

2

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
GUWAHATI BENCH

OA No. 21/97

Ramesh Ch. Bara . . . . . Applicant(s)  
-Versus-

Union of India & Ors. . . . . . Respondent(s)

Mr. J. Saikia . . . . . Advocates for Applicant(s)

Mr. S. Ali & Co. . . . . . Advocates for Respondent(s)

----- Office Notes ----- 8 Date ----- Courts' Orders -----

29-1-97

This application in  
form and with time  
C. F. of Rs 50/-  
deposited vide  
IPO BD No 707449  
Dated 21-1-97

S. Saikia  
Dy. Registrar. 22.1.97

Learned counsel Mr.J.Saikia  
for the applicant moves this appli-  
cation. Learned Sr.C.G.S.C. Mr.S.  
Ali is present for the respondents.  
The applicant is an Executive  
Engineer in the Regional Research  
Laboratory, Jorhat. He has been  
allotted 'B' Type quarter on  
20th Feb'92 and he is occupying  
the quarter. According to the  
applicant he is entitled to 'E'  
Type quarter at present as he is  
drawing the pay of Rs. 3,750/-.  
But the respondents have not  
allotted him the appropriate  
quarter. Therefore, the applicant  
is aggrieved.

Heard learned counsel Mr.J.  
Saikia and Sr.C.G.S.C.Mr.S.Ali.

It is seen that the representation  
dated 20-11-96 submitted by the  
applicant to the Director, Regional  
Research Laboratory, Jorhat is  
pending disposal at his end. In  
view of this fact I consider that

contd/-

29-1-97

this application needs not be admitted at this stage but it is to be disposed of with directions to the respondent No.2. Accordingly the Director, Regional Research Laboratory Jorhat, is directed to consider and dispose of the representation dated 20-11-96 submitted by the applicant according to the rules and on merit. Respondent No.2 shall issue final order on the aforesaid representation of the applicant within 1 month from the date of receipt of copy of this order by him.

Applicant is at liberty to approach this Tribunal, if he is aggrieved with the order of the Director.

Application is disposed of.  
No order as to costs.

Member

30/1

30/1/97

31/1/97

Longman Application  
Copy of the order issued  
to the respondent's vide  
D.No-397 to 393 of 6.2.97

31/1