

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL: HYDERABAD BENCH  
HYDERABAD

CAs 1635/98, 112/99, 125, 154, 167, 302, 317, 313, 326, 440, 441,  
442, 430, 527, 523, 530, 531, 554, 635, 624, 639, 640 and 649 of 1999

DATE OF HEARING: 29th April, 1999

CA 1635/98

|                   |                |
|-------------------|----------------|
| 1. K. Narsing Rao | 4. M.A. Satter |
| 2. D.M. Singh     | 5. S. Salaraj  |
| 3. A. Srinivas    | 6. S.C. Ramulu |

... Applicants

And  
Union of India rep. by:

1. The Director General, Dept of posts New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, Hyderabad City  
Division, Hyderabad-1.

... Respondents.

CA. 112/99

Between:

|                     |                    |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1. G. Suresh        | 7. S. Salaraju     |
| 2. S. Satyanarayana | 8. E. Vinod Kumar  |
| 3. K. Narsing Rao   | 9. Kishore Kumar   |
| 4. K. Choji Reddy   | 10. Md. Zamiruddin |
| 5. P. Raju          | 11. M. Kamalamma   |
| 6. T. Yadagiri      | 12. Prabhu         |

... Applicants

And  
Union of India rep. by:

1. The Director General, Dept of Posts New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief post Master General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad. 500001.
3. The Sr. Manager, Mail Motor Service, Koti, Hyderabad-500195.

... Respondents

Contd....2.



GO. NO. 125/99

- |                      |                    |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. G. Jambakrishna   | 15. N. Appayamma   |
| 2. V. Venkata Raja   | 16. S. Macheswari  |
| 3. J. Anil Kumar     | 17. D. Sankarappa  |
| 4. K. Satyanarayana  | 18. R. Eswaramma   |
| 5. I. K. Ahmed       | 19. S. Lakshmi     |
| 6. M. P. P. P. P.    | 20. I. Kanakappa   |
| 7. I. Venkatarao     | 21. P. Appayamma   |
| 8. K. Krishna Murthy | 22. S. Suramma     |
| 9. M. Suribabu       | 23. S. Sanyasi Rao |
| 10. Ch. Krishna      | 24. S. Lakshmi     |
| 11. A. Rahman        |                    |

...Applicants

And

Union of India rep. by

1. The Director General, Dept of posts, New Delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. Postmaster General, Visakhapatnam Region, Visakhapatnam.
4. Superintendent of post office, visakhapatnam, postal division Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

GO. NO. 154/99

Y. Narasiah

.. Applicant.

And

1. Union of India rep. by  
Director General Dept of posts, Sansad Marg, New Delhi-1.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP. Circle, Daksadan, Abids Hyderabad.
3. The postmaster General, AP, Southern Region Kurnool.
4. The supdt. of post office, Cuddapah division Cuddapah.
5. The postmaster, Cuddapah, HQ, 516001, AP.

...Respondents

GO. NO. 167/99

- |                        |                              |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Sampang Appalaraju  | 14. Jagga Sarveswararao      |
| 2. Bandi Kanaka Rao    | 15. K. V. Shariff            |
| 3. Vada Appayamma      | 16. Patripilli Chinnappa     |
| 4. Matha Nagaswar Rao  | 17. Satyanarayana            |
|                        | 17. M. Gopala Krishna Murthy |
| 5. Janaswamy Akambaram | 18. Durada Mangadhar Rao     |
| 6. S. Venkatarao       |                              |
| 7. Patikili Ram Rao    | 19. Uttada Ratna Kumari      |
| 8. Lopinti Aminandam   |                              |

Contd....3.

