

50/100  
**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**GUWAHATI BENCH**  
**GUWAHATI-05**

(DESTRUCTION OF RECORD RULES, 1990)

**INDEX**

O.A/T.A No..... 114/05

R.A/C.P No.....

E.P/M.A No.....

1. Orders Sheet..... Pg..... 01 to 03

2. Judgment/Order dtd. 21-3-87 ..... Pg..... 01 to 06 (common 114, 115 & 238/05)

3. Judgment & Order dtd..... Received from H.C/Supreme Court

4. O.A..... Pg..... 01 to 37

5. E.P/M.P..... Pg..... to.....

6. R.A/C.P..... Pg..... to.....

7. W.S..... Pg..... 01 to 08  
R-11  
R 1+2, ~ 01 to 18

8. Rejoinder..... Pg..... to.....

9. Reply..... Pg..... to.....

10. Any other Papers..... Pg..... to.....

11. Memo of Appearance.....

12. Additional Affidavit..... 01 to 09

13. Written Arguments.....

14. Amendment Reply by Respondents.....

15. Amendment Reply filed by the Applicant.....

16. Counter Reply.....

MISC - 01 to 05

*PP/2/112*  
SECTION OFFICER (Judl.)

FORM NO. 4  
 (SEE RULE 42)  
 CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
 GUNAHATI BENCH

ORDER SHEET

Original Application No. 114/2005

Misc. Petition No. \_\_\_\_\_

Contempt Petition No. \_\_\_\_\_

Review Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant(s) Hemali Chaitung 2018.

Respondents 160.1 2018.

Advocate(s) for the Applicant(s) M. Chanda, G.N. Chalanbong, S. Palit

Advocate(s) for the Respondents C.G.S.C, Gen. Advocate, A.K. Chaudhury  
 B. Banerjee G.A. A.P.

Notes of the Registry	Date	Order of the Tr. Panel
<p>The application is in form          is filed/C. F. for Rs. 50/-          and deposited vide IPS/BD          No. 209 160 890</p> <p>Dated: 13.5.05.</p> <p><i>24/5/05</i>          (s) <i>Surabhi</i>          (s) <i>24/5/05</i>          (s) <i>Dy. Registrar</i></p> <p>no steps.</p>	24.5.2005	<p>Present : Hon'ble Sri K.V. Prahladan,          Administrative Member.</p> <p>Heard Mr. M. Chanda, learned          counsel for the applicants and also          Mr. A.K. Chaudhuri, learned Addl.          C.G.S.C. for the respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice to show cause as          to why the application shall not be          admitted.</p> <p>List on 10.6.2005 for admission.</p> <p>Pendency of this application          shall not be a bar for the respondents          to consider absorption of the          applicants.</p> <p><i>K.V. Prahladan</i>          Member (A)</p>
<p>Notice &amp; order          Sent to D/Section          for issuing to resp.          Nos. 1, 3, 5 to 11 by regd.          A/D post and others m/s          resp. 2 &amp; 4 by speed 4.8.05.          post / A.D. cost of Application          (s) 25/5/05. D/No = 815, 814          DT = 25/5/05          D/No - 821 to 829</p>		<p>At the request of Mr. M. Chanda          learned counsel for the applicant          case is adjourned to 28.8.05.</p> <p><i>25/5/05</i>          (s) <i>K. V. Prahladan</i>          Member  <i>Vice-Chairman</i></p>

Notice duly  
Served on resp.

24.6.2005

Post on 4.8.2005.

Nos. 1, 2.

27/6

Vice-Chairman

mb

① Notice duly served  
on R. No. 2,

30.8.05

Post the matter alongwith O.A.

No. 115 of 2005 in the next available  
Division Bench.

Vice-Chairman

lm

23.6.05  
27/6

Notice duly served  
on resp. Nos. 2, 3, 5, 7, 8,

14.7.05

A letter dated 28.6.05

received from Administrative  
officer, Eastern Zone, PWD, A.P.

Itanagar which may kindly  
be seen at flag 'A' and placed in  
front before the Hon'ble Court.

P.M.



Notice duly served  
on resp. Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 (Nine)

27/7

① No. reply has been  
filed.

23.7.05

6.A 114/65

Office Note	Date	Tribunal's Order
26.9.05 Wts submitted by the Respondent. No.11.	28.9.05 1m	Mr. M. Chanda learned counsel for the applicant submits that in all <sup>the</sup> two cases (O.A. No. 114 and 115 of 2005) are connected. and these matters to be heard together. The Respondents No.1 & 2 have filed their written statement. The Respondent No.11 has filed the written statement. Post the matter before the next available Division Bench.   Vice-Chairman
Wts submitted by the Respondent No-1, 2 & 11	06.03.2006 mb	Post before the next available Division Bench.   Vice-Chairman (J)  Vice-Chairman (A)
3 - 3 - 06 ⑤ Wts filed on behalf of the R. No. 1, 2 & 11.	03.08.2006 mb	Post before the next Division Bench.   Member  Vice-Chairman
Additional affidavit submitted by the Applicant.	21.3.2007 /bb/	Heard learned counsel for the parties. Order passed, kept in separate sheets. The O.A. is disposed of in terms of the order. No costs.   Member  Vice-Chairman
Wts filed on behalf of R. No-1, 2 & 11	29.01.9.6.06 Ranjan S. Chanda 29.01.9.6.06	

Office Note	Date	Tribunal's Order
<p>The case is ready for hearing.</p> <p><i>20.3.07</i></p>		
<p><u>29.3.07</u></p> <p>Copy of the Judg. has been handed over to the A/cch. &amp; the parties</p> <p><i>29/3/07</i></p>		

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH, GUWAHATI

O.A. No.114/05, 115/05 &238/05

DATE OF DECISION: 21.03.2007

Lendi Chatung & Others.

.....Applicant/s

Mr.M.Chanda

..... Advocate for the  
Applicant/s

- Versus -

U.O.I & Others

.....Respondent/s

Mr.G.Baishya, Sr.C.G.S.C. & Mr.A.Buzarbaruah, Govt. Advocate, A.P.

..... Advocate for the  
Respondents

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. K.V. SACHIDANANDAN, VICE CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE MR. TARSEM LAL, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgment? Yes/No
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporter or not? Yes/No
3. Whether to be forwarded for including in the Digest Being complied at Jodhpur Bench & other Benches ? Yes/No
4. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? Yes/No

Vice-Chairman Member (A) 24/03/01

✓

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**GUWAHATI BENCH**

Original Application Nos. 114/2005, 115/2005 & 238/2005

Date of Order : This, the 21st day of March 2007

THE HON'BLE SHRI K.V. SACHIDANANDAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE SHRI TARSEM LAL, ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

1. Lendi Chatung  
S/o Late Lendi Sala  
O/o the Executive Engineer, Along Electrical Division  
Department of Power, Along.
2. Tonkeswar Borah  
S/o Late Golap Chandra Borah  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
Tezu Public Works Division.
3. Sanchayan Kumar Dam  
S/o Late Subodh Kumar Dam  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
Seppa Electrical Division.
4. Love Rao  
S/o Luxmi Rao  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
Hydro Power Development Department.
5. Jummi Kamum  
S/o Sri T. Kamum  
Irrigation & Flood Control Department.  
Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri  
Daporijo.
6. Dilip Kumar Dey  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
Public Works Department, Boleng  
East Siang District.
7. Tashi Namgey  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
P.W.D. Division Sepa  
East Kameng District, Seppa.
8. Lani Bhusan Karmakar  
S/o Late K.M.Karmakar  
P.W.D. Division, Dumperijo  
Upper Subansiri District.

✓

Applicants in O.A. No. 114/2006

1. Shri L.Appal Swami  
S/o Late L.A.Naidu  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant  
O/o the Executive Engineer

PHE & Water Supply Division, Khunsa  
Dist:- Tirap, Arunachal Pradesh.

2. M.V.Kartikeyan Nair  
S/o K.P.V.Nair  
Working as Divisional Accountant  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
Kalaktang P.W.Division  
Dist: West Kameng, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. Shri Santanu Ghosh  
S/o Late S.R.Ghosh  
Working as Divisional Accountant  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
RWD, Yingkiong, Upper Siang  
Arunachal Pradesh.

Applicants in O.A. 115/2005

1. Shri Pradip Kumar Paul  
S/o Late Paresh Chandra Paul  
Divisional Accountant  
O/o the Executive Engineer  
Irrigation and Flood Control, Resource Division  
Panchamukh, P.O: Agartala  
West Tripura - 799 003.

Applicant in O.A. No.238/2005

By Advocates S/Shri M.Chanda, S.Nath & G.N.Chakraborty.

- Versus -

1. Union of India  
Through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E)  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram etc.  
Shillong - 793 003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh  
Represented by the Secretary to the  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Department of Power, Itanagar.
4. The Commissioner, Finance Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar - 791 111.
5. The Chief Engineer (T&D)  
Department of Power  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.
6. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.

7. The Chief Engineer  
Public Health Engineering Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.
8. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.
9. The Chief Engineer, IFCD  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.
10. The Chief Engineer  
Hydro Power Development Department  
Itanagar.
11. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Naharlagun - 791 110.

Respondents in O.A. Nos.114/2005 & 115/2005

1. Union of India  
Through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg  
New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E)  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram etc.  
Shillong - 793 003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh  
Represented by the Secretary to the  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Department of Power, Itanagar.
4. The Commissioner, Finance Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar - 791 111.
5. The Chief Engineer, I&FCD  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.
6. The Chief Engineer, I&FCD  
Government of Tripura  
Agartala.
7. The Chief Engineer  
PWD (R&B), Agartala  
Tripura.
8. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Naharlagun - 791 110.

Respondents in O.A. No.238/2005

By Mr.G.Baishya, Sr.C.G.S.C. & Mr.A.Buzarbaruah, Govt. Advocate  
State of Arunachal Pradesh.

ORDER (ORAL)SACHIDANANDAN, K.V.(V.C.):

There are 8 Applicants in O.A.114/2005, 3 in O.A.115/2006 and one in O.A.238/2006. All the cases are taken up together since reliefs sought for are common. All the Applicants are regular employee of the PWD of Arunachal Pradesh and are presently working as Divisional Accountant under the different units of PWD on deputation basis under the administrative control of Respondent No.2. It was further contended that as it was under active consideration of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh to take over the cadre of Divisional Accountants/Divisional Accounts Officers totaling 91 (Ninety One) posts from the existing combined cadre being controlled by the Respondent No.2 and now the Respondent No.3 had decided to take over the said cadre and place thus under the direct control of the Respondent No.11 with immediate effect. However, orders of repatriation have been passed when they were anticipating their regular absorption in the higher pay scale, cadre, rank and status even though the resultant vacancies were going to be filled up by bringing other persons on deputation. Hence these Original Applications (O.A.114 & 115 of 2005) seeking the following similar main reliefs:-

- "8.1 The applicants are entitled to consideration of their cases for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005,
- 8.2 The respondents are liable to consider the cases of the applicants for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005 and

any action to repatriate the applicants prior to such consideration is arbitrary, unfair and bad in law.

In O.A. No.238/2005 the sole Applicant has sought the following main reliefs:-

"8.1 The applicant is entitled to consideration of his case for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Account in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005, dated 15.07.2005 as well as letter dated nil July' 2005.

8.2 The respondents are liable to consider the case of the applicant for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005, dated 15.07.2005 as well as letter dated July' 2005 and any action to repatriate the applicant prior to such consideration is arbitrary, unfair and bad in law."

2. Respondents have filed their written statements. Applicants also submitted additional affidavits in the cases. We have heard Mr.M.Chanda, learned counsel for the Applicants and Mr. Buzarbaruah, learned counsel for the Government of Arunachal Pradesh. Paragraph 5 of the written statement filed by the Respondent No.11, which is relevant for this cases, is reproduced herein below:-

"5. .... the State of Arunachal Pradesh through its Principal Secretary (Finance) Government of Arunachal Pradesh has formulated a scheme for taking over the Administrative control of the cadres of Senior DAO/DAO Grade-I/DAO Grade-II and DA of working divisions alleging to PHE/RWD/IFCD/PWD/Power Department of Arunachal Pradesh from the control of Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc. Shillong. The said scheme has been sent to the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc Shillong for taking over of the

administrative control of the cadre of Divisional Accountant from the later vide office letter dated 30.7.2005. This has been done in consonance to cabinet decision taken on 28.11.2001."

3. When the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the Applicants Mr.M.Chanda submits that there are developments in these cases and the Government of Arunachal Pradesh have proposed a scheme for taking entire Accounts set up from the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc. Shillong and the proposal is under active consideration, but final approval is awaited. He further submitted that considering the development took place in all these matters Applicants will be satisfied if they are permitted to submit comprehensive representation with a direction to the concerned Respondents to consider and dispose of the same and take a decision within a time frame. Counsel for the Respondents has no objection in adopting such course of action.

4. Accordingly, we direct the Applicants to make individual comprehensive representations before the concerned Respondents forthwith and on receipt of such representations, the said Respondents shall consider and dispose of the same and take a decision thereon within a time frame of three months thereafter.

5. All the O.A.s are disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

Karsem Lal  
(TARSEM LAL)  
ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

(K.V.SACHIDANANDAN)  
VICE CHAIRMAN

Central Administrative GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH  
Tribunal, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh  
JUL 2005  
JS-7  
Telegram :: Chiefworks  
Telephone Ben 2212427  
114/2005

GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Office of the Chief Engineer,  
E/Zone, PWD, AP, Itanagar.

No.CEAP(EZ)/E-III/ARB-3/2005-06/ 1805

Date..... 28.6.05

To

The Registrar,  
Central Administrative Tribunal,  
Guwahati Bench,  
Guwahati.

Sub:- Regarding Repatriated Divisional Accountant.

Ref:- Your original application No.114/2005/824 dtd. 26/5/05.

Sir

While referring your letter under reference it is to apprise you that in Arunachal Pradesh, Public Work Department there are two wings of Chief Engineer, viz. Chief Engineer, (Eastern Zone) and Chief Engineer, (Western Zone). The subject matter is dealt by Chief Engineer, (Western Zone).

Since the repatriation has been initiated by the Accountant General, Shillong so the decision of retaintion as Divisional Accountant in the department rest upon Accountant General, Shillong only.

On the back ground of above illustration I am directed to request you to take up the issue with the Accountant General, Shillong who is the authority to review.

Further, in future to make any correspondence with the department may please be made with the Office of the Chief Engineer, (Western Zone), PWD AP, Itanagar. Pin: 791111, Arunachal Pradesh.

( Nada Taming ), 24/6  
Administrative Officer,  
Eastern Zone, PWD, AP,  
Itanagar.

6/6  
117/05

50(5)

Mr. Dinesh

17/7/05

2, 4 MAY 2005

গুৱাহাটী বিধায়ীক  
Guwahati Bench

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

GUWAHATI BENCH: GUWAHATI

O.A. No. 114 /2005

Lendi Chatung & Ors.

-Vs-

Union of India & Ors.

LIST OF DATES AND SYNOPSIS OF THE APPLICATION

11.03.1999- Applicant No. 1 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis under the Respondent No. 2, and presently working in the office of the Executive Engineer (E), Along Electrical Division, Division of Power, Along.

22.01.1997- Applicant No. 2 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis, he is presently working as Divisional Accountant in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Tezu.

27.01.1997- Applicant No. 3 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis and presently working in the office of the Executive Engineer (Electrical), Seppa.

10.03.1999- Applicant No. 4 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis and now working in the Hydro Power Development Department, Along.

24.07.1998- Applicant No. 5 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis and now working in the office of the Executive Engineer, IFC Department Daporijo, (A.P.).

05.04.1999- Applicant No. 6 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis and now working in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Boleng.

24.07.1998- Applicant No. 7 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis and was posted in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Seppa Division.

10.03.1999- Applicant No. 8 was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis and posted in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Division, Dumperijo.

12.01.2000- The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh had informed the Respondent No. 2 that the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is under active consideration to take over the cadre of Divisional Accounts/ Divisional Accounts Officer. (Annexure-1)

01.02.2005- Applicants approached the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court for setting aside the orders of repatriation as well as for issuance of a direction upon the respondents to permanently absorb the applicants in the post of Divisional Accountant in consideration of the long period of services rendered. The Hon'ble High Court dismissed the writ petitions vide common judgment and Order dated 01.02.05. (Annexure-2) ✓

28.03.2005- Respondent No. 2 has issued a letter to the Respondent No. 4, in response to his letter dated 24.03.05, wherein it has been intimated the confirmation of the Headquarters i.e. Respondent No. 1 on the matter of extension of deputation period of 31 Divisional Accountants (including the present applicants). It has also been communicated therein that for the present and in view of the developments, the judgment of the High Court in respect of the 12 Divisional Accountants (including the present applicants) is not being implemented.

The applicants reasonably apprehend that they may be ousted at any point of time without being considered for absorption in the light of the decision communicated through the letter dated 28.03.05.

Hence the present Original Application before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

#### P R A Y E R S

Under the facts and circumstances stated above, the applicants humbly pray that Your Lordships be pleased to admit this application, call for the records of the case and issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why the relief(s) sought for in this application shall not be granted and on perusal of the records

and after hearing the parties on the cause or causes that may be shown, be pleased to grant the following relief(s) upon making the following declarations:

1. The applicants are entitled to consideration of their cases for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005.
2. The respondents are liable to consider the cases of the applicants for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005 and any action to repatriate the applicants prior to such consideration is arbitrary, unfair and bad in law.
3. Cost of the application.
4. Any other relief(s) to which the applicants are entitled as deemed fit and proper by the Hon'ble Tribunal.

Interim order prayed for.

During pendency of this application, the applicants pray for following interim relief(s):

1. That the Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to observe that the pendency of this application shall not be a bar for the respondents to extend the relief (s) prayed for, to the applicants and accordingly, the respondents be restrained from repatriating the applicants without considering their cases for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005.

**IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH: GUWAHATI**

(An Application under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985)

Title of the case : O. A. No. 114 /2005

Lendi Chatung & Ors. : Applicants.


- Versus -

Union of India & Others: Respondents.

**INDEX**

SL. No.	Annexure	Particulars	Page No.
01.	----	Application	1-14
02.	----	Verification	-15-
03.	1	Copy of letter dated 12.01.2000.	16 - 17
04.	2	Copy Judgment and order dated 01.02.05.	18 - 35
05.	3	Copy of letter dated 28.03.05.	36 - 37

Filed by

  
Subrata Nath  
Advocate.

