellate court while reviewing the original order."

3. In view of the foregoing, we do not find any merit in this Review Application and accordingly, the same is rejected at the circulation stage itself.

  
(Madan Mohan)  
Judicial Member

  
(M.P. Singh)  
Vice Chairman

पुस्तक सं ओ/न्या.....जबलपुर, दि.....


पत्तिलिपि अर्थात् दिनांक :-


- (1) सचिव, उच्च न्यायालय एवं एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन, जबलपुर
- (2) आवेदक श्री/श्रीमती/शु.....को प्रारंभिक
- (3) प्रत्यक्षी श्री/श्रीमती/शु.....को प्रारंभिक
- (4) वाच्यपत्र, को.प्र.अ., जबलपुर न्यायाधीश

सूचना एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु

उप सचिव

"SA"

  
25.6.05

  
25.6.05