9. Pothala Sanyasi Rao
10. Macesala Janardhan Rao
11. Poshineta Satyanarayana
12. Yerra Venkateswararao
13. Galiwada Kanaka Rao

20. Grandhi Shimeshwara Rao
21. Gunga Shankar Rao
22. Donam Kishore Babu
23. Kanaparti Venkata Suri Babu
24. Smt. S.K. Khatijabi
25. M.D. Massem Basha

....Applicants

And

Union of India rep.by-

1. Director General, Dept of Posts, New Delhi
2. Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad.
3. Postmaster General Visakhapatnam Region, Visakhapatnam.
4. Superintendent RMS, MS 'V' Division, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

Sl.No. 302/99

1. S.Mahaboob Basha
2. Smt.Y.Sugunamma
5. Gali Ankaiah
7. S.Akthar Basha
9. Jammi Nagamma
11. Y.Subharaju
13. Smt. S.Sasheeran

2. Y.Venkatesu
4. G.Hari Krishna
6. Smt. Gali Ramulamma
8. Jammu Subbanna
10. GV.Narasiah
12. Melaturu Venkataiah
14. T. Siddamma

..Applicants

And

Union of India rep.by

1. Director General, Dept of posts, New Delhi-110001.
2. Chief postmaster General, AP, Postal Circle, Hyderabad.
3. Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518005.
4. Superintendent of Post office, Cuddapah Postal Division, Cuddapah-1.

...Respondents.

Sl.No. 317/99

Between:

1. G.Nagaraju
2. A.Venkatalaxamma
3. Sunkalamma
4. B.Aswarthappa

5. G.Krishna Murthy
6. P.Sudhakar
7. Smt.D.Mastanamma
8. D.Ramanjaneyulu

...Applicants

And

1. Union of India, rep.by  
Director General Dept of posts Dak Bhavan Sansad Margh, New Delhi.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Dakshin, Abida, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent Railway Mail Service, AG, Division, Guntakal.

...Respondents.

Contd.....4.

CA. 318/99

Between:

1. Katta Eswaraiah
2. C. Ragaiah
3. Smt. P. Lakshamma

... Applicant

And

1. Union of India rep. by  
Director General, Dept of posts, Dak Bhavan Sangadamarg, New delhi.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Dak Sadan, Abids, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Gudur Division, Gudur (ML)
4. The Postmaster, Head post office, Gudur (ML)

... Respondents

CA. NO. 326/99

- |                       |                       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. J. Gopi Krishna    | 23. B. Neelamma       |
| 2. C. Jayachandra     | 24. K. Gnanamma       |
| 3. K. Sanker Babu     | 25. K. Doraswamy      |
| 4. Smt. S. Chen Begum | 26. K. Madhu          |
| 5. S. Mahaboob        | 27. K. Krishna        |
| 6. C. Ramanamma       | 28. M. Rangaswamy     |
| 7. P. Ramaiah         | 29. T. Hemadri        |
| 8. V. Eswaramma       | 30. V. Chengalarayulu |
| 9. V. Chengalarayulu  | 31. P. Sumathi        |
| 10. M. Gnanamma       | 32. K. V. Sasuathamma |
| 11. E. Govindamma     | 33. K. Venkataiah     |
| 12. A. Subrahmanyam   | 34. K. Yellamma       |
| 13. A. Suguna         | 35. P. Bodan Khan     |
| 14. K. Perumal        | 36. S. Jabun Bee      |
| 15. M. Subrahmanyam   | 37. S. Fathima Begum  |
| 16. T. Subbamma       | 38. T. Venkateswarlu  |
| 17. R. Veduvathi      | 39. G. Subrahmanyam   |
| 18. J. Rajanna        | 40. H. Chandramma     |
| 19. K. Rajamma        | 41. G. Mallika        |
| 20. G. Adamma         | 42. K. Vijayalakshmi  |
| 21. B. Kalamma        | 43. C. Sarojamma      |
| 22. A. N. Gomathi     | 44. K. Kumari         |

... Applicants

And

1. Union of India, rep. by The Director General, dept of posts.  
Dak Bhavan, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General AP, Circle, Dak Sadan, Hyderabad-500031.
3. The Postmaster General Kurnool Region, Kurnool, Ashoknagar-510005.
4. The Supdrintendent of post offices, Tirupati Division, Tirupati  
Chittoor (DIST) AP.-517501.

.... Respondents.

Contd... 5.

CA.NO. 440/99

Between:-

1. D. Edukondalu
2. D. Baiji
3. Shaik Karimbai
4. M. Subbamma
5. R. Chakri
6. B. Lakshmanudu
7. S. Sasthiah

8. K. Yeldaiiah
9. Kancharla Sathochana
10. T. Lalitha
11. Bibi Asha
12. Syed Ali
13. M. Savitri

...Applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Superintendent of Post offices, Tenali Division, Tenali.
4. The postmaster, Tenali, H.O.

