

Copy of order dated 15.4.93 passed
in No. 212/92 of 28/1/93 Jaipur Bench

15.4.93

Mr. R. N. Mathur - counsel for applicant/petitioner.

Heard the counsel for the applicant. According to the facts mentioned in the O.A. the services of the applicant were terminated on 21.1.90 and the O.A. has been filed on 31.3.93 i.e. after more than 3 years against the limitation period of one year prescribed under Sec. 21 of the A.T. Act. No details has been mentioned regarding any representation has been made. However, the M.P. filed for condonation of delay it has been mentioned that he submitted a representation on 26.2.90 and thereafter filed another representation on 14.9.91. Thereafter an O.A. has been filed which was registered as O.A.No.515/91 and the same was withdrawn with the liberty to file a fresh O.A. on 15.9.92. The learned counsel for the applicant does not disclose the reason for further delay of over 6 months in filing the fresh O.A. The O.A. is dismissed in limine being barred by limitation.

(B.B. Mahajan)
Member(A)

(D.L. Mehta)
Vice Chairman.

TRUE - COPY

Section Officer (Judicial)
Central Administrative Tribunal
JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

Copy sent to Applicant Counsel

vide 2829

Dated 24/4/93