

FORM NO. 4

(See Rule 42)

In The Central Administrative Tribunal

GUWAHATI BENCH : GUWAHATI

ORDER SHEET

APPLICATION NO. *CP. 38/98* OF 199

CA. 18/97

Applicant(s) *H. K. Mazumdar vs.*

Respondent(s) *Mr. T. R. Prasad.*

Advocate for Applicant(s) *Mr. A. Ahmed.*

Advocate for Respondent(s)

Notes of the Registry	Date	Order of the Tribunal
<p>This Contempt petition is filed by Mr. A. Ahmed for the Applicant with prayer for direct the respondents non-implementation of Tribunal Judgment & Order dtd. 10.6.97 in O.A. 18/97 passed by this Hon'ble Tribunal.</p> <p>Laid for favour of further orders.</p> <p>Laid for favour of further orders.</p> <p><i>SECTION OFFICER</i> <i>Bow</i></p>	<p>4.1.99</p> <p>pg</p> <p><i>5/6</i> <i>6/11/99</i></p> <p>4.2.99</p>	<p>Present : Hon'ble Justice Sri D.N. Baruah, Vice-Chairman and Hon'ble Sri G.L. Sanglyine, Administrative Member.</p> <p>Issue notice to show cause as to why contempt proceeding shall not be initiated against the alleged contemner.</p> <p>Returnable by four weeks.</p> <p>List on 4.2.99 for show cause and further orders.</p> <p><i>62</i> Member</p> <p><i>SLB</i> Vice-Chairman</p> <p><i>There is a reference. Adjourned to 10.2.99.</i> <i>By order.</i></p>

Pl. comply order dtd 4.1.99.

AS
6/11/99.

CP 38/98

04/18/97

(2)

Notes of the Registry	Date	Order of the Tribunal
-----------------------	------	-----------------------

10-2-99

8-1-99

Service of Notice
Prepared and sent
to D/Section for issuing
of the same to the
Respondents No-1 & 2
Through Regd. post A/P.

Yes
8/1/99

Notice issued to the
Respondents vide
D. No- 56-57 dt. 11.1.99

Yes
12/1/99

3.2.99.

- 1) Service Reports are still awaited.
- 2) NO. show cause has been filed.

Yes
3/4/99

9-2-99

- 1) Service Reports are still awaited.
- 2) NO. show cause has been filed.

Yes
9/2/99

15/2/99

Copies of the order
have been sent to the
D/Sec. for issuing the
same to the parties through
Regd. post A/P vide D. No.
526 dt. 16.2.99

Date

Order of the Tribunal

Mr. B.C. Pathak, learned advocate for the opposite party submits that a part of the order has since been implemented. Mr. Pathak further submits that the other two directions regarding Special Compensatory Allowance and Field Service Concession Allowance are going to be implemented within a short period. However, Mr. Ahmed submits that he has no instructions in this regard. On hearing counsel for the parties we find no reason to proceed with this Contempt Petition. Accordingly, we closed the Contempt Petition. However, if later on it transpires that the order of this Tribunal dated 10-6-97 is not implemented the applicant may approach the appropriate authority. Contempt Petition is disposed of.

Member

Vice-Chairman