...Respondents

CA. 441/99

Between:-

1. J. Madhava Rao
2. Chikili Moulali
3. Gulam Ali
4. Smt. Meda Nancharamma
5. Smt. Chinta Rambayamma

6. Smt. Chakka Govardhana Mani
7. Manikala Ankala
8. Peeta Krishanjanayulu
9. Veligarapu Venkateswararao

...Applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep. by Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Machilipatnam Division Machilipatnam.
4. The postmaster, Machilipatnam, H.O.

...Respondents

CA.NO. 442/99

1. G. Babu Rao
2. D. Sanjeevarao
3. M. Ramarao
4. G. R. Mohana Rao
5. T. Durgarao
6. CH. Satyanarayana
7. Smt. G. Padmavathi
8. N. Jaya Rao

9. Smt. Pilla Ramalakshmi
10. Smt. D. Ramamma
11. Smt. M. Mangamma
12. Smt. S. Amamma
13. Smt. T. Mastanamma
14. R. Sambasiva Rao
15. Smt. G. Appalamma
16. Smt. D. Kondamma

...Applicants

And

Contd...6.

- Union of India rep. by
1. Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
  2. Chief Postmaster General, Ap, Postal Circle, Hyderabad-1.
  3. Postmaster General, Vijayawada Postal Region Vijayawada.
  4. Sr. Superintendent of post Offices, Vijayawada Postal Division Vijayawada.

.... Respondents

W.N.T. 400/99

Between:-

- |                                        |                            |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. D. Injajah                          | 17. J.F. Theodor           |
| 2. C.S. Sestry                         | 18. M.D. Razack            |
| 3. C. Srinivas                         | 19. Rajender Singh         |
| 4. Hameed Khan                         | 20. M. Narendra Kumar      |
| 5. M.A. Jawad                          | 21. R. Bal Reddy           |
| 6. K. Kameswaram                       | 22. T. Nagesh              |
| 7. Meer Muzaffar Ali                   | 23. H. Bikshpathy          |
| 8. Md. Khaleeluddin s/o Md. Jamaluddin | 24. D. Mohan Reddy         |
| 9. Md. Kaleemuddin                     | 25. G. Anilkumar           |
| 10. Md. Ayaz                           | 26. R. S. Reddy            |
| 11. A.R.N. Rao                         | 27. K. Gopal Rao           |
| 12. Ahmad Jeelani                      | 28. D. Raghavulu           |
| 13. G.A. Khan                          | 29. Md. Yousuf             |
| 14. S.A. Hussain                       | 30. Smt. B. Salamma        |
| 15. K. Mohan Rao                       | 31. D. Ramaswamy           |
| 16. K.S.H. Ali Khan                    | 32. V. Radhakrishna        |
| 33. Md. Amjadullah Khan                | 76. G. Prabhakar           |
| 34. Gulam Ahmad                        | 77. Smt. Janamma           |
| 35. G.M. Quarishi                      | 78. Md. Hyder Shareef      |
| 36. Md. Saleem Pasha                   | 79. Md. Hameed Khan        |
| 37. H. Eswarath                        | 80. Smt. Rasool Khatoon    |
| 38. N. Ramaswamy                       | 81. K. Aziz                |
| 39. Md. Sharfuddin. II                 | 82. M. Srinivasa Rao       |
| 40. H. Nageswararao                    | 83. Md. Hassan Ali.        |
| 41. Tummender                          | 84. K. Nehruji             |
| 42. K. Hameed                          | 85. Laxamma                |
| 43. R. Kumar                           | 86. S.K. Saleem            |
| 44. Smt. T. Yashodamma                 | 87. V. Yaddiah             |
| 45. J.H. Krishna                       | 88. Md. Sadiq Ali          |
| 46. Smt. Shanta Bai                    | 89. MS. Lingam             |
| 47. M.C. Prakash                       | 90. V. Nagesh              |
| 48. Sanjaygiri                         | 91. Smt. M. Ramanujamma    |
| 49. M. Aziz                            | 92. J.L. Rao               |
| 50. V. Rajanna                         | 93. Smt. S. Saraswathi Bai |
| 51. K. Krishna                         | 94. R.N. Reddy             |
| 52. T. Vinod Kumar                     | 95. Mohd Zahoor            |
| 53. S. Appala Naidu                    | 96. A. Lingam              |
| 54. G. Kamala Bai                      | 97. B. Shivakumar          |
| 55. C. Dass                            | 98. Mohd Afzal             |
| 56. Smt. Jamuna                        | 99. R. Srinivas            |
| 57. Suresh Babu                        | 100. P.S. Raju             |
| 58. S. Trilok Singh                    | 101. V.G. Reddy            |
| 59. A. Nayam                           | 102. Smt. Tarabai          |
| 60. Smt. Savithry                      | 103. Smt. Gangubai         |
| 61. Smt. Rajamma                       | 104. H. Srinivas           |
| 62. Syed Zakiuddin                     | 105. Smt. Padamma          |
| 63. Mohd. Ayub. Khan                   | 106. Smt. Renuka           |