Date 24.05.05

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH: GUWAHATI

(An Application under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985)

O.A No. 114 /2005

BETWEEN

1. Lendi Chatung  
S/o Late Lendi Sala  
O/o The Executive Engineer, Along Electrical Division  
Department of Power, Along
2. Tonkeswar Borah  
S/o Late Golap Chandra Borah  
O/o The Executive Engineer,  
Tezu Public Works Division
3. Sanchayan Kumar Dam  
S/o Late Subodh Kumar Dam  
O/o The Executive Engineer  
Seppa Electrical Division
4. Love Rao  
S/o Luxmi Rao  
O/o The Executive Engineer  
Hydro Power Development Department
5. Jummi Kamum  
S/o Sri T. Kamum  
Irrigation & Flood Control Department, Daporijo Division  
Upper Subansiri, Daporijo.
6. Dilip Kumar Dey

18  
Filed by the applicants  
through: Subrata Nath  
24.05.05 Adwrote

Lendi Chatung

9

O/o The Executive Enginer  
 Public Works Division, Boleng  
 East Siang District.

7. Tashi Namgey  
 O/o The Executive Engineer  
 P.W.D Division Sepa  
 East Kameng District, Seppa.
8. Lani Bhusan Karmakar  
 S/o Late K.M Karmakar.  
 P.W.D Division, Dumperijo  
 Upper Subansiri District.

... Applicants.

-AND-

1. Union of India,  
 Through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E)  
 Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram etc.  
 Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
 Represented by the Secretary to the  
 Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
 Department of Power, Itanagar.
4. The Commissioner, Finance Department  
 Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
 Itanagar, 791111.
5. The Chief Engineer (T&D)

Lendi Chotung

Department of Power, Government of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar

6. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar
7. The Chief Engineer,  
Public Health Engineering Department  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.  
Itanagar.
8. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar
9. The Chief Engineer, IFCD  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar.
10. The Chief Engineer,  
Hydro Power Development Department, Itanagar.
11. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries,  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Naharlagun-791110.

... Respondents

DETAILS OF THE APPLICATION

Lendi Chatung

1. Particulars of order(s) against which this application is made:

This application is made praying for a direction upon the respondents, more particularly on the respondents No. 1 & 2 for consideration of absorption of the applicants as regular Divisional Accountant under the administrative control of the respondent No. 2 in the light of the decision contained in D.O letter dated 28.03.2005 issued by the respondent No. 2 addressed to respondent No. 4 and also for a further direction upon the respondents to allow the applicants to continue to work as Divisional Accountant with the present status till the process of absorption is completed.

2. Jurisdiction of the Tribunal.

The applicants declare that the subject matter of this application is well within the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

3. Limitation.

The applicants further declare that this application is filed within the limitation prescribed under section-21 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

4. Facts of the case.

4.1 The applicants are citizens of India and as such they are entitled to all the rights and privileges granted by the constitution of India. All the applicants are working as Divisional Accountant under the administrative control of the respondent no. 2 on deputation basis and they are posted in different Division Offices of the Executive Engineers in the State of Arunachal Pradesh as indicated in the cause title.

A. While working as U.D.C in the office of the Executive Engineer (Electrical) Ziro Electrical Division, Department of Power, the applicant no. 1 was

Lendi Matung

selected and appointed on 11.03.1999 as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis under the respondent no. 2. The aforesaid selection was pursuant to a circular No. DA. Cell/2-49/97-98/ Vol. II/ 245 dated 20.01.1998 issued by the respondent no. 2 inviting applications for recruitment of Divisional Accountant on deputation basis. After selection of the applicant no. 1. he was posted in the office of the Executive Engineer (E), Along Electrical Division Department of Power, Along.

B. The applicant No. 2 is a regular employee of the P.W. Department of State of Arunachal Pradesh. He was also similarly selected, as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis on 22.01.1997 vides order No. E.O No. DA Cell/212 dated 22.01.1997 he is still working as Divisional accountant in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Tezu.

C. The applicant no. 3 is a regular employee of the State of Arunachal Pradesh in the PW Department. While he was working as U.D.C in PW Department, he was selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant and was posted in the office of the Executive Engineer (Electrical), Seppa, Department of Power vide order E.O No.DA.Cell/215 dated 27.01.1997.

D. The applicant no. 4 was a regular employee of the PW Department. While working as I.D.C, he was also selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant vides order-dated 10.03.1999 and was posted to Along Civil Power Division, now Hydro Power Development Department, Along.

E. The applicant no. 5 is also a regular U.D.C under the Executive Engineer, PW Department, Basar. He was also selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant vides order E.O No. DA. Cell /95 dated 24.07.1998 and was posted in the office of the Executive Engineer, IFC Department Daporijo (A.P).

Lendi Chatung

F. The applicant no.6 was a regular U.D.C in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Yingkiong Division and after being found suitable, he was also selected and appointed as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis vide order No. E.O. NO. DA.Cell/248 dated 05.04.1999 and was posted in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Boleng Division.

G. The applicant no. 7 is a regular U.D.C. in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division and he was selected and appointed, as Divisional Accountant vide order No. E.O. NO. DA CELL/95 dated 24.7.1998 and was posted in the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Seppa Division.

H. The applicant no. 8 was a regular U.D.C of the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Division, Anini and he was selected and appointed vide order No. E.O No. DA.Cell/219 dated 10.03.1999 as Divisional Accountant and posted to the office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Division Dumperijo.

4.2 That the applicants pray permission to move this application jointly in a single application under Sec 4(5)(a) of the Central Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules 1987 as the relief's sought for in this application by the applicants are common, therefore they pray for granting leave to approach the Hon'ble Tribunal by a common application.

4.3 All the applicants are still working as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis under the different offices of Works Department under the Executive Engineer of the respective Division and they are under the administrative control of the respondent no. 2.

4.4 Initially, there was a move on the part of the Respondent no. 3 to take over the entire accounts set up from the administrative control of the respondent no. 2 in the manner as the Government of Assam had taken it

Land Chating

over, which is evident from letter bearing No. DA/TRY/15/99 dated 12<sup>th</sup> January'2000. In the said letter, the respondent no. 11 - while addressing the aforesaid letter to the respondent No. 2 - had contended that as it was under active consideration of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh to take over the cadre of Divisional Accountants/ Divisional Accounts Officers totaling 91 (Ninety One) posts from the existing combined cadre being controlled by the respondent No. 2 and now the respondent no. 3 had decided to take over the said cadre and place thus under the direct control of the respondent no. 11 with immediate effect.

It was further contended in the said letter that henceforth no fresh Divisional Accountants on deputation would be entertained however, cases of those who were presently on deputation and serving in the State would be examined for their future continuation even after completion of the term of deputation. Accordingly, the respondent No. 2 was requested to take necessary action so that the process of transfer of cadre alongwith the willing personnel could be completed immediately.

The respondent no. 11 also contended that that the formal notification in that regard was under issue and would be communicated in due course. But unfortunately, after the aforesaid letter dated 12<sup>th</sup> January'2000, the State respondent did not take any further action for taking over the accounts cadre from the administrative control of respondent no. 2 rather, the respondent no. 3 was silent in all these years regarding proposal of taking over the accounts cadre from the respondent No.2.

A copy of the letter-dated 12.01.2000 is enclosed as Annexure -1.

4.5 In view of the categorical stand of the respondent no. 3 that the entire account set up would be taken over by them with an opportunity to the incumbents/applicants to opt for absorption in the new cadre, the

Lendi Chatung

✓ applicants anticipated their regular absorption in the higher pay-scale, cadre, rank and status. But unfortunately, the respondent no. 2 had issued orders repatriating the applicants even though the resultant vacancies were going to be filled up by bringing other persons on deputation.

4.6 In the aforesaid circumstance, the action of the respondents amounted to replacing a person on deputation by another person on deputation and this was done notwithstanding the fact that the process of taking over the Accounts Cadre by the respondent no. 3 was under way.

4.7 When the matter rested at that stage, the applicants submitted representations to the respondents seeking consideration of their absorption in the light of the decisions of the respondent no. 3 as mentioned herein above and therefore, sought continuation as Divisional Accountant till the process of taking over was completed.

4.8 However, those representations being ignored by the respondents, the applicants had approached this Hon'ble Court as well as the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court seeking setting aside of the orders of repatriation as well as for issuance of a direction upon the respondents to permanently absorb the applicants in the posts of Divisional Accountant in consideration of the long period of service rendered and consequent decision of the respondent no. 3 as indicated herein above.

4.9 The applicant nos. 5, 6, 7, and 8 had approached this Hon'ble Tribunal through Original Application Nos. 230 & 250 of 2001 and 120 & 129 of 2002. However, the prayers in the said original applications being negated by this Hon'ble Tribunal, they had approached the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court in WP (C) Nos. 221 (AP), 409 (AP), 410 (AP) of 2002 and 256 of 2003. On the other hand, although the applicant nos. 1, 2, 3 & 4 being persons under the control of the respondent no. 2 ought to have approached this Hon'ble Tribunal at the first instance, however, they had directly

Lendri Chotung

approached the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court in WP (C) Nos. 729 (AP), 820 (AP) of 2001 and 112 (AP) of 2002 with similar prayer.

4.10 The respective cases of the applicants along with other similar cases were taken up by the Hon'ble High Court together for hearing and after having heard the matters at length, the Hon'ble High Court came to the findings that (a) the order of putting an employee on deputation does confer upon him any right for permanent absorption, (b) the question of absorption of a person on deputation is dependant on the decisions of the lending and the borrowing departments, (c) the orders of repatriation passed against the applicants after expiry of the term did not disclose any illegality or infirmity nor did those violate any statutory rule or regulation and therefore, (d) no fundamental right of the petitioners (applicants herein) was violated.

4.11 In the aforesaid circumstance, the Hon'ble High Court did not find any merit in the prayers of the applicants and accordingly, dismissed the writ petitions vide Judgment & Order dated 01.02.2005.

A copy of the aforesaid Judgment & Order is annexed herewith as  
**Annexure - 2.**

4.12 It may be mentioned at this stage that still there are 19 (nineteen) cases pending before the Gauhati High Court where the similar question in respect of some other Divisional Accounts are involved. It may not be out of place to mention that in 9 (nine) cases, this Hon'ble Tribunal had directed the respondents to consider the cases of the applicants therein for their permanent absorption. However, the Respondent No. 2 has preferred Writ Petitions against those Orders of this Hon'ble Tribunal before the High Court. The other 12 Writ Petitions have been filed by other Divisional Accountants challenging the respective Orders of their repatriations and all these 19 cases are awaiting decision.

*Lendi Chaturvedi*

4.13 That consequent to passing of the aforesaid Judgment and Order dated 01.02.2005 by the Gauhati High Court; the Respondent No. 2 has now issued a letter-dated 28.03.2005 to the Respondent No. 4 in response to his D.O. letter No. DA CELL/1-8/Court Case/2000-2001/1909 dated 24.03.2005 and thereby has intimated confirmation of the Headquarters i.e. Respondent No. 1 on the matter of extension of deputation period of all 31 Divisional Accountants (including applicants herein). It has been communicated therewith that for the present and in view of the developments, the judgment of the High Court in respect of the 12 Divisional Accountants (including applicants herein) is not being implemented and the 19 Divisional Accountants might be requested to withdraw their respective cases from the Court consequent to which their continuity on deputation will be considered. It has, thus, demonstrated the willingness of Respondent No. 2 to extend the period of deputation of all the 19 Divisional Accountants subject to withdrawal of their cases from Courts. It has also been communicated through the letter dated 28.03.2005 that on the question of absorption of all these 31 Divisional Accountants, the Headquarters has informed that modalities would be worked out and the persons on deputation may be communicated accordingly.

✓ A copy of aforesaid letter dated 28.03.2005 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-3.

4.14 That the applicants state that notwithstanding the aforesaid stand of the Respondent No. 2, steps are being taken to repatriate them to their parent cadre. Although the aforesaid letter of the Respondent No. 2 demonstrates a situation where the persons similarly situated like the present applicants would be considered for their continuation/permanent absorption as Divisional Accountants subject to withdrawal of Court cases, the cases of the present applicants are going to be ignored in an arbitrary and unfair

Lendi Chatung

manner. The applicants, therefore, reasonably apprehend that they may be ousted at any point of time without being considered for absorption in the light of the decision communicated through the letter dated 28.03.2005.

4.15 That the applicants state that in view of the decision of the High Court as mentioned hereinabove, although they may have no absolute right of permanent absorption, still, they have a right to have their cases considered when the borrowing department decides to absorb the persons on deputation and the lending department agrees to such decision. In the present case, a consensus among the borrowing and lending department on the issue of absorption of Divisional Accountants being explicit in the letter dated 28.03.2005, a right of due consideration has accrued to the applicants to have their cases considered vis-à-vis other similarly situated persons for permanent absorptions.

4.16 That in view of the facts and circumstances narrated above, the respondents are duty bound to also consider the cases of the present applicants notwithstanding the declaration of law made by the High Court to the effect that right of absorption is not absolute rather the question of absorption of a person on deputation is dependant on the decisions of the lending and the borrowing departments. In the circumstances, the Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to issue appropriate directions to the respondents to consider the cases of the applicants in the light of the decisions contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005.

4.17 That the applicant is made bonafide and for the cause of justice.

5. Grounds for relief(s) with legal provisions.

Lendi Chatung

29

- 5.1 For that the applicants, being similarly situated like the other 19 Divisional Accountants, have a right of having their cases duly considered by the respondents for permanent absorption as Divisional Accountants.
- 5.2 For that the letter dated 28.03.05 demonstrates the willingness of Respondent No. 2 to extend the period of deputation of all the Divisional Accountants including 19 Divisional Accountants and also for their permanent absorption subject to withdrawal of their cases from Courts and as such, such a decision creates a right in favour of the present applicants for due consideration of their cases.
- 5.3 For that in view of the judgment of the High Court, the right of permanent absorption being dependent on the desire of the borrowing and lending departments and, in view of the consensus among the borrowing and lending department on the issue of absorption of Divisional Accountants which is explicit in the letter dated 28.03.2005, a right of due consideration has accrued to the applicants to have their cases for permanent absorptions considered vis-à-vis other similarly situated persons.
- 5.4 For that the applicants being similarly situated to the other Divisional Accountants are entitled for a fair consideration of their cases by the respondents and a decision to consider the cases of others ignoring the cases of the present applicants is violative of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.
- 5.5 For that the action of the respondents in attempting repatriation of the applicants by going against the spirit of the decision as contained in the letter-dated 28.03.2005 is *ex-facie* bad in law, arbitrary and liable to be interfered with.
- 5.6 For that the judgment of the High Court is a declaration with regard to the rights of the parties and does not in any way debar the respondents from

Lendi Chatung

considering the cases of the applicants for continuation/permanent absorption if the borrowing and lending departments so agree and therefore, the said judgment of the High Court cannot be used as a tool for not considering the cases of the applicants notwithstanding the agreement reached in that regard by the concerned departments.

5.7 For that in view of the matter, the action of the respondents in keeping the applicants outside the zone of consideration and repatriating them to their parent cadre is arbitrary, discriminatory and liable to be declared illegal.

6. **Details of remedies exhausted.**

That the applicants state that they have exhausted all the remedies available to them and there is no other alternative and efficacious remedy than to file this application.

7. **Matters not previously filed or pending with any other Court.**

The applicants further declare that they had previously filed application/ Writ Petition before this Hon'ble Tribunal/High Court. However, those cases were disposed of by this learned Tribunal as well as by the Hon'ble High Court.

8. **Relief(s) sought for:**

Under the facts and circumstances stated above, the applicants humbly pray that Your Lordships be pleased to admit this application, call for the records of the case and issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why the relief(s) sought for in this application shall not be granted and on perusal of the records and after hearing the parties on the cause or causes that may be shown, be pleased to grant the following relief(s) upon making the following declarations:

8.1 The applicants are entitled to consideration of their cases for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005.

*Lendi Chotung*

8.2 The respondents are liable to consider the cases of the applicants for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005 and any action to repatriate the applicants prior to such consideration is arbitrary, unfair and bad in law.

8.3 Cost of the application.

8.4 Any other relief(s) to which the applicant is entitled as deemed fit and proper by the Hon'ble Tribunal.

9. Interim order prayed for.

During pendency of this application, the applicant prays for following interim relief (s):

9.1 That the Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to observe that the pendency of this application shall not be a bar for the respondents to extend the relief (s) prayed for, to the applicants and accordingly, the respondents be restrained from repatriating the applicants without considering their cases for permanent absorptions/continuation as Divisional Accountant in the light of the decision contained in the letter dated 28.03.2005.

10. ....

This application is filed through Advocates.

11. Particulars of the I.P.O.

i) I. P. O. No.	: 20 G J 60890
ii) Date of Issue	: 18.5.05
iii) Issued from	: G Po. Gauhati
iv) Payable at	: G Po, Gauhati

12. List of enclosures.

As given in the index.

Lendi Chotung

32

VERIFICATION

I, Shri Lendi Chatung, S/o Late Lendi Sala, aged about 35 years working as Divisional Accountant, in the O/o- The Executive Engineer, Along Electrical Division, Department of Power, Along, applicant No. 1 in the instant Original Application, duly authorized by the others to verify the statements made in this application, do hereby verify that the statements made in Paragraph 1 to 4 and 6 to, 12 are true to my knowledge and those made in Paragraph 5 are true to my legal advice and I have not suppressed any material fact.

And I sign this verification on this the    day of May 2004.

Lendi Chatung

## ANNEXURE-1

## GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH

Director of Accounts &amp; Treasuries

Naharlagun-791110

No.DA/TRY/15/99

Dated, the 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2000

To,

The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, etc.,  
Shillong-793 001.

Sub: Transfer of the Cadre of Divisional Accounts Officer/Divisional Accountants to the State of Arunachal Pradesh – regarding.

Sir,

It was under active consideration of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh for sometime to take over the Cadre of the Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accountants of the Works Department totaling 91 (ninety one) posts from the existing combined cadre being controlled by you. Now, the Government of Arunachal Pradesh has decided to take over the above said Cadre under the direct control of the Director of accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, with immediate effect.

Persons those who are borne on regular basis in the cadre and opt to come over to Arunachal Pradesh State Cadre, will be taken over on status quo subject to acceptance of the State Government. It is also decided that henceforth no fresh Divisional Accountant(s) on deputation will be entertained. Cases of those who are presently on deputation and serving in this state shall be examined at this end for their future continuation even after completion of the existing term of deputation.

*Certified to be true copy  
Smt. Parvati*

It is, therefore, requested to take necessary action at your level so that the process of the transfer of the Cadre along with the willing personnel can be completed immediately.

Formal notification is under issue and shall be communicated in due course.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(Y. Megu)

Director of Accounts & Treasuries  
& Ex-Officio Dy. Secy (Finance)  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh  
NAHARLAGUN"

112105

IN THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT  
(THE HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MEGHALAYA, MANIPUR,  
TRIPURA, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

1. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.459(AP) OF 2001

M.V.Kartikeyan Nair,  
Son of K.P.V.Nair,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Kalaktang PW.Division.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
2. The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
3. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
4. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department,  
Kalaktang Division, Kalaktang, A.P.

