

Notes of the Registry

Orders of the Tribunal

31/1/2008

None for me applicant.
Respondents by Sri V. Tripathi on
behalf of Sri P. Shankaran.

The learned counsel for me
respondents has stated that the
Writ Petition No. 8366/2004 has
been listed for hearing today. For
this reason he has sought
adjournment. This is the last
opportunity for adjourning the case.
No further adjournment shall be
granted on this ground.

List the matter for orders on
27/2/2008.

A.K. Gaur
(A.K. Gaur)

G.C. Srivastava
(Dr. G.C. Srivastava)
VC

JM
27.2.2008

Applicant by Shri H.S. Verma.

Respondents by Shri P. Shankaran.

We have heard the learned counsel for
both the parties.

The learned counsel for the
respondents raised a preliminary objection
that this contempt petition is time barred
and is not legally maintainable. He has also
invited our attention to the reply filed by
them, wherein it is stated that against the
order of the Tribunal the respondents have
preferred a writ petition No. 8366/2004 and
the same is still pending for final disposal.

Thus, in view of ~~the~~ Section 20 of the
Contempt of Courts Act, the present contempt
petition is not maintainable and is
accordingly, dismissed. Notices issued are
discharged.

A.K. Gaur
(A.K. Gaur)
JM

G.C. Srivastava
(Dr. G.C. Srivastava)
VC

Reply filed
on 31/1/08