Contd...7.

64. SA. Khadar  
65. A. Victor  
66. A. Razzak  
67. Smt. P. Chandamma  
68. S. Qumer Abbas  
69. G. Jozaf  
70. Smt. J. Varalakshmi  
71. Smt. S. Varalakshmi  
72. Sujju Singh  
73. I. Padhakrishna  
74. Smt. T. Sundavathi  
75. Md. Taqi Baig  
120. MA. Khadeer  
121. K. Chandra Mohan  
122. Md. Jahangir Ali  
123. Smt. C. Narasamma  
124. Smt. Santosh Bai  
125. Smt. P. Kamalamma  
126. K. Ratna Chary  
127. K. Madhukar  
128. C. Swamy  
129. Md. Khan  
130. C. Mallesh  
131. CN. Naidu

107. Smt. Anjana Bee  
108. D. Narasing Rao  
109. Smt. R. Balamma  
110. H. Nasser Ali Khan  
111. Md. N. Farooqui  
112. S. Ravikumar  
113. Md. Saleem  
114. Md. Younus Saleem  
115. K. Mohan Rao  
116. M. Srinivas  
117. Md. Fareed Ahmed  
118. M. Vinod Kumar  
119. M.M. Nagesh  
132. G.M. Yadagiri  
133. G.M. Rao  
134. G. Shiva Kumar  
135. I. S.M. Dhar  
136. K. Yadava Rao  
137. B.S. Raju  
138. A.C. Kumar  
139. V. Raju  
140. P. Sathiah

...Applicants

And

1. Union of India, rep. by  
Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, Andhra Pradesh Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The senior superintendent of Railway Mail Service, Hyderabad  
Sorting Division, Hyderabad-500027.

...Respondents

LA. 527/99

1. B. Krishnamachari  
2. A. Subbarao  
3. C. Baburao  
4. M. Gopal rao  
5. Mursheed Baig  
6. M. Ganesh  
7. K. Ramesh  
8. A. Vasantha Rao  
9. J. Saraiya  
10. B. Venkatarao  
11. A. Laxman  
12. M. Fayaz Baig  
13. A. Davender  
14. K. Ravindra Chary  
15. TV. Swamy  
16. Shaik Jadhmiya  
17. S. Appachary

18. M. Rangarao  
19. M. Nageswararao  
20. KA. Narasamma  
21. Shaik Fatima Bee (Kum)  
22. Roshan Wadai  
23. M. Srinivas  
24. V. Venkatarao  
25. M. Jayamma  
26. B. Yellappa  
27. M. Ashok Kumar  
28. RA. Laxmi (Smt)  
29. P. Venkatesh  
30. Laxmi Bai (Smt)  
31. D. Shashikala (Kum)

...Applicant

Versus

...Contd....3.

1. Union of India rep. by  
Director General, Dept of Posts New delhi.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad.
3. The Postmaster General, Hyderabad Region, Dak Sadan, Hyderabad.
4. The Superintendent, Railway Mail Service (RMS for short)  
"Z" Division, Tilak Rd. Hyderabad-1.