... Respondents

2. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.517(AP) OF 2001

Shri Bidhu Bhusan De,  
Son of late P.C.De,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer, Hayuliang Civil Division,  
Department of Power, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Department of Power, Itanagar.
2. The Chief Engineer, Power,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

*Certified to be a  
true copy*

*112105*  
Date 15/10/2005  
Sub. to the Hon'ble Commissioner  
Naharlaon  
Authorised U/S 76 of Act  
of 1850

*Certified to be true copy  
Smt. Smt. Advocate*

3. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.

4. The Executive Engineer, Power Department,  
Hayullang Civil Division, Hayuliang, A.P.

... Respondents

### 3. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.729(AP) OF 2001

**Sri Tonkeswar Borah,**  
**Son of late Golap Chandra Borah,**  
**Presently working as Divisional Accountant,**  
**Office of Executive Engineer,**  
**Tezu PWD Division, Tezu.**

... Petitioner.

#### -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.

2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.

3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.

4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

5. The Chief Engineer (EZ), P.W.D.,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

6. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department,  
Tezu Division, Tezu, A.P.

... Respondents

### 4. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.820 (AP) OF 2001

**Shri Sanchyan Kumar Dam.**  
**Son of late Subodh Kumar Dam.**  
**Presently working as Divisional Accountant,**  
**Office of the Executive Engineer,**  
**Seppa Electrical Division, Seppa.**

... Petitioner.

#### -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.

**Certified to be a  
true copy**

*Abdul Razzak*  
Asstt. Registrar, Seppa  
Court of Civil Court, Arunachal Pradesh  
Nabarhynn  
Authenticated U/S 76 of And  
of 1872

2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradedsh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Power Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

## 5. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.112 (AP) OF 2002

1. Shri Lendi Chatung,  
S/o late Lendi Shala,  
Office of the Executive Engineer (Electrical),  
Along, West Siang.

2. Shri Love Rao,  
s/o Luxmi Rao,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
D.O.P.Civil, Along.

... Petitioner.


### -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradedsh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Power Department, Itanagar.
4. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Power Department, Itanagar.
5. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Chief Engineer, Department of Power,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
6. The Chief Engineer, PWD,  
Western Zone, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar.

... Respondents

**Certified to be a**

**true copy**



Mohan Kumar

Secretary U.S.T.E of And I

of 1972

१८०

**6. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.221 (AP) OF 2002**

**Shri Jumi Kamum,  
Son of Shri T.Kamum,  
IFCD Daporijo Division,  
Upper Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.**

... Petitioner.

## -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.

2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.

Shillong 793003.  
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.

4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

5. The Executive Engineer Engineer, IFCD.,  
Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri,  
Arunachal Pradesh.

### ... Respondents

7. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.301 (AP) OF 2002

Shri L.Appal Swami,  
Son of late L.A.Naidu,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer PHED Khonsa,  
District Tirap, A.P.

... Petitioner.

## -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.  
State of Arunachal Pradesh,

2. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Health Engineering Department, Itanagar.

Public Health Engineering Department  
The Comptroller and Auditor General of India,

**Certified to be a  
true copy**

4. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradedsh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
5. The Chief Engineer (EZ),  
P.W.D., Itanagar
6. The Chief Engineer,  
Public Health Engineering Department, Itanagar.
7. The Senior Deputy Accountant General(Admn),  
Accountant Geneal (A&E), Meghalaya etc. Shillong.
8. The Senior Deputy Accountant General,  
Office of the Accountant General, Meghalaya etc.  
Shillong.
9. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
10. The Executive Engineer, P.H.E.D.,  
Khonsa, District Tirap, Arunachal Pradesh.

... Respondents

## 8. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.472 (AP) OF 2002

**Shri Santanu Ghosh,  
S/o late S.R.Ghosh,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
RWD, Yingkiong, Upper Siang, A.P.**

... Petitioner.


-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradedsh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Rural Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Chief Engineer, Department of RWD,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
6. The Superintending Engineer,  
RWD, Papumpare District, Itanagar.

... Respondents

Certified to be a

true copy

  
 Asstt. Commissioner of Revenue  
 dated 13/2/2002, To H. L. Lamuwar Borkot  
 Natharkot, Moga  
 Authorized U/S 76 of Act  
 of 1872

## 9. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.409 OF 2002

Shri Dilip Kumar Dey,  
 Divisional Accountant,  
 Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Boleng Division,  
 Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, via Pashighat, District East Siang,  
 Arunachal Pradesh

... Petitioner.

### -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
     through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
     10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
     Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
     Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
     represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
     Public Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
     Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department,  
     Boleng Division, Boleng.
6. The Commissioner (Finance),  
     Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

## 10. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.410(AP) OF 2002

Shri Tashi Namgyal,  
 Divisional Accountant,  
 Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Seppa Division,  
 Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,

... Petitioner.

### -Versus-

1. Union of India,  
     through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
     10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
     Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
     Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
     represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
     Public Works Department, Itanagar.

Certified to be a

true copy

*Shri Tashi Namgyal*  
 At: P. Circular Road  
 Arunachal Pradesh High Court, Itanagar  
 Arunachal Pradesh  
 Authorised U/S 76 of Act 1

4. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
5. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
6. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Seppa Division, Seppa.
6. The Commissioner (Finance), Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

### **11. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.172 (AP) OF 2003**

**Shri Pradip Kumar Paul,  
Working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Irrigation and Flood Control, Resource Division,  
Panchamukh, PO Agartala, West Tripura.**

... Petitioner.

#### **-Versus-**

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Irrigation and Flood Control Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer,  
Resource Division, Agartala, Tripura.

... Respondents

### **12. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.256 (AP) OF 2003**

**Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Public Works Department®,  
Dumporijo Division, Upper Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.**

... Petitioner.

**Certified to be a**

**true copy**

*Handwritten Signature*  
Naharlagun

Accepted U/S 7E of Act  
of 1872

**-Versus-**

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (C),  
Dumporijo Division, Upper Subsansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.
6. The Commissioner (Finance),  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

**... Respondents**

**BEFORE**  
**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE I.A.ANSARI**  
**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.N.SARMA**

For the petitioners : Mr.M.Chanda, Mr.U.K.Nair, Mrs. B.Goyal,  
 Mr.A.Roshid, Mr.K.Ete, Mr. T.Michi,  
 Mr.B.Habuang, Mr. K.Tapa, Mr.K.Tini,  
 Mr. S.Dutta, Mr.S.K.Gosh, Advocates.

For the respondents : Mr.M.Partin, C.G.S.C.,  
 Mr.B.L.Singh, Sr. Govt Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh

Date of hearing : 8

Date of judgment : 01-02-05 ✓

**JUDGMENT AND ORDER****H.N.SARMA J.**

This batch of writ petitions involve common question of law and facts. The grievance of the petitioners, in all these petitions, is against the order of repatriation from different Departments of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh i.e. their parent Departments, by the Accountant General, who posted the petitioners on deputation as Divisional Accountants in various offices of

certified to be a

true copy

*H.N.Sarman J.*  
 Date 15/2/05  
 N.H. 2005  
 Annexure  
 Enclosed U/S 76 of Act  
 of 1872

Arunachal Pradesh. It is the further prayer of the petitioners, in all the writ petitions, that they should be permanently absorbed in their existing deputation posts of Divisional Accountant under the State of Arunachal Pradesh. With similar prayer, some of the petitioners, namely, petitioners in W.P.C No. 221(AP)/02, W.P.C No.256/03, W.P.C No.409(AP)/02 and W.P.C No.410(AP)/02 initially approached the Central Administrative Tribunal, Guwahati and the learned Tribunal having dismissed their prayers, they have challenged the respective orders before this High Court and accordingly, those cases came to be taken up by the Division Bench of this Court. The petitioners in other writ petitions namely WP.C No.229(AP)/01, WP.C No.820(AP)/01, WP(c) No. 459(AP)/03, WP(C) No. 517(AP)/01, WP(C) No.112(AP)/02, WP.C No.301(AP)/02, WP.C No.473/02 directly approached this High Court and those cases were taken up by the learned Single Judge. In WP.C No. 409(AP)/02 and W.P.C No.410(AP)/02 a Division Bench of this Court by order, dated 11.08.03, directed that all these writ petitions referred to above, which are pending before the Single Bench, be listed for hearing before a Division Bench along with the said writ petitions. Accordingly, all the aforesaid cases have come up for hearing before the Division Bench.

2. The common case of the petitioners are that while they were serving as Upper Division Clerks in various offices of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh, the office of the Accountant General, Arunachal Pradesh and Meghalaya, vide Circular No.DA. Cell/2-49/97-98/ Vol.II/ 245, dated 20.01.98, invited applications from candidates, who are willing to serve temporarily as Divisional Accountant in Public Works Department in Manipur, Arunachal Pradesh and Tripura for a period of one year on deputation basis. In pursuance of the aforesaid circular, the petitioners gave their consent for such deputation, and duly applied for the same. On consideration of their applications, the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya and Arunachal Pradesh, posted the petitioners on deputation as Divisional Accountant for a period of one year in the following manner:

1. Dilip Kr. Dey, UDC, Office of the executive Engineer, PWD Yingkiong Division (petitioner in W.P.C No.409(AP)/02) posted as Divisional Accountant, Boneng PWD Division on deputation for a period of one year by order dated 05.04.99.

Certified to be a  
true copy

*[Signature]*  
Asst. Registrar, And  
Central Library, Law Library  
Naharibazar  
Accredited 11/12/96 of Ass  
of 1871

2. Toshi Namgey, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division (petitioner in WP© No.410(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Seppa PWD Division for one year.

3. Jumi Kamum, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Basar Sub-Division (petitioner in WP© No.221(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Daporijo I & F.C. Division for one year.

4. Lani Bhushan Karmakar, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Anini Division (petitioner in WP© No.256(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Dumperijo PWD Division for one year.

5. Thankeswar Borah, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Dumperijo Civil Division PWD (petitioner in WP© No.729(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Dumperijo Civil Division PWD by order dated 22.01.97 for one year.

6. Sanchyan Kumar Dam, LDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Kalaktang Division (petitioner in WP© No.459(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Division, Nalkatha, Tripura vide order dated 27.01.97 for one year.

7. V.K. Nair, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division (petitioner in WP© No.459(AP)/01) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Chandel PWD Chandel, Manipur vide order dated 23.02.96 for one year.

8. Bidhu Bhusan De, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, Deomali Electrical Division (petitioner in WP© No.517(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant in Thoubal Project Division No.II (I&FC), Thoubal, Manipur vide order dated 26.04.96 for one year.

9. Lendi Chatung, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, Ziro Electrical Division (petitioner in WP© No.112(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Along Electrical Division vide order dated 11.03.99 for one year; and Shri Love Rao, LDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Along Division (petitioner in WP© No.112(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Along PWD Division vide order dated 10.03.99 for one year.

10. Santanu Ghosh, Assistant, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division (petitioner in WP© No.473(AP)/02) posted on

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

15/11/08

deputation as Divisional Accountant, Yingking Division, PWD vide order dated 05.03.99 for one year.

11. Pradip Kumar Paul, UDC, Office of the Chief Engineer, I& FC Itanagar (petitioner in WP@ No.172(AP)/03) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Resorce Division, Agartala, Tripura vide order dated 16.07.99 for one year.

3. In the aforesaid deputation orders, it has been specifically provided that the said deputation is only for a period of one year from the date of joining by the petitioners as Divisional Accountant and no right shall accrue to the petitioners nor they will be entitled to permanent absorption as Divisional Accountant. It is also provided that the said period of deputation may be extended up to 3 years, if his service is considered to be needed and in no case, the period will be extended beyond a period of 3 years. Paragraph 6 of the order of deputation reads as under-

".6. The period of deputation of ..... will be for a duration of 1(one) year only from the date of joining as Divisional Accountant on deputation and no way he shall accrue any right to claim for permanent absorption as Divisional Accountant. The period of deputation may be extended upto three years, if his service is considered to be needed. But in no case, the period of deputation will be extended beyond the period of 3 years."

4. Pursuant to such deputation orders, the petitioners, on being released from their respective parent Departments, duly joined in their deputed posts and served accordingly. The said period of deputation was extended for a further period of 2 years from the date of expiry. In the meanwhile, the Joint Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, vide letter No.DA/TRY/15/99, dated 15.11.99, wrote to the Accountant General, inter alia, informing that the issue of recruitment and posting of Divisional Accountants to 38 Public Works Divisions of the State of Arunachal Pradesh, which are presently manned by deputationists were under active consideration of the State Government and that before placing the petitioners on deputation, the Government of Arunachal Pradesh was never consulted and although the salaries etc for the petitioners were borne from the State exchequer, the pay scale presently enjoyed by the cadre of Divisional Accountants has been posing problem for granting huge sum in the form of pay and allowances. It was also

Continued on a

*Arayangbam  
M. D. S.  
15/12/99*

Autographed 15/12/99  
of 1877

Intimated that the State Govt of Arunachal Pradesh is of the view that recruitment and posting of Divisional Accountants for the 38 Divisions of the Public Works Department may not be done since final decision of the Government is still awaited and the persons, who have been serving on deputation, may be allowed to continue for a further period of 2 years in the interest of public service.

5. On receipt of the aforesaid letter as well as on consideration of the terms of deputation orders, the Accountant General, vide letter No.DA.Cell/194/200, dated 11.06.2001, intimated the petitioners that on expiry of the period of deputation to the posts of Divisional Accountant under the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya etc., the petitioners are to be repatriated to their parent Departments. When the Accountant General took such decision, the same was challenged before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Guwahati Bench, by the petitioners in WP@ Nos. 221/02, 256/03, 409/02 and 410/02 by different similarly situated deputationists in O.A.Nos. 230/01, 234/01 and 276/01 and the learned Central Administrative Tribunal by judgment and order, dated 11.01.02, was pleased to hold, inter alia, that the applicants, who came under the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya on deputation are holding the permanent posts in the respective parent Department and at the end of deputation period, they are repatriated and, therefore, nothing illegality is discernible from the orders of repatriation. Accordingly, the applications were dismissed. Similar other applications filed before the learned Tribunal by other employees were also dismissed on the same footing. Thereafter, the Accountant General(A&E), Meghalaya, wrote to various Departments of the State of Arunachal Pradesh informing them that the Divisional Accountants posted in their offices on deputation has been released and are reverted back to their parent Departments. When the judgment and order of the Central Administrative Tribunal was challenged by the deputationists before the Division Bench of this Court along with the orders of repatriation of the deputationists to their parent Department on expiry of the period of deputation, this Court while admitting the petition was pleased to stay the said order of repatriation. The petitioners also prayed for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. The Respondent No. 1 and 2 filed affidavit-in- opposition refuting the prayer made by the petitioners in the writ petitions.

Continued on

*Abayngha* 15/2/05

6. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Also heard Mr.M.Partin, learned Sr.CGSC, and Mr.B.L.Singh, learned Senior Govt Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that since the petitioners are working on deputation for a considerable period, they are entitled for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. It has further been submitted that since there is a move for bifurcation towards creation of a new cadre of Accountant General(A&E) for the State of Arunachal Pradesh and necessary process is going on, it would be highly prejudicial to the petitioner, if they are repatriated to their parent Departments without considering their cases for permanent absorption. It has also been submitted that the State Government having taken a decision to take over the administrative control of the accounts cadre from the Accountant General to absorb the services of the existing incumbents, the decision to repatriate the petitioners to their parent Department is illegal and in the aforesaid situation, petitioners are entitled to be permanently absorbed in their deputed posts.

9. Mr.M.Partin, learned Sr.CGSC, on the other hand, refuting the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners contends that as per the recruitment rules governing the service conditions of the petitioners, which came into force with effect from 24.09.98, the period of deputation cannot be extended beyond 3 years. Further, in the deputation order itself, it has been specifically provided that the terms of deputation would be only for a period of one year and at any rate, it cannot be extended beyond the period of 3 years and the petitioners, having joined as per the aforesaid terms and conditions without any reservation, are not now entitled to raise claim for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. It is further submitted that the petitioners have no enforceable right, fundamental or otherwise, and there is no illegality or irregularity in deciding and/or passing the order to repatriate the petitioners to their respective parent Departments after expiry of the period of deputation and, as such, the petitions are not maintainable.

10. Mr.B.L.Singh, learned Senior Govt Advocate on behalf of the State Respondents, has submitted that the State of Arunachal Pradesh has not yet taken any decision to take over the Divisional Accountants from the administrative control of the Accountant General. He submits that it is absolutely within the domain of the Accountant General to keep the petitioners on

Continued

*Arunachal Pradesh*  
15.2.05  
Q.C. 71 of Adm  
of 1872

deputation or not. Mr. Singh has also submitted that the terms of the order of deputation having specifically provided that the period cannot be extended beyond three years, the petitioners have no enforceable right or locus to pray for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. It is further submitted that the financial burden of the deputed employees are being borne by the State Government as the deputationists are being paid much higher pay and allowances for the service rendered by them in the deputed posts and due to extreme financial stringency, the State is not in a position to bear the said burden any more. However, due to interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioners are continuing, therefore, he prays for dismissal of the writ petitions. He further contends that there is no illegality in the orders passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal and the learned Tribunal has rightly rejected their applications.

11. We have heard learned counsel for the parties at length and considered the rival submissions. The appointment to the posts of Divisional Assistant is regulated by a set of rules framed under Article 148(5) of the Constitution of India, which is applicable to the petitioners. As per the said rules, the method of recruitment to the posts of Divisional Accountant is by way of direct recruitment. It is also provided in the said rules that vacancies caused due to certain exigencies for a duration of one year or more may be filled up on transfer on deputation from the State Public Works Department Clerks holding the posts equivalent or comparable with that of Accountant/ Senior Accountant on regular basis for a period of 5 years including two years experience in public works accounts and the period of deputation shall ordinarily not exceed three years. The petitioners, in the instant case, have not shown that they are eligible for deputation to the posts of Divisional Accountant, as per the aforesaid qualification and experience prescribed in the statutory service rules. Be that as it may, it is an admitted fact that the petitioners were posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant as per the order of the Accountant General (A&E) and the said period of deputation as per the statutory rules cannot exceed beyond three years. In fact, the order/orders, by which the petitioners were placed on deputation, has/have specifically provided that the period of deputation is for a period of one year and under no circumstances, the same would be extended beyond a period of three years.

Certified to be a

true copy

Authenticated U/B 76 of Adt  
of 1872

12. Learned Sr.C.G.S.C. has submitted that the Accountant General has decided to release and repatriate the petitioners to their parent Departments and in fact, necessary orders of release have been passed in most of the cases. The learned Sr.Govt Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh, has submitted that the State Government has not decided to absorb the petitioners permanently and continuation of the petitioners in the deputed posts has caused serious financial hardships to the State Government. We also find that there is no decision of the State Government to create a separate wing of Accountant General (A&E) for Arunachal Pradesh and as has been submitted by Mr.Singh, the State Government has taken no such decision. Viewed from the aforesaid factual situation, now the question arises as to whether the petitioners are entitled for an order to be absorbed permanently in their deputed posts or not.

