

In The Central Administrative Tribunal Jaipur Bench, Jaipur

OA/TA/MP. No. /199

Union of India

Versus *P.C. Bakliwal*

①

Date of Order	Orders
14-8-1997	<p><u>MA No. 224 /97 (OA No 239 /93)</u></p> <p>Mr. V.S.Gurjar, counsel for the applicants in the MA (official respondents in the OA)</p> <p>This is a Misc. Application seeking extension of time of four months for implementing the Tribunal's order dated 13-1-1997.</p> <p>Issue notice of this Misc. Application to the applicant in the OA returnable on 29-9-1997.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><i>2069</i> <i>228</i> <i>9/</i> (Ratan Prakash) Judicial Member</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><i>Q</i> (O.P.Sharma) Administrative Member</p> </div> </div> <p><u>29-9-1997</u></p> <p>Mr. V.S.Gurjar, counsel for the applicants in the MA (official respondents in the OA)</p> <p>This is a Misc. Application filed by the official respondents in OA No.239/93 praying for 4 months' time for implementing the order of the Tribunal passed in the aforesaid OA on 13-1-1997. Notice of this MA was issued to the applicant in the OA but no reply has been filed. The MA was filed on 1-8-1997. In the circumstances, after hearing the learned counsel for the official respondents in the OA i.e. applicants in the MA, we grant further 2 months time to the official respondents in the OA to implement the Tribunal's order dated 13-1-1997. In other words, the order of the Tribunal shall be complied with by 1st December, 1997. The MA stands disposed of accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><i>Q</i> (O.P.Sharma) Administrative Member</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><i>Q Krishna</i> (Gopal Krishna) Vice Chairman</p> </div> </div>

*Issued Regd.
Ad. Notice to
Appn. D. 200.
Vide-
Dotal-*

*Ad. Notice
issued
19-9-97*

*Copy for
for V.S.Gurjar
Adm*

*Copy issued
to applicant
Vide 2725
dt 22-10-97*