....Respondents

- - - - -

CA. 529/99

- |                              |                              |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Kandukuru Ramarao         | 20. Gude Satyavathi          |
| 2. Komaragiri Ananthalakshmi | 21. Vengabanda Atchayamma    |
| 3. Bacarla Laxmi             | 22. Valluru Durgamma         |
| 4. Thunduru Bhaskararao      | 23. Shaik Asha Bee           |
| 5. Pasarlanka Samudram       | 24. Kaila Rajamma            |
| 6. Bellapukonda Gangamma     | 25. Bajantri Nagamani        |
| 7. Balasani Somrajyam        | 26. Bajantri Veeramma        |
| 8. Pilli pitchi Reddy        | 27. Guduguntla Radha Krishna |
| 9. Jijapati Vijayalakshmi    | 28. Chintla Mahalakshmi      |
| 10. Chittipalli Bhagyam      | 29. Keesara Manikyam         |
| 11. Veligotla Appalarao      | 30. Kammampati Narasamma     |
| 12. B. Simha Chalam          | 31. Perum Kotamma            |
| 13. T. Parvati               | 32. R. Shanmugam             |
| 14. CH. Mohan Rao            | 33. Ch. Venkateswararao      |
| 15. B. Yellareddy            | 34. K. Arunakumar            |
| 16. Shaik Mohabooob Basha    | 35. V. Narasamma             |
| 17. Yarramsetty Rambabu      | 36. Robhamma                 |
| 18. Perumala Venkamma        | 37. V. Mohanakrishna         |
| 19. Kondapalli Kanakamma     |                              |

....Applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General Dept, of Posts  
Dak Bhavan, New delhi. 110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520002.
4. The Sr. Superintendent of post office, Vijayawada Division  
Vijayawada-520001.
5. The Sr. Postmaster, Vijayawada, H.O. 522001.
6. The Postmaster, Duckinghampet, H.O. Vijayawada 520002.
7. The Sr. Medical Officer-in-Charge, P&T Dispensary, Vijayawada.
8. The Postmaster, Nuzvid, H.O. 521201.

--- ---

CA. 530/99

- |                      |                        |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1. B. Venkateswarlu  | 9. A. Ravi Babu        |
| 2. V. Veeramma (Smt) | 10. P. Lakshamma (Smt) |
| 3. K. Ram Bai (Smt)  | 11. SK. Sridamma (Smt) |
| 4. T. Nangamma (Smt) | 12. Jan Bee (Smt)      |
| 5. V. Lakshmi Ach    | 13. Sk. Shafae         |
| 6. CH. Nagaswararao  | 14. K. Lakshmi (Smt)   |
| 7. K. Naganani (Smt) | 15. Md. Kareem         |
| 8. V. Varamma (Smt)  | 16. Mohd. Akhal Ahmed  |

...Applicants

Versus

Contd... 9.

1. Union of India rep. by  
The Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520002.
4. The Superintendent of post offices, Khammam Division, Khammam-3

...Respondents

DA. No. 531/93

1. P. Prasadarao
2. Avula Laxamma
3. Mudigonda Laxamma
4. T. Subrahmanyam
5. D. Venkateswarlu
6. V. Kotamma
7. T. Venkayamma
8. T. Saidulu

9. V. Venkateswararao
10. V. Tirupathamma
11. K. Parvathi
12. R. Lakshmi
13. K. Ganapathi
14. G. Subbalakshmi
15. Madugula Gapanamma

...Applicants

And

1. The postmaster General, Vijayawada.
2. The Superintendent of post offices, Guntur Division, Guntur.
3. The Postmaster, Arundelpet Head Post office, Guntur.

...Respondents

DA. 554/99

1. D. Srinivasulu
2. Syed Omar
3. P. Mohan
4. Mohd Osman
5. I. J. Durgach
6. D. Pandurangam
7. G. Ramulu
8. Smt. Zubeda Begum

9. K. Suryanarayana
10. K. Rani
11. Sudamma
12. D. Chandraiah
13. Smt. Meera Bai
14. J. Jagadish
15. Sunderamma
16. N. Lakshmi Bai

...Applicants

Versus

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts  
New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, Sec'bad Division, Sec'bad-16.
4. The Sr. Postmaster, Sec'bad, H.C. 500003.