13. The decision reported in **State of Punjab vs Inder Singh & Ors**, reported in (1997) 8 SCC 372, is sought to be pressed into service on behalf of the petitioners and it has been submitted that since the petitioners are on deputation for a considerable period, their cases for permanent absorption may be directed to be considered by the Government. In Inder Singh (spura) the petitioner who was enrolled in the Punjab Police, on 31.08.66, as Constable was sent on deputation to C.I.D. in the same rank on 13.04.69. He was sought to be repatriated on 15.09.90, while he was holding the rank of ad hoc Sub-Inspector. During the period of deputation, he was promoted as officiating Head Constable and in the parent Department, he was holding substantive rank of Head Constable. In the background of the aforesaid facts, the Apex Court observed that after allowing to remain on deputation for a period of 20 years, a hope, though not true, still in his mind, that he would be allowed to continue in the C.I.D. holding higher rank till he attained the age of superannuation and accordingly affirmed the order of the High Court to the extent for option given to all those respondents, who put in 20 years qualifying service to seek voluntary retirement from the C.I.D. in the ranks they are holding and they would be deemed to have worked in the C.I.D. up to the date of the judgment. The facts of the present case are entirely different. The petitioners, in the instant cases, were deputed some time in the year 1999 and on expiry of three years they were sought to be repatriated to their parent Departments. No promotion was also affected to the petitioners' while they were serving in the deputed Department and at present, they are continuing as per interim orders of the

Concurred in by

*Abay Jammal Singh*  
Date: 15/2/05  
Assisted by [unclear]

Court. Accordingly the said case is of no assistance to the petitioners. On the other hand, the learned C.G.S.C. has placed reliance on a decision of the Apex Court reported in **Rati Lal B. Soni & Ors. Vs State of Gujarat & Ors, AIR 1990 SC 1132**, and reiterated his submission that the petitioners have no right to continue in their deputed posts. In the aforesaid case, the Apex Court, inter alia, held that the appellant being on deputation, they can be reverted to their parent cadre at any time and they do not get any right to be absorbed on the deputation post and accordingly affirmed the order passed by the High Court and dismissed the appeal of the deputationist.

15. Exigencies of public service may occasion an employee to be sent on deputation with the consent of the employee. Now, we are to see as to what the terminology "Deputation" connotes. The concept of "Deputation" in essence derived from the significance of the word 'deputy' and the appropriate meaning of 'deputy', in this context, would be "substantive". In Blak's Law Dictionary, the word 'deputy' has been defined as "a person appointed or delegated to act as a substitute for another esp. for an "official". The Apex Court has explained the concept of deputation in the case of **State of Punjab vs Inder Singh (supra)**, at para 18 in the following term:

"18. The concept of "deputation" is well understood in service law and has a recognized meaning. "Deputation" has a different connotation in service law and the dictionary meaning of the word "deputation" is of the help. In simple words "deputation" means service outside the cadre or outside the parent Department. Deputation is deputing or transferring an employee to a post outside his cadre, that is to say, to another Department on a temporary basis. After the expiry period of deputation, the employee has to come back to his parent Department to occupy the same position unless in the meanwhile he has earned promotion in his parent Department as per the recruitment Rules. Whether the transfer is outside the normal field of deployment or not is decided by the authority who controls the service or post from which the employee is transferred. There can be no deputation without the consent of the person so deputed and he would, therefore, know his rights and privileges in the deputation post. The law on deputation and repatriation is quite settled law as we have also seen in various judgments, which we have referred to above. There is no escape for the respondents now to go back to the parent Departments and working there as Constables or Head Constables as the case may be.

Certified to be a

True copy

*[Signature]*  
S. S. D. B. (S. S. D. B.)  
Date: 21/08/2008  
Certified 11/07/2011  
of 1993

16. In **Kunal Nanda vs Union of India, reported in (200) 5 SCC**

362, the Apex Court dealing with the question relating to the validity of an order of repatriation of a deputationist, inter alia, held at para 6 as follows: -

"6. .... On the legal submissions also made there are no merits whatsoever. It will settle that unless the claim of the deputationist for permanent absorption in the Department where he works on deputation is based upon any statutory rule, regulation or order bearing the force of law, a deputationist cannot assert and succeed in any such claim for absorption. The basic principle underlying deputation itself is that the person concerned can always and at any time be repatriated to his parent Department to serve his substantive position therein at the instance of either of the Departments and there is no vested right in such a person to continue for long on deputation ... ..."

17. Recruitment to service may be made by way of deputation also apart from other modes; but when it is made on deputation, it does not result in absorption in the service to which an employee is deputed unless the concerned Department decides to do so. In that sense, it is not recruitment in its true import and significance and the employee continues to be a member of parent service from where he is posted on deputation. By passing an order of deputation or putting an employee on deputation in another service, it does not confer any right to be absorbed in the deputed post and the deputationist can, therefore, be reverted to the parent cadre at any time. A deputationist may be absorbed in substantive capacity in the borrowed Department provided the borrowed Department so desires and the parent Department so agrees. In the instant case, neither the parent Department nor the requisitioning Department is willing to have the petitioners absorbed permanently. The statutory rules, which is the source of right of the petitioners to be on deputation, prescribe the maximum limit of the period of deputation for three years only. The orders by which the petitioners were deputed from the parent Department is very specific that the period of deputation would not exceed beyond three years. The petitioners have lien to their respective posts in the parent Department and they can very well be repatriated back to their respective posts. The materials available on record do not disclose any infirmity or illegality in passing the orders of repatriation after expiry of the period of deputation, nor do the said orders, in

**Certified to be a**

**TRUE COPY**

*Abdul B. Khan*  
Acting Legal Advisor  
Central Legal Services Board  
Ministry of Law and Justice

Accorded 1. 6. 76 of Act 1  
of 1873

any way, violate any of the provisions of the statutory rules or regulations holding the field.

18. In view of the aforesaid discussion and decisions, we find that no right of the petitioners have been violated nor the petitioners have been able to show any violation of the any of fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution of India in deciding and/or passing the order of repatriation of the petitioner to their parent Departments justifying interference by this Court in exercise of its power of judicial review under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. In view of the above, we do not find any merit in this batch of writ petitions. Accordingly the petitions are dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/- H. N. Sarma  
JUDGE

Sd/- I. A. Ansari  
JUDGE

Dsd

Comming to m/s  
true copy  
H. N. Sarma  
Actt. Rep. 15/2/08  
High Court, Lucknow  
Dated: 15/2/08  
Subsidiary  
Act 1873 of 1873  
of 1873

15/2/08

Amritpal Singh  
Advocate

Certified to be true by  
Amritpal Singh  
Advocate

- 36 -

Annexure-3

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E)  
MEGHALAYA, ARUNACHAL PRADESH & MIZORAM  
SHILLONG-793 001  
Phone : 0364-2223191 (O) Fax : 0364-2223103

E. R. Solomon  
Accountant-General

D.O.No.DA Cell/1-8/Court Case/2000-2001/1969  
Dated: March 24, 2005

Dear Mr. Com.

28 MAR 2005

Please recall our telecon a little while ago regarding the Divisional Accountants on deputation to my office and presently posted in the State of Arunachal Pradesh. With reference to your D.O.letter No.DA/TRY/27/2002 dated 10.3.2005, I am to inform you that my Headquarters has confirmed that we could consider extension of the deputation of the present 31 Divisional Accountants presently on deputation to our department. As you are aware the Division Bench of the Cachar High Court Itanagar Bench had issued an order dated 10.2.2005 regarding the 12 Divisional Accountants on deputation who are to be reverted back to their parent departments in the Government of Arunachal Pradesh. This order is not being implemented presently and the remaining 19 Divisional Accountants who have cases pending in the Court/CAT may be immediately requested to withdraw all their court cases and once this is done their continuity on deputation will be considered.

On the question of absorption of these 31 deputationists, my HQrs. has informed that the modalities will be worked out and this may be conveyed to these deputationists.

I request for your urgent action to ensure that the pending court cases of the 19 Divisional Accountants on deputation are withdrawn to facilitate their continued deputation/absorption.

Regards,

Yours sincerely

Shri Otem Dai  
Financial Commissioner to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar.

certified to be True Copy:

Lendi Chaitanya  
Applicant

Officer in Charge  
WP 2005

24/3/05

Annexure-3

(Typcd)

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E)  
MEGHALAYA, ARUNACHAL PRADESH & MIZORAM  
SIHLLONG- 793001

Phone: 0364-2223191 (O) Fax: 0364-2223103

E.R. Solomon  
Accountant General

D.O. No. DA Cell/1-8/Court Case/2000-2001/1909

Dated: March 24, 2005  
28 MAR 2005

Dear

Otem,

Please recall our telecon a little while ago regarding the Divisional Accountants on deputation to my office and presently posted in the State of Arunachal Pradesh. With reference to your D.O. letter No. DA/TRY/27/2002 dated 10.3.2005, I am to inform you that my Headquarters has confirmed that we could consider extension of the deputation of the present 31 Divisional Accountants presently on deputation to our department. As you are aware the Division Bench of the Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Bench had issued an order dated 10.2.2005 regarding the 12 Divisional Accountants on deputation who are to be reverted back to their parent departments in the Government of Arunachal Pradesh. This order is not being implemented presently and the remaining 19 Divisional Accountants who have cases pending in the Court/CAT may be immediately requested to withdraw all their court cases and once this is done, their continuity on deputation will be considered.

On the question of absorption of these 31 deputationists, my HQrs. has informed that the modalities will be worked out and this may be conveyed to these deputationsits.

I request for your urgent action to ensure that the pending court cases of the 19 Divisional Accountants on deputation are withdrawn to facilitate their continued deputation/absorption.

Regards

Yours sincerely

Sd/-

Illegible

24.03.05.


Shri Otem Dai,  
Financial Commissioner to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.  
Itanagar.

Certified to be true Copy

Lend Chotung  
Applicant

Sub  
Advocate

✓ Handed over to Sub  
Advocate on 24.03.2005  
Ranjeet Singh  
Bhand



IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH : GUWAHATI

Shri Jayanta Kumar Bhattacharya  
Finance & Accounts Officer  
Arunachal Pradesh  
26.9.05

O.A. NO. 114 OF 2005

Shri Lendi Chatung & Ors

-Versus-

Union of India & Ors

WRITTEN STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT NO. 11

I, Shri Jayanta Kumar Bhattacharya, son of late Anil Kumar Bhattacharya aged about 47 years, presently serving as Finance & Accounts Officer in the Directorate of Accounts, Naharlagun and residing at Naharlagun, P.O. Naharlagun do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:

1. That a copy of the original application being No. 114 of 2005 has been served upon me wherein I have been arrayed as Party Respondent No. 11, I have read the averments made in the application along with its annexures and understood the contents thereof.
2. That save and except what is specifically admitted in this Written Statement and the statements made in the application which are contrary to and inconsistent with the records shall be deemed to have been denied.
3. That the applicants filed the instant application seeking the relief viz :
  - i) That the applicants who are on deputation entitled to consideration as Divisional Accountant working under different Division offices of the Executive Engineers in the State of Arunachal Pradesh.

55  
Jayanta Kumar Bhattacharya  
26.9.05

ii) That before dealing with the said relief as prayed for, the deponent deals with different paragraphs made in the instant application for conveniences of the case in hand.

4. That with regard to the statements made in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.3 of the instant application the deponent states that these are matters of record and any statement made therein which are contrary to records shall be deemed to have been denied.

5. That with regard to the statements made in paragraphs 4.4 of the application the deponent states that the State of Arunachal Pradesh through its Principal Secretary (Finance) Government of Arunachal Pradesh has formulated a scheme for taking over the Administrative control of the cadres of Senior DAO/DAO Grade-I/DAO Grade-II and DA of working divisions alleging to PHE/RWD/IFCD/PWD/Power Department of Arunachal Pradesh from the control of Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc. Shillong. The said scheme has been sent to the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc Shillong for taking over of the administrative control of the cadre of Divisional Accountant from the later vide office letter dated 30.7.2005. This has been done in consonance to cabinet decision taken on 28.11.2001.

6. That with regard to the statement made in paragraph 4.5 of the application the deponent states that the question has been dealt with in the scheme which is quoted hereunder :

“2.2. The Divisional Accountants ( on deputation) those who have completed the existing terms of deputation will be continued as Emergency Divisional Accountant for the time being as working arrangement. But for their absorption as regular Divisional Accountant they shall have to qualify themselves in the Divisional test examination within two years from the date of taking over the cadre from the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E) , Meghalaya, Arunachal

Jyanta Kr. Borthakur  
26.9.05

Pradesh etc. Shillong, for which they will be given three chances to qualify in the Divisional test examination. If any body fails to qualify in the Divisional test examination, shall be reverted to their parent department as and when qualified hand become available".

The said paragraph of the scheme ha put certain conditions and these conditions are required to be fulfilled in order to get the permanent absorption in the cadre of Divisional Accountant working under different divisions of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh.

Copies of the communication dated 30.7.2005 and the scheme are annexed hereto as Annexure A and B respectively to this Written Statement.

7. That with regard to the statements made in paragraphs 4.6 and 4.7 of the application the deponent states that the Government of Arunachal Pradesh had reiterated its stand for continuation of Divisional Accounts on deputation till the process of taking over administrative control from Accountant General (A&E) Meghelia, Aruanchal Pradesh etc. Shillong is completed which is evident from Annexure I of the application dated 12.1.2000.
8. That with regard to the statements made in paragraphs 4.8,4.9,4.10,4.11,4.12 and 4.13 of the application the deponent states that these are matters of record and any statement made therein which area contrary to records shall be deemed to have been denied.
9. That with regard to the statements made in paragraphs 4.14,4.15 and 4.16 of the application the deponent states that before submission of the scheme for taking over of the cadre, the State of Arunachal Pradesh has consented for permanent absorption of the

Replyante in Shillong  
26.7.05

(41)

(50)

deputationists under the administrative control of the Accountant General ( A&E )  
Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc. Shillong.

The deponent further states that the Divisional Accountants on deputation be allowed to continue till such time the cadre is taken over by the State Government of Arunachal Pradesh keeping in view of the experience gathered by the serving deputationists and if the scheme is implemented and control of deputationists are taken over by the State of Arunachal Pradesh, the deputations shall have to qualify themselves for permanent absorption indicated above.

#### VERIFICATION

I, Shri Jayanta Kumar Bhattacharya, son of late Anil Kumar Bhattacharya aged about 47 years, presently serving as Finance & Accounts Officer in the Directorate of Accounts to the Government of Pradesh Naharlagun, do hereby verify that the facts states herein above are true to my knowledge, information and belief derived from relevant records. Nothing substantial to the issue raised by way of the present O.A. has been concealed.

Verify at Guwahati on this day of 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2005

*Jayanta Kumar Bhattacharya*  
26-9-05  
DEPONENT

- 5 - (42) ANNEXURE - A

GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH  
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTORATE OF ACCOUNTS & TREASURIES  
NAHARLAGUN - 791110

NO. DA/TRY-27/2000/1060-63

Dated 24 July'2005.

To,

The Accountant General (A&E),  
Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc,  
Shillong.

Sub :- Submission of scheme for taking over the administrative control of the cadres of Sr. DAO/DAO Grade - I DAO, Grade - II and Divisional Accountant - thereof.

Sir,

I am directed to submit herewith the proposed scheme for taking over the administrative control of cadre of Divisional Accountants comprising Sr. DAOs / DAOs Grade - I & II and Divisional Accountants by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh presently vested under your kind control.

I am further directed to state that the State cabinet had since approved the proposal to take over the cadre and the Departments of Law & Judicial, Personnel & Administrative Reforms of the state have duly vetted the scheme after thorough examination.

In view of the progress as stated above, I am directed to request you to convey your approval facilitating smooth taking over of the cadre of DAs / DAOs / DAOs Grade - I & II from your kind control.

Kindly acknowledge the receipt of the scheme enclosed in quadruplicate.

Enclo : As stated above.

Yours faithfully

*sd/-*  
( C.M. Mongmaw ),  
Director of Accounts & Treasuries,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Naharlagun - 791110 (A.P)

Dated 24 July'2005.

Memo. NO. DA/TRY-27/2000

Copy to :-

1. P.S. to Principal Secretary (Finance) to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for information.
2. The Secretary, Law & Judicial to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information please.
3. The Secretary, Personnel & Administrative Reforms, to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for information please.

*CM Mongmaw*  
( C.M. Mongmaw ),  
Director of Accounts & Treasuries,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Naharlagun - 791110 (A.P)

The Scheme for taking over of the Administrative Control of the Cadres of Sr. DAO/DAO Grade-I/DAO Grade-II and DA of Working Divisions belonging to PHE/RWD/IFCD/PWD/Power Department of Arunachal Pradesh from the control of the Accountant General ( A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc. Shillong.

The posts of Divisional Accountants were created by the State Government in the Public Works Department / Rural Works Department / Public Health Engineering Department / Irrigation & Flood Control & Power Department, but the administrative control i.e. appointment, transfer, posting & disciplinary control, etc. vested with the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc. Shillong. The Divisional Accountants comes under the immediate control of the Executive Engineer of the Divisions. They assist the Executive Engineers while rendering accounts to the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc., Shillong. Their pay and allowances and other service condition are at present governed by the Central Government rules in force, but they are paid from the state Government's exchequer. The question of taking over the cadres of the Divisional Accountants from the administrative control of the Accountant General ( A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc. Shillong had been under active consideration of the state Government for quite sometimes past in order to have proper control over expenditure and other accounts matters of the works Divisions, since it has become essential for the state Government to have better control on the heavy expenditure incurred in various engineering divisions of the state. Now, the Government of Arunachal Pradesh has decided in pursuance of cabinet decision of the State for taking over of the administrative control of the cadres of Sr. DAO/DAO/DA from the Accountant General ( A & E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc., Shillong.

To take over the cadre of Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accountants from the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc., Shillong, shall be subject to the following terms and conditions.

1. Absorption of DA/DAO and Sr. DAO ( Regular ) borne on the cadre of the A.G to State Cadre.
  - 1.1 Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers / Divisional Accounts Officers / Divisional Accountants ( Regular ) borne on the cadre of the Accountant General who are presently working in the State of Arunachal Pradesh may opt to serve in the State cadre on status quo. The willing Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accounts Officers /Divisional Accountants ( Regular ) working in the State may submit their options to the Secretary to the Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Department of Finance, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar through the concerned Executive Engineers within 2 (Two ) months from the date of issue of the 'Notification' under intimation to their parent department.
  - 1.2 The Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers / Divisional Accounts Officers /Divisional Accountants ( Regular ) who do not opt for state service condition, but willing to continue in the post shall be allowed to serve on standard terms and conditions of deputation duly approved by the state Government for period up to 3 ( Three ) years which may be extended up to 2 ( Two ) years in the interest of Public Service. They shall be reverted to their parent Department immediately on expiry of their respective deputation period.
  - 1.3 The Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accounts Officers /Divisional Accountants ( Regular ) who opt to serve in Arunachal Pradesh shall continue to be governed by the Central Rules for pension and other retirement benefits as applicable from time to time.
  - 1.4 The inter-se- seniority of the Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers / Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional accountants ( Regular ) who opt for the State Service shall be fixed in the state cadre basing on their inter-se- seniority as Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers / Divisional Accounts Officers Grade-I Divisional Accounts Officer Grade-II/Divisional Accountants on the date of taking over of the cadre.

1.5

Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accounts Officers Grade-I/Divisional Accounts Grade-II/Divisional Accountants (Regular) are now drawing the following scale of pay as per central pay pattern.

A) Divisional Accountant	Rs.5000-150-8000/-
B) Divisional Accounts Officer (Grade-II)	Rs.5500-175-9000/-
C) Divisional Accounts Officer (Grade-I)	Rs.6500-200-10,500/-
D) Divisional Accounts Officer (Sr. Grade)	Rs. 7500-250-12000/-

1.6

The cadre of Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/ Divisional Accounts Officers Grade-I & II/ Divisional Accounts who are working in Arunachal Pradesh on regular basis shall be allowed to continue for drawal of their pay and allowances in the existing scales of pay even after taking over by the state Govt.

The Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc., Shillong shall obtain the option in triplicate in the prescribed form as annexed herewith from the Sr. DAO/DAO Grade-I DAO Grade-II/DA borne on his cadre and serving in the state of Arunachal Pradesh on the date of taking over the cadre by the state Government through the Executive Engineer of the Divisions and furnish one copy of each option exercised by the Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accounts Officers Grade-I Divisional Accounts officers Grade-II/Divisional Accountants to the *Secretary to Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Department of Finance*, on receipt of the options.

2.

#### Divisional Accountants on Deputation

2.1

Divisional Accountants who are working in the works divisions in Arunachal Pradesh on deputation shall be allowed to continue serving in the post of Divisional Accountant on deputation till they complete their normal terms of deputation.

2.2

The Divisional Accountants ( on deputation ) those who have completed the existing term of deputation will be continued as Emergency Divisional Accountant for the time being as working arrangement. But for their absorption as regular Divisional Accountant they shall have to qualify themselves in the Divisional test examination within two years from the date of taking over the cadre from the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc., Shillong, for which they will be given three chances to qualify in the Divisional test examination. If any body fails to qualify in the Divisional test examination, shall be reverted to their parent department as and when qualified hand become available.

3.

#### Administrative Control

The administrative control of Sr. Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accounts Officers/Divisional Accountants shall be vested with the *Finance Department, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar* and will be exercised by the *Secretary, to the Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Department of Finance*.

4.

#### Recruitment

The state government through Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission shall fill up all the vacancies of Divisional Accountants of works divisions.

5.

#### Method of Recruitment:

5.1

The Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission shall make recruitment to the Cadre of Divisional Accountant by selection on merit adjudged from the following sources through written and competitive and qualifying test called the Initial Recruitment Examination for Divisional Accountant ( Annexure-I )

5.2

Age Limit for " Direct Recruitment":

Candidate should not be less than 18 years and more than 28 years of age, provided that the initial age limit may be relaxed up to 33 (thirty three) years incase of APST candidates in accordance with the order of the state government from time to time. In case of existing / working Divisional Accountants, upper age limit is relaxable upto 52 years.

5.3

Education Qualification:

Minimum Educational qualifications for direct recruit shall be a University Degree / graduate with any one of the subjects namely Mathematics, Statistics, Commerce, Economics, from a recognized University.

**Note:-** Age Limit and Education as prescribed at serial 5.2 & 5.3 above shall not be applicable in case of eligible departmental candidate(s).

5.4

Appointing Authority:-

The Secretary to the Government of Arunachal Pradesh Department of Finance shall be the appointing authority subject to the approval of the Government.

5.5

Probation and Training:-

Candidates recruited through the Initial Recruitment Examination will be on probation for a period of 2 ( Two ) years before their absorption in the cadre of Divisional Accountant. During this period he/she shall be given training for a period of 6 ( six ) months in the Administrative Training Institute and thereafter intensive practical training in all aspects of public works accounts for a further period of 6 ( Six ) months.

After this training , the candidates are required to pass the Divisional test, which will be conducted by Director of Accounts and Treasuries. Till such time as the trainees, they are posted as Divisional Accountant on probation. After passing the Divisional Test Examination supernumerary posts equal to the number of such trainees will be deemed to be created for their absorption on completion of probation period.

6.

Transfer & Posting:-

Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers are to serve anywhere within Arunachal Pradesh and are liable for transfer to the establishments of Chief Engineers / Director of Accounts & Treasuries whereon such posts exists.

7.

Transfer of record:-

The Accountant General ( A&E ), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc. Shillong shall take necessary action to transfer the relevant records to the Secretary to the Government of Arunachal Pradesh Department of Finance within 3 (Three) months from the date of issue of the notification.

Sd/-  
( Tabom Bam ),  
Principal Secretary (Finance)  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.

(46)

63

*Chair of Robia & an.  
Chair of:  
Anup Kumar Chawdhury  
25/9/05  
Sect. 1*

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH.

IN THE MATTER OF

O.A. No. 114/2005

SHRI LENDI CHATUNG & 7 OTHERS.

APPLICANTS

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA & OTHERS.

RESPONDENTS

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

Written statement submitted by Respondent No.1 and  
2.

WRITTEN STATEMENTS.

The humble Respondents submit their Written Statement as follows:-

1. That with reference to the statements made in paragraph 4.1 (A to H), in the Application, the Respondent No.2 respectfully submits that, the Applicants are regular employees of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh. Since there were vacancies in the cadre of Divisional Accountants administered by Respondent No.2 and since direct recruitment ( as provided for in the Recruitment Rules 1988 (Appendix -I) for Divisional Accountants) through the Staff Selection Commission to fill up the vacancies would take time, Respondent No.2 from time to time called for applications from the experienced staff of Public Works Department, P.H.E., R.W.D., I&FC, Electricity Divisions serving under the state governments of Tripura, Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur who were willing to serve as Divisional Accountants on deputation basis. Accordingly the eight applicants (amongst

47

2

61

others) were selected and posted as Divisional Accountant on deputation basis under the administrative control of this office. The letter of Respondent No.2 (Appendix -II) appointing these Divisional Accountants on deputation categorically stated that the period of deputation was initially for one year extendable for a maximum of upto three years and in no case the period of deputation will be extended beyond three years.

The Hon'ble Apex Court while laying down the law in Ratilal B reported in AIR 1990 SC 1132 (1991) 15ATC (85) and State of Punjab v/s Inder Singh (1997) & SCC 372 :: 1998 SCC (L&S) 34 held that "a person on deputation can be reverted to his parent Department at any time and does not get any right to be absorbed in the deputation post".

2. That with reference to the statements made in the paragraph 4.2. of the application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that he has no comments to offer.
3. That with reference to the statement made in the paragraph 4.3. of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that on completion of deputation periods, the repatriation orders to their parent department were issued to these Applicants. However, these eight applicants instead of reporting back to their parent departments moved the court against the repatriation orders of this office. The Hon'ble Itanagar Bench of Gauhati High Court in its order dated 1.2.2005 ( Appendix - III) disposed off the case as Hon'ble court did not find any merits in the batch of writ petitions. In light of the Hon'ble Court's order dated 1.2.2005 the repatriation orders were again issued (Appendix- IV) to these Applicants. These Applicants then approached to the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal vide this present O.A. No.114/2005 for permanent absorption to the cadre of Divisional Accountants under the administrative control of the Respondent No.2. Inspite of repatriation order they are continuing in the deputation posts..
4. That with reference to the statements made in the paragraphs 4.4 of the application, the Respondent No. 2 humbly submits that the government of Arunachal Pradesh had vide its letter No.DA/TRY/15/99 dated 12.1.2000

35

48

contended that it was under active consideration of the State Government to take over the Divisional Accountants cadre from the existing combined cadre being controlled by Respondent No.2. More than five years elapsed since the State Government's letter of 12.1.2000 and the State Government has not initiated any further move to take over the cadre of Divisional Accountants in the State of Arunachal Pradesh. Further, the Itanagar Bench of the Gauhati High Court in its order dated 1.2.2005 disposing off Writ Petition (c) No.459 (AP) of 2001 and Writ Petition (C) No.517 (AP) of 2001 observed that Mr. B.L.Singh, Learned Senior Advocate on behalf of the State of Arunachal Pradesh, has submitted that the State of Arunachal Pradesh has not yet taken any decision to take over the Divisional Accountants cadre from the administrative control of the Accountant General.

However, it may be stated that the Director of Accounts & Treasuries Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh vide his letter No.DA/Try-27/2000/1060-63 Dated 30.7.2005 ( Received on 17.8.2005) has forwarded a scheme stating that that the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh has decided in pursuance of Cabinet decision of the State Government for taking over administrative control of the cadre of Sr.DAO/DAO/DA from the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh etc Shillong.

The Respondent No.2 humbly state that at present the Cadre of Divisional Accountants of three states i.e. Manipur, Tripura, Arunachal Pradesh are under the Administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, etc., Shillong and Divisional Accountants are posted among the three states also Respondent No.2 is responsible to safe guard of the interest of the Regular Divisional Accountants working in the three states.

Further, the details of the scheme is under scrutiny and as the Respondent No.2 cannot take a decision alone to handover the cadre of Divisional Accountant to the state Government the matter would be referred to the Comptroller & Auditor General of India for his concurrence/consent as required under existing procedure.

(49)

41

10

5. That with reference to the statement made in paragraph 4.5 the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Applicants were appointed on deputation to the cadre of Divisional Accountants for a period of three years. The Applicants are Divisional Accountants on deputation, and were reverted back to their parent departments of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh on expiry of their three years deputation term.
6. That with reference to the statements made in paragraph 4.6, Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the staff Selection Commission is being approached to conduct a special Recruitment for Divisional Accountants to fill up the existing vacancies in the Divisional Accountants cadre by direct recruitment on a priority basis and hence the question of replacing a person on deputation by another person on deputation does not arise.
7. That with reference to the statement made in the paragraph 4.7, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Recruitment Rules and norms as applicable to the posts under the answering Respondents being formal and laid down, can no way be substituted, whereby the Applicants by attempting to misuse the due process of law and by misleading this Hon'ble Tribunal are seeking absorption in the cadre of Divisional Accountants.
8. That with reference to the statement made in the paragraph 4.8, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Hon'ble Itanagar Bench of Gauhati High Court had vide its order dated 1.2.2005 disposed off W.P.C No.459 (AP) of 2001 and W.P. (C) No.517 of 2001 wherein the present eight applicants were also parties to the case with the observation that the Hon'ble Court did not find any merits in the batch of this Writ Petitions.
9. That with reference to the statement made in the paragraph 4.9, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that it is matter of records.
10. That with reference to the statements made in the paragraphs 4.10 & 4.11, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that, some of the Applicants ( in O.A No. 114/2005) had initially approached the Hon'ble Tribunal, Guwahati, for permanent absorption in the Divisional Accountants Cadre. On disposal of their prayer by the Hon'ble Tribunal, the deputationists have challenged

respective Tribunal orders before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Bench. It may be stated that the common judgement dated 1.2.2005 passed by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Bench, in the respective cases of the Applicants along with other similar cases, held that :

“when it is made on deputation, it does not result in absorption in the service to which an employee is deputed unless the concerned Department decides to do so.

Putting an employee on deputation in another service it does not confer any right to be absorbed in the deputed post and the deputationist can therefore, be reverted to the parent cadre at any time”.

It was further held that :

“the petitioners have lien to their respective posts in the parent Department and they can very well be repatriated back to their respective posts in the parent Department and ”.

“we do not find any merits in this batch of writ petitions”.

11. That with reference to the statement made in the paragraph 4.12, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Respondent No.2 has no comments to offer. It is matter of records.
12. That with reference to the statement made in paragraph 4.13 the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that in this connection in reply to letter No. DA/TRY/Part/15/99 dated 12.4.2005 (Appendix -V) received from the Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh , the Respondent No. 2 reaffirmed vide letter No. DA Cell/1-8/Court Case/2000-2001/147 dated 24.5.2005 (Appendix - VI) the decision to repatriate 12 Divisional Accountants on deputation in light of the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Bench judgement order dated 1.2.2005, and that the repatriation orders were being issued and further, that the Respondent No.2 had requested the Standing Counsels to take necessary steps to transfer the remaining similar pending cases before the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, to the Itanagar Bench of Gauhati High Court for speedy disposal of the cases.

6

51  
68

Subsequent to the above, the Comptroller & Auditor General of India (Respondent No.1) had intimated vide letter No. D.O. No.425 N.G.E. (App)/10-2005 dated 6.7.2005 (Appendix - VII) that the question of absorbing the Divisional Accountants in the Divisional Accountants cadre had been examined, but it was not found possible as the Recruitment Rules of Divisional Accountant do not provide for such absorption.

13. That with reference to the Statement made in paragraph 4.14 of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Applicants request for consideration of their cases for permanent absorption/continuance as Divisional Accountant, has been examined by the Comptroller & Auditor General of India (Respondent No.1) but it was not found possible as the Recruitment Rules for Divisional Accountants do not provide for such absorption. Hence all Divisional Accountants on deputation on completion of their deputation period of 3 (three) years have been reverted back without any exception. The applicants contention that their cases are going to be ignored in an arbitrary and unfair manner is baseless.
14. That with reference to the Statement made in the paragraphs 4.15 & 4.16 of the application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Recruitment Rules for Divisional Accountants do not provide for such absorption and all Divisional Accountants on deputation on completion of their deputation period of 3 years will require to be repatriated back without any exceptions.

Grounds of relief(s) with legal provisions.

15. That with reference made in paragraph 5.1 of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the matter has been taken up in the above paragraphs.
16. That with reference to the statement made in paragraphs 5.2. & 5.3 of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the matter has been taken up in the above paragraphs.

(52)

-7-

17. That with reference to the statement made in paragraphs 5.4, of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submit that the Applicants were reverted back to their parent department in the Government of Arunachal Pradesh as their tenure of deputation had expired and hence the "order of repatriation is not violative of Article 14 of the constitution" as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex court in State of M.P. vs Ashok Deshmukh (AIR 1988 SC 1240).

18. That with reference to the statement made in paragraphs 5.5 ,5.6 & 5.7 of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that he has no comments to offer

19. That with reference to the statement made in paragraph 6 of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that he has no comments to offer

20. With reference to the relief sought by the Applicants in the paragraph 8, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that the Applicants are not entitled to any of the remedies sought for and the Application are liable to be dismissed as their claim for absorption in the services of the Respondent No.2 does not arise in the light of what has been stated above.

21. That with reference to the statement made in paragraph 9 of the Application, the Respondent No.2 humbly submits that he has no comments to offer.

In view of the facts and circumstances stated above, the Respondent No. 2 most respectfully and humbly prays that the present Application as filed be dismissed, costs imposed in favour of the answering Respondents, the interim order dated 24.5.2005 granted in this case be vacated and the order dated 24.5.2005 be allowed to be implemented.

8

33  
X

Verification

I, Shri A.K.Das, Dy. Accountant General (Admn) Office of the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Arunachal pradesh and Mizoram, Shillong do hereby solemnly declare that the Statements made above in the Written Statement are true to the best of my knowledge, belief and information and I sign the verification on the 30<sup>th</sup> August 2005 at Shillong.

*A.K.Das*

Deponent

उप महालेखाकार (प्रशासन)  
D. Deputy Accountant General (ADMN)  
महालेखाकार का कार्यालय (ले.ब.ड.)  
Office of the Accountant General (A & E)  
मेघालय, हृत्तादि शिलांग  
Meghalaya, H.D., Shillong

(Department of Expenditure)  
New Delhi, the 8th September, 1988.

G.S.R. 749 - In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution, and after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, the President hereby makes the following rules to regulate the method of recruitment to the post of Divisional Accountant under the cadre control of the Accounts and Entitlement Offices of the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, namely : -

1. Short title and commencement - (1) These rules may be called the Indian Audit and Accounts Department (Divisional Accountant) Recruitment Rules, 1988.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. Number of post, classification and scale of pay. - The number of the said post, its classification and the scale of pay attached thereto shall be as specified in columns 2 to 4 of the Schedule annexed to these rules.

3. Method of recruitment, age limit, qualifications, etc. - The method of recruitment, age limit, qualifications and other matters relating to the said post shall be as specified in columns 5 to 14 of the said Schedule.

4. Disqualifications - No person, -

(a) who has entered into or contracted a marriage with a person having a spouse living, or

(b) who, having a spouse living, has entered into or contracted a marriage with any person, ~~provided that such marriage is permissible under the personal law applicable to such a person and the other party to the marriage and that there are other grounds for so doing, exempt any person from the operation of this rule.~~ shall be eligible for appointment to the said post,

Provided that the Comptroller and Auditor General of India may, if satisfied that such marriage is permissible under the personal law applicable to such a person and the other party to the marriage and that there are other grounds for so doing, exempt any person from the operation of this rule.

5. Power to relax - Where the Comptroller and Auditor General of India is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient so to do, he may, by order and for reasons to be recorded in writing, relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of persons.

6. Saving - Nothing in these rules shall affect reservations, relaxation of age limit and other concessions required to be provided for the Scheduled Castes, the Scheduled Tribes, Ex-Serviceman and other special categories of persons, in accordance with the orders issued by the Central Government from time to time in this regard as applicable to persons employed in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department.

SCHEDULE

Name of post	No. of post	Classification	Scale of pay	Whether selecti- on post	Whether benefit of service ad- missible under rule 30 of the Central Civil Ser- vice(Pen- sion) Rules 1972

Asstt. Accounts Officer  
4/10, Accounts General, L. & S. Bldg., 19th & 20th floors,  
Kachanbazar, 22/8/76)

- - - 10 -

(ii) State Public Works Clerks holding posts equivalent to or comparable with that of Accountant/Senior Accountant on a regular basis for 5 years including 2 years experience in Public Works Accounts.

(55)

Note 3. The period of deputation including the period of deputation in another ex-cadre post held immediately preceding this appointment in the same or some other organisation/Department of the Central Government shall ordinarily not exceed 3 years.

---

If a Departmental Promotion Committee exists, what circumstances in which Union Public Service Commission is to be consulted in making recruitment.

---

13.

14.

Group 'C' Departmental Promotion Committee (for confirmations) consisting of :- Not applicable

- (1) Senior Deputy Accountant General/Deputy Accountant General (dealing with the cadre of Divisional Accountants).
- (2) Any other Senior Deputy Accountant General or Deputy Accountant General or officer of equivalent rank (from an office other than the one in which confirmations are considered),
- (3) An Accounts Officer.

Note: These senior officer amongst (1) and (2) above shall be the Chairman.

---

F.No.A-12018/13/88-DG-1)

*Alleged  
Deputy Accountant General  
27/07/89  
Asstt. Accounts Officer  
of The Accountant General (ASW)  
of Meghalaya, B.C. Shillong.*

## Appendix - II

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNT GENERAL ( A&E ) MEGHALAYA ARUNACHAL PRADESH  
AND MIZORAM SHILLONG

EO NO. DA Coll/19-6

Dated : 30.12.96

Consequent on his selection for the post Divisional Accountant ( on deputation basis) in the pay scale of Rs. 1400-40-1670-50-2300-60-2600/- in the combined cadre of Divisional Accountants under the administrative control of the Office of the Accountant General ( A&E ), Meghalaya etc, Shillong, Shri Tankeswar Bora

at present working in the office of the Executive Engineer, Dampaingi P.W.D, Dampaingi is posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant in the office of the Executive Engineer, Mamu Head Works Govt. Nalhati, Tripura

2. Shri Tankeswar Bora should

join in the aforesaid post of Divisional Account on deputation within 15 days from the date of issue of this order, failing which his posting on deputation is liable to be cancelled without any further communication and the position may be offered to some other eligible and selected candidate. No representation for a change of the place of posting will be entertained under any circumstances whatsoever.

3. The period of deputation of Shri Tankeswar Bora will be for a period of 1 (one) year at the initial stage, from the date of joining in the office of the Executive Engineer, Mamu Head Works Govt. Nalhati, Tripura.

However the period of deputation may be extended upto 3 years. But in no case, the period of deputation will be extended beyond 3 years.

4. The pay and deputation (duty) allowances in respect of Shri Tankeswar Bora will be governed by the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Public Grievances and Pension ( Deptt. of Personnel and Training ) letter No. 2/12/87-Estt(Fay.II) dtd. 29.4.1988.

- 2 -

and as amended and modified from time to time. while on deputation

Shri TanKeswar Barak may elect to draw either the pay in the scale of pay of the deputation post or his basic pay in the parent cadre plus personal pay, if any, plus deputation(duty) allowance, Shri TanKeswar Barak

on deputation should exercise option in this regard within a period of 1 (one) month from the date of joining the assignment ( i.e. the aforesaid post of Deputation). The option once exercised by Shri TanKeswar Barak shall be treated as final and cannot be altered/changed later under any circumstances whatsoever.

5. The Dearness Allowance, CCA, Children Education Allowance, T.A., L.T.C, Pension, etc will be governed by the Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance OM No. F1(6)E-IV(A)/62 dt. 7.12.1962 ( Incorporated as Annexure 31 of Choudhury's C.S.R. Volume IV ( 13th Edition) and as amended and modified from time to time.)

6. Shri TanKeswar Barak on deputation will be liable to be transferred to any place within the State of Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur and Tripura, in the combined cadre of Divisional Accountants under the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya etc, Shillong.

Prior concurrence of this office must be obtained by the Divisional Officer before Shri TanKeswar Barak (on deputation) is entrusted additional charges appointed or transferred to a post/station other than cited in this establishment Officer.

Sd/-(Signature)  
S/o Deputy Accountant (DA Cell)  
महालेखाकार (लेखा न हरणारी)

Office of the  
मेघालय, मंजूरम धारा ३२८ प्रदेश  
Meghalaya, Mz. ३२८, Arunachal Pradesh  
प्रिन्स ७९३०१.  
Shillong ७९३०१.

Sr. Accounts Officer.  
i/c, DA Cell.

— 13 —

58

- 3 -

Memo No. DA Cell/2-49/94-95/ 2412-2417

Dated: 1/1/17

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

~~The Accountant General (A&E), Manipur, Imphal.~~

~~22 The Accountant General (A&E) Tripura, Agartala.~~

1) The Chief Engineer, Shri; ~~Manohar Pradeep~~,  
He is requested to release Shri T. S. ~~Pradeep~~

Minneapolis Box  
immediately with the direction to report for duty to his place of posting on deputation under intimation to this office.

REGISTERED 2. The Executive Engineer, Bangalore

He is requested to release  
immediately Sht: \_\_\_\_\_

with the direction to report for duty to his place of posting on deputation under intimate to this office.

REGISTERED. The Executive Engineer.

He is requested to intimate the date of joining of Shri

REGISTERED. Shri \_\_\_\_\_

7. E.O. File  
8. S.G. File. " "  
9. P.C. File. *Tan Rong in Book*  
10. File of the deputationist.

*Franklin*  
S. A. Accounts Officer

14

IN THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT  
(THE HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MEGHALAYA, MANIPUR,  
TRIPURA, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

1. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.459(AP) OF 2001

M.V.Kartikeyan Nair,  
Son of K.P.V.Nair,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Kalaktang PW.Division.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
2. The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
3. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
4. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department,  
Kalaktang Division, Kalaktang, A.P.

... Respondents

2. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.517(AP) OF 2001

Shri Bidhu Bhusan De,  
Son of late P.C.De,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer, Hayuliang Civil Division,  
Department of Power, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Department of Power, Itanagar.
2. The Chief Engineer, Power,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

Certified to be a

true copy

1/1/2001  
Date of issue  
Bhattacharya  
Notary Public  
Accredited U.S. Consul  
at 12:00

(60)

15

X

3. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
4. The Executive Engineer, Power Department,  
Hayuliang Civil Division, Hayuliang, A.P.

... Respondents

### **3. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.729(AP) OF 2001**

**Sri Tonkeswar Borah,  
Son of late Golap Chandra Borah,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of Executive Engineer,  
Tezu PWD Division, Tezu.**

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Chief Engineer (EZ), P.W.D.,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
6. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department,  
Tezu Division, Tezu, A.P.

... Respondents

### **4. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.820 (AP) OF 2001**

**Shri Sanchayan Kumar Dam.  
Son of late Subodh Kumar Dam.  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Sepa Electrical Division, Sepa.**

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.

*Certified to be a*

*True copy*

*Shri Sanchayan Kumar Dam,  
A.P. No. 155705  
Dated 10th January 2002  
Noharka, nn  
Authenticated U/S 76 of Adt  
of 1873*

16

(61) X8  
(70)

2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.

3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Power Department, Itanagar.

4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

5. The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

**5. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.112 (AP) OF 2002**

1. Shri Lendi Chatung,  
S/o late Lendi Shala,  
Office of the Executive Engineer (Electrical),  
Along, West Siang.

2. Shri Love Rao,  
s/o Luxmi Rao,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
D.O.P.Civil, Along.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.

2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.

3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Power Department, Itanagar.

4. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Power Department, Itanagar.

5. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

5. The Chief Engineer, Department of Power,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

6. The Chief Engineer, PWD,  
Western Zone, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar.

... Respondents

Certified to be a

true copy

17

62  
11

6. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.221 (AP) OF 2002

Shri Jumi Kamum,  
Son of Shri T.Kamum,  
IFCD Daporijo Division,  
Upper Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer Engineer, IFCD.,  
Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri,  
Arunachal Pradesh.

... Respondents

7. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.301 (AP) OF 2002

Shri L.Appal Swami,  
Son of late L.A.Naidu,  
Presently working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer PHED Khonsa,  
District Tirap, A.P.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Health Engineering Department, Itanagar.
3. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India,  
Bahadur Shah Zaffar Road,  
Indraprastha Head Post Office,  
New Delhi 110 002.

Certified to be a  
true copy

17/10/2011  
1222

(63)

18

4. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
5. The Chief Engineer (EZ),  
P.W.D., Itanagar
6. The Chief Engineer,  
Public Health Engineering Department, Itanagar.
7. The Senior Deputy Accountant General (Admn),  
Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya etc. Shillong.
8. The Senior Deputy Accountant General,  
Office of the Accountant General, Meghalaya etc.  
Shillong.
9. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
10. The Executive Engineer, P.H.E.D.,  
Khonsa, District Tinsukia, Arunachal Pradesh.

... Respondents

## **8. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.472 (AP) OF 2002**

**Shri Santanu Ghosh,  
S/o late S.R.Ghosh,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
RWD, Yingkiong, Upper Siang, A.P.**

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Rural Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Chief Engineer, Department of RWD,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
6. The Superintending Engineer,  
RWD, Papumpare District, Itanagar.

... Respondents

Certified to be a  
true copy

18/10/2007  
Dated 18/10/2007  
in the name of  
N. Mohan

Authorised U.S. 76 of Adm  
of 1872

(64)

-19-

(27)

## 9. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.409 OF 2002

Shri Dilip Kumar Dey,  
Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Boleng Division,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, via Pashighat, District East Siang,  
Arunachal Pradesh

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department,  
Boleng Division, Boleng.
6. The Commissioner (Finance),  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

## 10. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.410(AP) OF 2002

Shri Tashi Namgyel,  
Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD, Seppa Division,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,



... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.

Continued to be a

true copy

Shri Tashi Namgyel  
Executive Engineer  
PWD, Seppa Division  
Arunachal Pradesh  
Date: 11/03/2003  
Signature:   
Authored by: 

20

65  
67

4. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
5. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
6. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Seppa Division, Seppa.
6. The Commissioner (Finance), Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

#### **11. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.172 (AP) OF 2003**

Shri Pradip Kumar Paul,  
Working as Divisional Accountant,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Irrigation and Flood Control, Resource Division,  
Pancharukh, PO Agartala, West Tripura.

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Irrigation and Flood Control Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer,  
Resource Division, Agartala, Tripura.

... Respondents

#### **12. WRIT PETITION(C) NO.256 (AP) OF 2003**

Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Public Works Department,©,  
Dumaporijo Division, Upper Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.

... Petitioner.

Certified to be a

true copy

Malinda nn

Authored U/S 76 of Act  
of 1872

(66)

-21-

23

-Versus-

1. Union of India,  
through the Comptroller & Auditor General of India,  
10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam etc.  
Shillong 793003.
3. The State of Arunachal Pradesh,  
represented by the Secretary to the Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Public Works Department, Itanagar.
4. The Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department ©,  
Dumporijo Division, Upper Subsansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.
6. The Commissioner (Finance),  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

... Respondents

BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE I.A.ANSARI  
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.N.SARMA

For the petitioners : Mr.M.Chanda, Mr.U.K.Nair, Mrs. B.Goyal,  
Mr.A.Roshid, Mr.K.Ete, Mr. T.Michi,  
Mr.B.Habuang, Mr. K.Tapa, Mr.K.Tini,  
Mr. S.Dutta, Mr.S.K.Gosh, Advocates.

For the respondents : Mr.M.Partin, C.G.S.C.,  
Mr.B.L.Singh, Sr. Govt Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh

Date of hearing :

Date of judgment : 01-02-05

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

H.N.SARMA J.

This batch of writ petitions involve common question of law and facts. The grievance of the petitioners, in all these petitions, is against the order of repatriation from different Departments of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh i.e. their parent Departments, by the Accountant General, who posted the petitioners on deputation as Divisional Accountants in various offices of

Certified to be a

true copy

*H.N.SARMA J.*  
15/2/05  
Date : 15/2/05  
Place : Itanagar  
Authorised U/S 76 of Adm. Act  
of 1872

Arunachal Pradesh. It is the further prayer of the petitioners, in all the writ petitions, that they should be permanently absorbed in their existing deputation posts of Divisional Accountant under the State of Arunachal Pradesh. With similar prayer, some of the petitioners, namely, petitioners in W.P.C. No. 221(AP)/02, W.P.C. No.256/03, W.P.C. No.409(AP)/02 and W.P.C. No.410(AP)/02 initially approached the Central Administrative Tribunal, Guwahati and the learned Tribunal having dismissed their prayers, they have challenged the respective orders before this High Court and accordingly, those cases came to be taken up by the Division Bench of this Court. The petitioners in other writ petitions namely W.P.C. No.229(AP)/01, W.P.C. No.820(AP)/01, W.P.C. No. 459(AP)/03, W.P.C. No. 517(AP)/01, W.P.C. No.112(AP)/02, W.P.C. No.301(AP)/02, W.P.C. No.473/02 directly approached this High Court and those cases were taken up by the learned Single Judge. In W.P.C. No. 409(AP)/02 and W.P.C. No.410(AP)/02 a Division Bench of this Court by order, dated 11.08.03, directed that all these writ petitions referred to above, which are pending before the Single Bench, be listed for hearing before a Division Bench along with the said writ petitions. Accordingly, all the aforesaid cases have come up for hearing before the Division Bench.

2. The common case of the petitioners are that while they were serving as Upper Division Clerks in various offices of the Government of Arunachal Pradesh, the office of the Accountant General, Arunachal Pradesh and Meghalaya, vide Circular No.DA. Cell/2-49/97-98/ Vol.II/ 245, dated 20.01.98, invited applications from candidates, who are willing to serve temporarily as Divisional Accountant in Public Works Department in Manipur, Arunachal Pradesh and Tripura for a period of one year on deputation basis. In pursuance of the aforesaid circular, the petitioners gave their consent for such deputation, and duly applied for the same. On consideration of their applications, the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya and Arunachal Pradesh, posted the petitioners on deputation as Divisional Accountant for a period of one year in the following manner:

1. Dilip Kr. Dey, UDC, Office of the executive Engineer, PWD Yingkiong Division (petitioner in W.P.C. No.409(AP)/02) posted as Divisional Accountant, Boneng PWD Division on deputation for a period of one year by order dated 05.04.99.

Certified to  
true copy

Shri *[Signature]* (Dated: 01.07.2003)  
Sub-Registrar  
High Court of Arunachal Pradesh  
Discrepancy Counter

2. Toshi Namgey, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division (petitioner in WP@ No.410(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Seppa PWD Division for one year.

3. Jumi Kamum, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Basar Sub-Division (petitioner in WP@ No.221(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Daporijo I & F.C. Division for one year.

4. Lani Bhushan Karmakar, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Anini Division (petitioner in WP@ No.256(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Dumperijo PWD Division for one year.

5. Thankeswar Borah, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Dumperijo Civil Division PWD (petitioner in WP@ No.729(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Dumperijo Civil Division PWD by order dated 22.01.97 for one year.

6. Sanchayan Kumar Dam, LDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Kalaktang Division (petitioner in WP@ No.459(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Division, Nalkalha, Tripura vide order dated 27.01.97 for one year.

7. V.K. Nair, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division (petitioner in WP@ No.459(AP)/01) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Chandel PWD Chandel, Manipur vide order dated 23.02.96 for one year.

8. Bidhu Bhushan De, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, Deomali Electrical Division (petitioner in WP@ No.517(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant in Thoubal Project Division No.II (I&FC), Thoubal, Manipur vide order dated 26.04.96 for one year.

9. Lendi Chalung, UDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, Ziro Electrical Division (petitioner in WP@ No.112(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Along Electrical Division vide order dated 11.03.99 for one year; and Shri Love Rao, LDC, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Along Division (petitioner in WP@ No.112(AP)/02) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Along PWD Division vide order dated 10.03.99 for one year.

10. Santanu Ghosh, Assistant, Office of the Executive Engineer, PWD Bomdila Division (petitioner in WP@ No.473(AP)/02) posted on

Complaint No. 23

15/2/05

deputation as Divisional Accountant, Yingking Division, PWD vide order dated 05.03.99 for one year.

11. Pradip Kumar Paul, UDC, Office of the Chief Engineer, I& FC Itanagar (petitioner in WP© No.172(AP)/03) posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant, Resorce Division, Agartala, Tripura vide order dated 16.07.99 for one year.

3. In the aforesaid deputation orders, it has been specifically provided that the said deputation is only for a period of one year from the date of joining by the petitioners as Divisional Accountant and no right shall accrue to the petitioners nor they will be entitled to permanent absorption as Divisional Accountant. It is also provided that the said period of deputation may be extended up to 3 years, if his service is considered to be needed and in no case, the period will be extended beyond a period of 3 years. Paragraph 6 of the order of deputation reads as under-

"6. The period of deputation of ..... will be for a duration of 1(one) year only from the date of joining as Divisional Accountant on deputation and no way he shall accrue any right to claim for permanent absorption as Divisional Accountant. The period of deputation may be extended upto three years, if his service is considered to be needed. But in no case, the period of deputation will be extended beyond the period of 3 years."

4. Pursuant to such deputation orders, the petitioners, on being released from their respective parent Departments, duly joined in their deputed posts and served accordingly. The said period of deputation was extended for a further period of 2 years from the date of expiry. In the meanwhile, the Joint Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, vide letter No. DA/TRY/15/99, dated 15.11.99, wrote to the Accountant General, inter alia, informing that the issue of recruitment and posting of Divisional Accountants to 38 Public Works Divsions of the State of Arunachal Pradesh, which are presently manned by deputationists were under active consideration of the State Government and that before placing the petitioners on deputation, the Government of Arunachal Pradesh was never consulted and although the salaries etc for the petitioners were borne from the State exchequer, the pay scale presently enjoyed by the cadre of Divisional Accountants has been posing problem for granting huge sum in the form of pay and allowances. It was also

Concurred in.

Shri Jayachandran  
15/10/03

(70)

-25-

6

Intimated that the State Govt of Arunachal Pradesh is of the view that recruitment and posting of Divisional Accountants for the 38 Divisions of the Public Works Department may not be done since final decision of the Government is still awaited and the persons, who have been serving on deputation, may be allowed to continue for a further period of 2 years in the interest of public service.

5. On receipt of the aforesaid letter as well as on consideration of the terms of deputation orders, the Accountant General, vide letter No.DA.Cell/194/200, dated 11.06.2001, intimated the petitioners that on expiry of the period of deputation to the posts of Divisional Accountant under the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya etc., the petitioners are to be repatriated to their parent Departments. When the Accountant General took such decision, the same was challenged before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Guwahati Bench, by the petitioners in WP@ Nos. 221/02, 256/03, 409/02 and 410/02 by different similarly situated deputationists. In O.A.Nos. 230/01, 234/01 and 276/01 and the learned Central Administrative Tribunal by Judgment and order, dated 11.01.02, was pleased to hold, inter alia, that the applicants, who came under the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya on deputation are holding the permanent posts in the respective parent Department and at the end of deputation period, they are repatriated and, therefore, nothing illegality is discernible from the orders of repatriation. Accordingly, the applications were dismissed. Similar other applications filed before the learned Tribunal by other employees were also dismissed on the same footing. Thereafter, the Accountant General(A&E), Meghalaya, wrote to various Departments of the State of Arunachal Pradesh informing them that the Divisional Accountants posted in their offices on deputation has been released and are reverted back to their parent Departments. When the judgment and order of the Central Administrative Tribunal was challenged by the deputationists before the Division Bench of this Court along with the orders of repatriation of the deputationists to their parent Department on expiry of the period of deputation, this Court while admitting the petition was pleased to stay the said order of repatriation. The petitioners also prayed for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. The Respondent No. 1 and 2 filed affidavit-in- opposition refuting the prayer made by the petitioners in the writ petitions.

Contd... -

88/08/2015/7705

6. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Also heard Mr.M.Partin, learned Sr.CGSC, and Mr.B.L.Singh, learned Senior Govt Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that since the petitioners are working on deputation for a considerable period, they are entitled for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. It has further been submitted that since there is a move for bifurcation towards creation of a new cadre of Accountant General(A&E) for the State of Arunachal Pradesh and necessary process is going on, it would be highly prejudicial to the petitioner, if they are repatriated to their parent Departments without considering their cases for permanent absorption. It has also been submitted that the State Government having taken a decision to take over the administrative control of the accounts cadre from the Accountant General to absorb the services of the existing incumbents, the decision to repatriate the petitioners to their parent Department is illegal and in the aforesaid situation, petitioners are entitled to be permanently absorbed in their deputed posts.

9. Mr.M.Partin, learned Sr.CGSC, on the other hand, refuting the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners contends that as per the recruitment rules governing the service conditions of the petitioners, which came into force with effect from 24.09.98, the period of deputation cannot be extended beyond 3 years. Further, in the deputation order itself, it has been specifically provided that the terms of deputation would be only for a period of one year and at any rate, it cannot be extended beyond the period of 3 years and the petitioners, having joined as per the aforesaid terms and conditions without any reservation, are not now entitled to raise claim for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. It is further submitted that the petitioners have no enforceable right, fundamental or otherwise, and there is no illegality or irregularity in deciding and/or passing the order to repatriate the petitioners to their respective parent Departments after expiry of the period of deputation and, as such, the petitions are not maintainable.

10. Mr.B.L.Singh, learned Senior Govt Advocate on behalf of the State Respondents, has submitted that the State of Arunachal Pradesh has not yet taken any decision to take over the Divisional Accountants from the administrative control of the Accountant General. He submits that it is absolutely within the domain of the Accountant General to keep the petitioners on

Continued

15/2/01  
Ques.  
Ans.  
Oct. 2001  
of 1999

deputation or not. Mr. Singh has also submitted that the terms of the order of deputation having specifically provided that the period cannot be extended beyond three years, the petitioners have no enforceable right or locus to pray for permanent absorption in their deputed posts. It is further submitted that the financial burden of the deputed employees are being borne by the State Government as the deputationists are being paid much higher pay and allowances for the service rendered by them in the deputed posts and due to extreme financial stringency, the State is not in a position to bear the said burden any more. However, due to interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioners are continuing, therefore, he prays for dismissal of the writ petitions. He further contends that there is no illegality in the orders passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal and the learned Tribunal has rightly rejected their applications.

11. We have heard learned counsel for the parties at length and considered the rival submissions. The appointment to the posts of Divisional Assistant is regulated by a set of rules framed under Article 148(5) of the Constitution of India, which is applicable to the petitioners. As per the said rules, the method of recruitment to the posts of Divisional Accountant is by way of direct recruitment. It is also provided in the said rules that vacancies caused due to certain exigencies for a duration of one year or more may be filled up on transfer on deputation from the State Public Works Department Clerks holding the posts equivalent or comparable with that of Accountant/ Senior Accountant on regular basis for a period of 5 years including two years experience in public works accounts and the period of deputation shall ordinarily not exceed three years. The petitioners, in the instant case, have not shown that they are eligible for deputation to the posts of Divisional Accountant, as per the aforesaid qualification and experience prescribed in the statutory service rules. Be that as it may, it is an admitted fact that the petitioners were posted on deputation as Divisional Accountant as per the order of the Accountant General (A&E) and the said period of deputation as per the statutory rules cannot exceed beyond three years. In fact, the order/orders, by which the petitioners were placed on deputation, has/have specifically provided that the period of deputation is for a period of one year and under no circumstances, the same would be extended beyond a period of three years.

Certified to be true

1990-91

Exacted 15/5/78 of A&E  
of 1872

12. Learned Sr.C.G.S.C. has submitted that the Accountant General has decided to release and repatriate the petitioners to their parent Departments and in fact, necessary orders of release have been passed in most of the cases. The learned Sr.Govt Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh, has submitted that the State Government has not decided to absorb the petitioners permanently and continuation of the petitioners in the deputed posts has caused serious financial hardships to the State Government. We also find that there is no decision of the State Government to create a separate wing of Accountant General (A&E) for Arunachal Pradesh and as has been submitted by Mr.Singh, the State Government has taken no such decision. Viewed from the aforesaid factual situation, now the question arises as to whether the petitioners are entitled for an order to be absorbed permanently in their deputed posts or not.

13. The decision reported in **State of Punjab vs Inder Singh &Ors, reported in (1997) 8 SCC 372**, is sought to be pressed into service on behalf of the petitioners and it has been submitted that since the petitioners are on deputation for a considerable period, their cases for permanent absorption may be directed to be considered by the Government. In Inder Singh (spur) the petitioner who was enrolled in the Punjab Police, on 31.08.66, as Constable was sent on deputation to C.I.D. in the same rank on 13.04.69. He was sought to be repatriated on 15.09.90, while he was holding the rank of ad hoc Sub-Inspector. During the period of deputation, he was promoted as officiating Head Constable and in the parent Department, he was holding substantive rank of Head Constable. In the background of the aforesaid facts, the Apex Court observed that after allowing to remain on deputation for a period of 20 years, a hope, though not true, still in his mind, that he would be allowed to continue in the C.I.D. holding higher rank till he attained the age of superannuation and accordingly affirmed the order of the High Court to the extent for option given to all those respondents, who put in 20 years qualifying service to seek voluntary retirement from the C.I.D. in the ranks they are holding and they would be deemed to have worked in the C.I.D. up to the date of the judgment. The facts of the present case are entirely different. The petitioners, in the instant cases, were deputed some time in the year 1999 and on expiry of three years they were sought to be repatriated to their parent Departments. No promotion was also affected to the petitioners' while they were serving in the deputed Department and at present, they are continuing as per interim orders of the

Chairman

8/10/2005  
2005-08-08

Court. Accordingly the said case is of no assistance to the petitioners. On the other hand, the learned C.G.S.C. has placed reliance on a decision of the Apex Court reported in **Rati Lal B. Soni & Ors. Vs State of Gujrat & Ors, AIR 1990 SC 1132**, and reiterated his submission that the petitioners have no right to continue in their deputed posts. In the aforesaid case, the Apex Court, inter alia, held that the appellant being on deputation, they can be reverted to their parent cadre at any time and they do not get any right to be absorbed on the deputation post and accordingly affirmed the order passed by the High Court and dismissed the appeal of the deputationist.

15. Exigencies of public service may occasion an employee to be sent on deputation with the consent of the employee. Now, we are to see as to what the terminology "Deputation" connotes. The concept of "Deputation" in essence derived from the significance of the word 'deputy' and the appropriate meaning of 'deputy', in this context, would be "substantive". In Blak's Law Dictionary, the word 'deputy' has been defined as "a person appointed or delegated to act as a substitute for another esp. for an "official". The Apex Court has explained the concept of deputation in the case of **State of Punjab vs Inder Singh (supra)**, at para 18 in the following term:

"18. The concept of "deputation" is well understood in service law and has a recognized meaning. "Deputation" has a different connotation in service law and the dictionary meaning of the word "deputation" is of the help. In simple words "deputation" means service outside the cadre or outside the parent Department. Deputation is deputing or transferring an employee to a post outside his cadre, that is to say, to another Department on a temporary basis. After the expiry period of deputation, the employee has to come back to his parent Department to occupy the same position unless in the meanwhile he has earned promotion in his parent Department as per the recruitment Rules. Whether the transfer is outside the normal field of deployment or not is decided by the authority who controls the service or post from which the employee is transferred. There can be no deputation without the consent of the person so deputed and he would, therefore, know his rights and privileges in the deputation post. The law on deputation and repatriation is quite settled law as we have also seen in various judgments, which we have referred to above. There is no escape for the respondents now to go back to the parent Departments and working there as Constables or Head Constables as the case may be.

Classified to : 10/8

10/8/2018

10/8/2018  
10/8/2018  
10/8/2018

16. In *Kunal Nanda vs Union of India*, reported in (2001) 5 SCC

**362.** the Apex Court dealing with the question relating to the validity of an order of repatriation of a deputationist, *inter alia*, held at para 6 as follows:

"6. .... On the legal submissions also made there are no merits whatsoever. It will settle that unless the claim of the deputationist for permanent absorption in the Department where he works on deputation is based upon any statutory rule, regulation or order bearing the force of law, a deputationist cannot assert and succeed in any such claim for absorption. The basic principle underlying deputation itself is that the person concerned can always and at any time be repatriated to his parent Department to serve his substantive position therein at the instance of either of the Departments and there is no vested right in such a person to continue for long on deputation ...."

17. Recruitment to service may be made by way of deputation also apart from other modes; but when it is made on deputation, it does not result in absorption in the service to which an employee is deputed unless the concerned Department decides to do so. In that sense, it is not recruitment in its true import and significance and the employee continues to be a member of parent service from where he is posted on deputation. By passing an order of deputation or putting an employee on deputation in another service, it does not confer any right to be absorbed in the deputed post and the deputationist can, therefore, be reverted to the parent cadre at any time. A deputationist may be absorbed in substantive capacity in the borrowed Department provided the borrowed Department so desires and the parent Department so agrees. In the instant case, neither the parent Department nor requisitioning Department is willing to have the petitioners absorbed permanently. The statutory rules, which is the source of right of the petitioners to be on deputation, prescribe the maximum limit of the period of deputation for three years only. The orders by which the petitioners were deputed from the parent Department is very specific that the period of deputation would not exceed beyond three years. The petitioners have lien to their respective posts in the parent Department and they can very well be repatriated back to their respective posts. The materials available on record do not disclose any infirmity or illegality in passing the orders of repatriation after expiry of the period of deputation, nor do the said orders, in

## Digitized by Google

1020 1021

1970-1971

Amendment 1 to the Act of  
1813

any way, violate any of the provisions of the statutory rules or regulations holding the field.

18. In view of the aforesaid discussion and decisions, we find that no right of the petitioners have been violated nor the petitioners have been able to show any violation of the any of fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution of India in deciding and/or passing the order of repatriation of the petitioner to their parent Departments justifying interference by this Court in exercise of its power of judicial review under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. In view of the above, we do not find any merit in this batch of writ petitions. Accordingly the petitions are dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/- H. N. Sarmia  
JUDGE

Sd/- J. A. Ansari  
JUDGE

Dsd

Original copy  
Recd. 15/2/05  
Attestation  
of Act.

Appendix IV

Appendix IV

IV (87)

32

SPEED POST

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT/168.

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
Along Electrical Division,  
Along, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.C No.112 (AP)/2002 filed by Shri Lendi Chatung, Divisional Accountants on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Shri Lendi Chatung, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer Department of power, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Lendi Chatung immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Lendi Chatung may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 - 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

SD/-

Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admn).

33

78  
65


Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/168-174.

Dated:-

9 & MAY 2000

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

1. The Chief Engineer, Department of Power, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
2. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
4. The Executive Engineer, Along, Electrical Division, Along, Arunachal Pradesh.
5. Shri Lendi Chatung, Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, Along Electrical Division, Along, Arunachal Pradesh.
6. Personal File of Shri Lendi Chatung, D.A. on deputation.
7. Spare Copy.
8. ~~Posting Table.~~

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

SPEED POST.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT/175.

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
Along Civil, Department of Power,  
Along, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.© No.112 (AP)/2002 filed by Shri Love Rao, Divisional Accountants on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Shri Love Rao, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Love Rao immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Love Rao may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 – 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

Sr. Dy. Accountant General (Admn).

-35-

80

Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/175-181

Dated:-


Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

24 MAY 2011

1. The Chief Engineer, Department of Power, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
2. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
3. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
4. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
5. The Executive Engineer, Along Civil Division, Department of Power, Along Arunachal Pradesh.

---

6. Shri Love Rao, Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, Along Civil Division, Department of Power, Along Arunachal Pradesh.
7. Personal File of Shri Love Rao, D.A. on deputation.
8. Spare Copy.
9. ~~Posting Table.~~

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

36

(81)

AB

SPEED POST

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT/226

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
P.W.D. Division Dumperijo  
Dumperijo Arunachal Pradesh

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.C. No.256 (AP)/2003 filed by Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar Divisional Accountant on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 - 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

SA/

Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admn).

-37-

(82)

29

Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/226 -225

Dated: 24 MAY 2005

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

1. The Chief Engineer , Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2. The Director of Accounts & and Treasuries, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi – 110 002.
4. Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar,Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, Dumperijo Public Works Department Division Dumperijo Arunachal Pradesh.
5. Personal File of Shri Lani Bhusan Karmakar,D.A. on deputation.
6. Spare Copy.

✓ 7. Posting Table.

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

38  
83  
100  
SPEED POST

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT//82.

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
I&FC Department, Daporijo  
Daporijo, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.C. No. 221 (AP)/2002 filed by Shri Jumi Kamum, Divisional Accountants on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Shri Jumi Kamum, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Jumi Kamum immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Jumi Kamum may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 - 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admn).

39

84

101

Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/182 - 187


Dated:-

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

24 MAY 2005

1. The Chief Engineer, I&FC Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
2. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
3. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
4. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
5. Shri Jumi Kamum, Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, I&FC Division, Daporijo, Arunachal Pradesh.
6. Personal File of Shri Jumi Kamum, D.A. on deputation.
7. Spare Copy.

✓ 8. Posting Table.

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

SPEED POST.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT/207.

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
Seppa, P.W.D,  
Seppa, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.C. No.410 (AP)/2002 filed by Shri Tashi Namgye, Divisional Accountants on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Tashi Namgye, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Tashi Namgye, immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Tashi Namgye may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 - 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-  
Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admn).

- 41 -


(86)  
107

Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/207-212.

Dated:- 24 MAY 200

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

1. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
4. Shri Tashi Namgey, Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, Seppa P.W.D., Seppa, Arunachal Pradesh.
5. Personal File of Shri Tashi Namgey, D.A. on deputation.
6. Spare Copy.
7. Posting Table.

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

- 42 -

(87)  
109

SPEED POST

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT/165

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
Tezu P.W.D.,  
Tezu, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.C No.729 (AP)/2001 filed by Shri Tankeswar Borah. Divisional Accountants on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Shri Tankeswar Borah, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer (East Zone), Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Tankeswar Borah immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Tankeswar Borah, may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 - 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

Sdy

Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admn).

43

88  
1st

Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/155-161

Dated:-

24 MAY 2005

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

1. The Chief Engineer (East Zone), Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
4. The Executive Engineer, Tezu P.W.D., Tezu, Arunachal Pradesh.
5. Shri Tankeswar Borah, Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, Tezu P.W.D., Tezu, Arunachal Pradesh.
6. Personal File of Shri Tankeswar Borah, D.A. on deputation.
7. Spare Copy.
8. ~~Posting Table.~~

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

44

(90) 106

SPEED POST.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) :: MEGHALAYA,  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH, MIZORAM :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No. DA Cell/MVKN/DEPT./HIGH COURT/ 162.

Dated:- 24 MAY 2005

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
Seppa, Electrical Division, Department of Power,  
Seppa, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Repatriation to Parent Department.

Sri,

The Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, while delivering its order dated 1.2.2005 (in 12 cases) disposing W.P.© No.820 (AP)/2001 filed by Shri Sanchayan Kr.Dam, Divisional Accountants on deputation has stated that it does not find any merit in the batch of Writ Petitions filed by the Petitioners before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, Shri Sanchayan Kr. Dam, Divisional Accountant on deputation stands released and reverted back to his parent Department i.e. Chief Engineer (East Zone), Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

You are requested to release Shri Sanchayan Kr.Dam immediately and make internal arrangements to look after the work of the Divisional Accountant in your Division till such time a regular Divisional Accountant is posted by this office.

The date of release of Shri Sanchayan Kr. Das may please be intimated telegraphically/Fax (No. 0364 - 2223103) to this office.

Yours faithfully,

SAD

Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admn).


Memo No. DA Cell/MVKM/DEPTN/HIGH COURT/ 162-167

Dated:-

24 MAY 2005

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

1. The Chief Engineer (East Zone), Public Works Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2. The Chief Engineer, Department of Power, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
3. The Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh.
4. The Director (Legal) O/o the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
5. The Executive Engineer, Seppa Electrical Division, Seppa Arunachal Pradesh.
6. Shri Sanchyan Kr. Dam, Divisional Accountant (Deputation) O/o the Executive Engineer, Seppa Electrical Division, Seppa Arunachal Pradesh.
7. Personal File of Shri S.K.Dam, D.A. on deputation.
8. Spare Copy.
9. ~~Posting Table.~~

  
Sr. Accounts Officer,  
I/c D.A. Cell.

DA Cell/1-8/05 at 5.5.05

GOVT. OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH  
DIRECTORATE OF ACCOUNTS AND TREASURIES  
NAHARLAGUN

08

DA/TRY/Part/15/99

Dated Naharlagun the \_\_\_\_\_ April 05.

To


The Accountant General (A&E),  
Arunachal Pradesh Meghalaya etc.,  
Shillong.Sub:- Divisional Accountants on deputation – regarding.Ref:- Your No. DA Cell/1-8/Court Case/2000-01/1909 dated  
March 24, 05.

Sir,

While inviting a reference to your letter no. & dated quoted above, I am directed to request you to furnish detail list of 12 Divisional Accountants on deputation in whose cases Divisional Bench of Guwahati High Court has ordered for repatriation / reversion back to their parent department. Similar information also be furnished in respect of 19 Divisional Accountants whose cases are pending in the Court/ CAT in order to persuade the officials to withdraw the cases.

An early response is solicited.

Yours faithfully,

  
 (C.M. Mongmaw),  
 Director of Accounts & Treasuries,  
 Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Naharlagun.

KADUKU

S. 1000  
S. 1000

1000

Speed Post

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) ::  
MEGHALAYA, ARUNACHAL PRADESH :: SHILLONG :: 793001.

No.DA/Coll/1-8/Court Cases/2000-2001//47,

Dated:- 20-5-2005,  
24.5.2005

To

Shri C.M.Mongmaw,  
Director of Accounts & Treasuries,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Naharlagun.


Sub:- *Divisional Accountants on deputation- regarding.*

Sd/-

In inviting a reference to your letter No.DA /TRY/Par/15/99 dated April, 2005 on the subject mentioned above, I am to inform you that, in light of the order dated 1.2.2005 passed in 12 cases by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, Naharlagun, repatriation orders in respect of 12 (Twelve) Divisional Accountants on deputation to their parent department are being issued.

Further, in respect of another 19 (Nineteen) cases of a similar nature regarding Divisional Accountants which are pending in the Hon'ble High Court/CAT Guwahati, the Standing Counsels have been requested to take necessary steps to transfer these cases to the Hon'ble High Court, Itanagar Permanent Bench, for speedy disposal.

Yours faithfully,



(S.A.Batheww)

Sr.Dy.Accountant General (Admtd).



Appendix - VII

D.Y.S. / 137/15/10/13/7/05  
D.O. No. 425 N.G. / 656 dt 13/7/05Manish Kumar  
Asstt. C&A.G.(N)

D.O. No. 425 N.G.

Appendix - VII (94)

App/10-2005

भारत द्वारा दिल्ली महानगरपालिका कार्यालय

Annexure A

नई दिल्ली - 110 002

OFFICE OF THE  
COMPTROLLER & AUDITOR GENERAL  
OF INDIA10, BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG,  
New Delhi - 110 002

दिनांक / DATE 6.7.2005

RC: D.A. Cell / E.A.G. / 20 dt 13.7.05

48-

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to your D.O. letter No. D.A. Cell / 1-8 / Court Case/ 2000- 2001 / 415 dated 13.06.2005 regarding absorption of 31 employees of the state Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, working as Divisional Accountants on deputation, in the Divisional Accountant Cadre. I would like to mention here that the above issue has been examined in this office. As the Recruitment Rules for the post of Divisional Accountant do not provide for such absorption, it has not been found possible to accede to the request of the State Government of Arunachal Pradesh to absorb these personnel in the Divisional Accountant cadre.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

(MANISH KUMAR)

Shri A.W.K.Langstieh  
A.G.(A&E)  
Meghalaya,  
Shillong.

11/7

13/7/05  
MANISH KUMAR

MANISH KUMAR

SK  
13/7/05

To,

Mr. B. Banerjee  
Advocate, Arunakal Pradeek  
C.A.T.  
Guwahati

Ref. : O.A. No. 114 of 2005

L. Chatur & ors

-Vs-

Union of India & Others

Sir,

No. 162

Please take notice that the respondents in the above case have filed a written statement in the above case.


Kindly acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,

  
Addl. C.G.S.C. 30/5/05

Received copy  
for Dr. B. Banerjee  
Advocate

3-10-05



IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH: GUWAHATI

In the matter of:

O.A. No. 114/2005

Shri L. Chatung & Ors.

-Vs-

Union of India & Ors.

-AND-

In the matter of:

An additional affidavit submitted by the applicants in support their contentions raised in the Original Application.

The applicants abovementioned most humbly and respectfully beg to state as under:-

1. That your applicants further beg to state that during the pendency of the Original Application filed by the present applicants, for absorption of the applicants in the cadre of Divisional Accountants on regular basis, a further development has taken place in the matter as such this affidavit is necessary in order to appraise the Hon'ble Court regarding subsequent development on the question of absorption in the cadre of Divisional Accountant on regular basis.

112  
Exiced by the applicant  
through advocate in  
G. N. Chakraborty on 07/01/07.

2. That the Director and Accounts and Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, i.e. respondent No. 11, submitted a written statement supporting the case of the present applicants and also framed a scheme for taking over the administrative control of the cadre of Senior DAO/DAO Grade-I/DAO Grade-II and DA of working Divisions belonging to PHE/RWD/IFCD/PWD/Power Department of Arunachal Pradesh from the control of the Accountant General (A&E), Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, etc. Shillong and the said scheme is forwarded by the Respondent No. 11 to the Respondent No. 2, for consideration and approval, which is annexed with the written statement filed by the Respondent No. 11. In the said scheme, a specific provision is made regarding absorption of the Divisional Accounts on deputation, particularly in paragraph No. 2, wherein it has been stated that the Divisional Accountant on deputation who have completed the existing term of deputation will be continued as emergency Divisional Accountant for the time being as working arrangement but for their absorption as regular Divisional Accountant they shall have to qualify themselves in the Divisional Tests examination within 2 years from the date of taking over of the cadre from the administrative control of the AG (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong etc. The deputationists will be given 3 chances to qualify in the Divisional tests examination, if any body fails to qualify the Divisional tests examination shall be reverted to their parent department as and when qualified hand become available. In paragraph 3 of the said draft scheme it has been

To,

Mr. B. Banerjee  
Advocate, Assamhat Prakash  
C.A.T.  
Guwahati

Ref. : O.A. No. 114 of 200 5

L. Chatur & ors

-Versus-

Union of India & Others

Sir,

No. 162


Please take notice that the respondents in the above case have filed a written statement in the above case.

Kindly acknowledge receipt.


Yours faithfully,

  
Addl. C.G.S.C. 30/5/05

Received copy

  
for Dr. B. Bar  
Advocate

3.10.05 -



IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH: GUWAHATI

In the matter of:

O.A. No. 114/2005

Shri L. Chatung & Ors.

-Vs-

Union of India & Ors.

-AND-

In the matter of:

An additional affidavit submitted by the applicants in support their contentions raised in the Original Application.

The applicants abovementioned most humbly and respectfully beg to state as under:-

1. That your applicants further beg to state that during the pendency of the Original Application filed by the present applicants, for absorption of the applicants in the cadre of Divisional Accountants on regular basis, a further development has taken place in the matter as such this affidavit is necessary in order to appraise the Hon'ble Court regarding subsequent development on the question of absorption in the cadre of Divisional Accountant on regular basis.

(97)  
14

specifically stated they will be placed under the administrative control of Finance Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, after receipt of the aforesaid scheme prepared by the State of Arunachal Pradesh, the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong, made certain modification in the said scheme. However, in paragraph 7 of the modified scheme, it has been stated that no employee from the State Government should be posted to the regular charge of any division unless he or she completed the special training required in public works Accounting system as well as functioning of the public works division and has subsequently qualified in an appropriate departmental examination to be introduced by the State Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh as indicate in draft scheme of the State Government. However, in the modified scheme it has been stated that the Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Arunachal Pradesh shall have the power to appoint any employee of the State Government as Divisional Accountant/Divisional Accounts Officer on a temporary and ad hoc basis till the post is filled by the regular qualified persons. Therefore, it appears from the letter of the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong bearing No. DA/Cell/1-1/2000-2001/1509 dated 25.11.2005, whereby comments and suggestions of the State of Arunachal Pradesh is invited by the Accountant General over the modified scheme for further consideration and approval of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India. Therefore, it appears on principle that the Respondent No. 1 and 2 have accepted the scheme of the

98

15

Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh with certain modification of the Scheme submitted by the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

Copy of the letter dated 25.11.2005 with the modified scheme is enclosed herewith for perusal of the Hon'ble Court as Annexure.

A.

3. That it is stated that the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh have already examined the modified scheme and the same shall be forwarded to the Respondent No. 1 and 2 shortly to expedite the process of taking over the administrative control of the cadre of the Divisional Accountants.

In the circumstances stated above the Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the respondents to take a final decision in the matter of absorption of the applicants as expeditiously as possible and till such time the applicants be allowed to continue and status quo be maintained till a final decision is taken over in the issue.

In the facts and circumstances as stated above the Hon'ble Court be pleased to allow the Original Application.

(99)


5 18

VERIFICATION

I, Shri Lendi Chatung, S/o Late Lendi Sala, aged about 36 years, working as Divisional Accountant, in the O/o- The Executive Engineer, Along Electrical Division, Department of Power, Along, applicant No. 1 in the instant Original Application, duly authorized by the others to verify the statements made in this application, do hereby verify that the statements made in Paragraph 1 to 3 are true to my legal advice and I have not suppressed any material fact.

And I sign this verification on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of March 2006.

Lendi Chatung



25.11.2005

100

17

Registered Post

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E) MEGHALAYA, P.T.C.  
SHILLONG :: 793001.

No.DA Cell/ 1-1/2000-2001/ 1509

Dated:- 25.11.2005

To

Shri C.M. Mongmaw,  
Director of Accounts and Treasuries,  
Government of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Naharlagun - 791 110.  
Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub:- Scheme for transfer of cadre of DAs/DAOs from the Administrative control  
of A.G.(A&E) Meghalaya, etc, Shillong to the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

Sir,  
In inviting a reference to this office letter No. DA Cell/1-8/Court case/Vol.II/1045  
dated 23.8.2005 on the subject cited above, I am to state that the draft scheme framed by  
the C&AG's office regarding proposed transfer of DAs cadre has been received by  
this office. A Copy of the draft scheme is forwarded herewith for acceptance of the terms  
and conditions embodied in the scheme by Arunachal Pradesh Government.

Comments/suggestion if any, of the government may be communicated to this  
office for onward transmission to the O/o the C&AG of India for consideration/approval.  
Also the action taken by the State Government on this office letter No. DA Cell/1-  
1/2000-2001/1390 dated 31.10.2005 (Copy enclosed) may also please be communicated  
at an early date.

Enclo:- As stated above.

Yours faithfully,

*A.K. Das*  
(A.K. Das)  
Dy. Accountant General (Admn)

*A. K. Das  
Law Advocate*

(10)

Scheme for the transfer of the cadre of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers from the administrative control of A.G.(A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong to the Government of Arunachal Pradesh.

The Government of Arunachal Pradesh has decided to take over the existing cadre of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers from the administrative control of the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong to its administrative control on the following terms and conditions:

1. Administrative Control:

The administrative control of the Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts officers, who are presently governed under the Central Civil Service Rules and are working under the administrative control of Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong shall on their transfer to services of Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, vest with the Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh under Department of Finance who would exercise all the administrative powers like recruitment / promotion / leave / transfers & postings / disciplinary matters etc.

2. Status and composition of the cadre on transfer:

(a) The existing Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers under the administrative control of Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong have the following cadre composition:

Sl. No.	Name of the Post / Grade	Scale of Pay (Rs)	Percentage composition of cadre strength
1.	Divisional Accountants (Ordinary Grade)	5500-175-9000	35%
2.	Divisional Accounts Officers (Grade-II)	6500-200-10500	25%
3.	Divisional Accounts Officer (Grade-I)	7450-225-11500	25%
4.	St. Divisional Accounts Officers	7500-250-12000	15%

(b) After taking over to the service of Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, the existing regular Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers would continue to constitute a separate cadre with the existing cadre structure and designations.

3. Option for transfer to service of Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

(a) After publication of the order for the proposed transfer of cadre in the official Gazette of the State Government, Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong shall call upon all the regular Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers of the combined cadre, who find place, on the date of Gazette Notification, in the Gradation list maintained by his office to exercise their option in the prescribed proforma appended herewith through the respective executive engineers within a period of two month for going over to the service of the State Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh or for their continuance in the Central Government Service under the administrative control of A.G.(A&E) Meghalaya. The option so exercised shall be final and in no case whatsoever it shall be altered. Those who do not exercise such option within the specified period for whatever reasons shall be deemed to have made an option to remain in the Central Govt. Service under the Administrative control of A.G.(A&E) Meghalaya. The pension and other retirement liabilities of those who opt for the State Service shall be borne by the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

(b) Those Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers who opt for their continuance in the Central Government Service under the administrative control of the A.G.(A&E) Meghalaya will be posted in Manipur / Tripura.

*At present*  
*Advocate*  
*Advocate*

The employees of office of the Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya, Shillong and the employees of State Government who are on deputation to the posts of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers shall not be allowed to exercise any option to go over to the state Govt. Such employees, if required by the state Govt., may be allowed to serve on the standard terms and conditions of deputation duly approved by the State Govt.

#### 4. Regulation of Conditions of service

Subsequent to transfer to the State Govt., the conditions of service of the existing Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers shall be regulated as follows:

(I) **Scales of Pay**- Existing Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers shall continue to be placed in their existing pay scales. However, in the event of any revision of pay scales of existing Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers by the Govt. of India with effect from a date prior to the date of taking over the cadre control, the existing incumbents shall be entitled to such revised scales of pay from the said date. Any subsequent revision of pay scale by the State Govt. shall be applicable to them.

(II) **Age of Superannuation**- Since the age of Superannuation in respect of existing Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers working under the administrative control of A.G. (A&E) Meghalaya is 60 years as applicable to the Central Govt. employees, they shall be, as a special dispensation, allowed a general extension of service without any discrimination for two years over the existing age of superannuation of 58 years applicable to the employees of state Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, subject to the condition that the retirement age of the Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers transferred to the State Service will be as is ordered by the Central Govt. from time to time for their employees.

(III) **Seniority under State Govt.**- The inter-se seniority of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers taken over to the cadre of State Govt. shall continue to be the same as existing on the date of taking over the cadre by the State Govt.

(IV) **Recruitment**- The recruitment to the post of Divisional Accountant after taking over the cadre by the State Govt. would be as per policy decided by the govt. of Arunachal Pradesh.

(V) **Promotions**- After taking over the cadre, the State Govt. shall formulate suitable rules under the Arunachal Pradesh Service Rules to provide adequate promotional avenues for the cadre which shall, however, not be less advantageous than what is available under the administrative control of A.G. (A&E) Meghalaya. Till such rules are framed, the promotions shall be regulated by the extant rules / instructions applicable prior to the date of taking over the cadre.

(VI) **Training / Departmental Examination** - State Govt. shall, with a view to provide adequate qualified manpower to the taken over cadre of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers, evolve a system of theoretical / practical training in Public Works Accounting system and functioning of Public Works Divisions and a suitable departmental examination comparable to the existing training and examination to ensure that the existing quality and regularity of rendition of accounts to the A.G. (A&E) Meghalaya is maintained.

(VII) **Transfers and postings**- The transfer and postings of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers shall be made by the State Government. No employee from the state Govt. shall be posted to the regular charge of any division unless he / she has completed the special training required in Public Works Accounting system as well as the functioning of the Public Works Divisions and has subsequently qualified in an appropriate departmental examination to be introduced by the State Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh as referred to in clause 4(VI). Notwithstanding this provision, the Director of accounts and Treasuries, Arunachal Pradesh Govt. shall have the powers to appoint any employee of the State Govt. as Divisional Accountant / Divisional Accounts Officers on a temporary and ad-hoc basis for a specified period, till the post is filled by regular qualified personnel.

(II) Disciplinary Authority- Subsequent to the transfer of the cadre to the state Govt. the Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Arunachal Pradesh Govt. shall be the disciplinary authority in respect of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers.

(IX) Reporting and Reviewing Officers- The Executive Engineers of the various divisions shall continue to be the reporting officer for the purpose of writing of Annual Confidential Reports in respect of Divisional Accountants / Divisional Accounts Officers working under them. The FA/CAO of the concerned department shall be the Reviewing Officer and Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Arunachal Pradesh shall function as the accepting authority.

5. Transitional Provisions- Till such time the State Govt. frames appropriate rules concerning conditions of service of the taken over cadre, the incumbents shall be governed by the rules hitherto in force.

(e) Power to relax- Where the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so, it shall, after consultation with the Comptroller & Auditor General of India, by an order for reasons to be recorded in writing, relax any of the provisions of these terms and conditions with respect to any class or category of persons.

प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फॉलोजो की अपेक्षित संख्या सुचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फॉलोजो देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.
2/11/06	2/11/06	2/11/06	10/11/06	10/11/06

THE CALCUTTA HIGH COURT  
High Court of Judicature at Nandigram, Alibazar, Manipur, Tripura,  
Mizoram & Andaman & Nicobar Islands

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

. NO. 2005. O. 13

Appellant  
Petitioner

W.P.(C)

No. 3992/2002

Appellant  
Petitioner

Accountant General (A&E) Meghalaya etc., Shillong

vs. State of Meghalaya

Respondent

Opposite Party

For Petitioner

Mr. A. K. Goudkuri

Mr. P. Bharomick Adreestes

For Respondent

Mr. U. K. Nair, Advocate for Res. No. 1.

Opposite Party

Mr. S. Chaudhury

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signature
1	2	3	4

Rs.

IN THE MATTER OF :

**Accountant General (A & E) Meghalaya etc, Shillong.**

.....Petitioner.

- Vs -

1. **Shri R. Prathapan,**  
**S/o Shri V. Raghavan.**  
**Divisional Accountant**  
**O/o Executive Engineer (Civil)**  
**Ziro Civil Division, Department of Power,**  
**P.O. Ziro, Dist. Lower Subansiri,**  
**Arunachal Pradesh.**

*PS.*  
2. **Shri Habung Laln**  
**S/o Shri Habung Tasso**  
**A-Sector, Naharlagun**  
**Dist. Papumparo**  
**Arunachal Pradesh.**

3. Shri Keshab Chandra Das,  
S/o Shri Kamini Kumar Das,  
Divisional Accountant,  
Bomdila R.W.D., Singchung  
Tenga Valley, West Kameng  
District, Arunachal Pradesh.
  
4. Shri Gambuh Hagey,  
S/o Shri H.N.Bhat,  
Divisional Accountant,  
O/o the Executive Engineer,  
R.W.D. Ziru, Arunachal Pradesh.  
*DIST. LOWER SUBANSIRI*
  
5. Shri Rathindra Kumar Deb,  
S/o Late Ramani Mohan Deb,  
Divisional Accountant,  
O/o the Executive Engineer,  
I & PCD, Tezu, Arunachal Pradesh.  
*DIST. LASHI*
  
6. Shri Utpal Mahanta,  
S/o Shri Krishna Kanta Mahanta  
Divisional Accountant,  
O/o the Executive Engineer,  
Daporijo PHC Division,  
Arunachal Pradesh.  
*DIST. UPPER SUBANSIRI.*

7. Shri Hage Mubi Tada,  
S/o Shri Hage Mubi,  
Divisional Accountant,  
O/o the Executive Engineer,  
Papumpare, R.W.D.  
Arunachal Pradesh.
8. Shri Malay Bhusan Dey  
S/o Shri Brajendra Chandra Dey,  
Divisional Accountant,  
O/o the Executive Engineer,  
Tawang I & FC Division,  
Arunachal Pradesh,  
DIST. TAWANG.
9. Shri Hage Tamin,  
S/o Late Hage Murchi,  
Divisional Accountant,  
O/o the Executive Engineer,  
Electrical Division,  
Daporijo Department of Power,  
Arunachal Pradesh.  
DIST. UPPER SUBANSIRI.

RS.

..... Respondents.

The humble petition of the Petitioner above-named

10. Central Administrative Tribunal  
Guwahati Bench, Guwahati.
11. State of Arunachal Pradesh  
Government, represented by  
the Chief Secretary  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh  
Disnagar.

Opposed side HCO dt 16-8-05 as R.No.106

ATC  
19-8-05

(5)

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signature
1	2	3	4
			WP(C) No. 3992/2002

BEFORE  
 HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR BS REDDY  
 HON'BLE MR JUSTICE T NANDAKUMAR SINGH

16-02-2006

The view taken by the Central Administrative Tribunal, in our considered opinion, is not vitiated for any reason whatsoever requiring our interference exercising the power of certiorari jurisdiction. The impugned order does not suffer from any error on the face of the record.

The writ petition shall accordingly stand dismissed.

Sd/- T. Nandakumar Singh Sd/- B. S. Reddy  
 Judge Chief Justice

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

.....

Date.....

Superintendent(Copying Section)

Gauhati High Court

Authorised U/S 76, Act 1, 1872

BS.

10/11/06

Sl. No. 62925

2.11.06