...Respondents

DA. No. 635/99

1. A. Narasimhulu
2. J. Ramanamma
3. A. Prabhavathamma
4. A. Sitaranamma
5. N. Seshamma
6. D. Subhashini
7. A. Nagarathanamma
8. N. Gangadharan
9. N. Kamalamma

18. Jada Marthamma
19. G. Polamma
20. P. Sesthamma
21. S. Bhudevi
22. S. Ankamma
23. D. Seshamma
24. B. Musalaiah
25. Irakudinnela Narayanamma
26. Irakudinnela Ramu

Contd....10.

10. Y. Kanthamma
11. K. Ranganayakamma
12. S. Seshamma
13. CH. Vinola
14. R. Audiseshamma
15. Indla pedda
16. Indla Ramanamma
17. Shaik Masthanabai

27. S. Sankaramma
28. M. Masthanamma
29. SK. Karamma
30. SK. Muntaz
31. T. Kondamma
32. G. Peddamma
33. Gangapati Nagamma
34. SK. Noorba

Versus

....Applicants

1. Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts  
Dak Bhavan, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, (SSP for short)  
Nellore Division, Nellore-524001.
4. The Postmaster, Nellore, HP.
5. The postmaster, Kovali, HP.

.....Respondents

...424/99

1. Pulavarthy Nookaraju
2. Kasireddi Nookaraju
3. Guday China Ammayi
4. Meesala Kannamma
9. Pulagala Laxamma
10. Puligeda Ramanamma
11. Surampudi Appalarasamma
12. Nimmekayala Appara
13. Paturi Atchayamma
14. Vadlamani Prabhakara  
Narasimha Sastry
15. Patnala Maremma
16. Penka Subbalakshmi
17. Modisetty Veerabhadra Rao
18. Giri Venkateswararao
19. Kollupuri Hageswararao
20. Gubbala Laxmi
21. Yerramsetty Simhadry
22. Kadahari Tatarao
23. Polavarapu Haganani
24. Neelapu Nookalamma

5. Chenna Appalarasamma
6. Pediredla China Satyanarayana
7. Chaganti Satyanarayana Murthy
8. Vanapalli Laxmi
25. Mohammed Anwar
26. Chinta Muniswamy
27. Kottagalla Nookarathnam
28. Pisingi Raghavamma
29. Nakka Kavamma
30. Chipurupalli Venkateswararao
31. Chipurupalli Venkatalexmi
32. Kpppisetty Satyanarayana
33. Suripalli Saraswathi
34. Khondala Subbalaxmi
35. Alladi Sathibabu
36. Challa Thotamma
37. Rajana Yerukomma
38. Avegudu Nookalamma
39. Vaddadi Appalarasamma
40. Kalla Suramma
49. Talatam Satyavathi

...applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts,  
New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General AP Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Kakinada Division, Kakinada
4. The Postmaster, Kakinada, HS.
5. The Postmaster, Samarlakota, HS.

...Respondents

Contd... 11

DA. 639/99

Between.

A. Tataiah

And

...Applicant

1. Union of India, rep. by Director General, Dept of posts, Dak Bhavan Sansed marg, New Delhi.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Dak Sadan Abids, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of post Office, Gudur Division, Gudur (NL)
4. The Postmaster Head post office, Gudur (NL)

...Respondents

DA. 640/99

Between.

1. Dokka Nagamma
2. Kancharla Panduranga Rao
3. Narra Jasinth
4. G. Yellamma
5. J. Lakshmi
6. D. Krishna Veni

7. M. Sarada
8. Pathan Subhani
9. G. Venkatalakshmi
10. Sk. Hussain Das
11. N. Parvathamma

...Applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General Dept. of posts (D.G(P) for short)
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyd-1.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Narasaraopeta Division Narasaraopeta.
4. The postmaster, Narasaraopeta, H.C.
5. The Postmaster Sattenapalle, H.C.

...Respondents

DA 649/99

1. A.G.N. Nagabhushna Rao
2. Gondu Ganakamma
3. Kesavarapu Nagamani
4. P. Lakshmi Narasimhamurthy
5. MD. Sharfuddin
6. Gaddada Gouramma

7. Madabattula Danamma
8. Yerravarapu Seethamma
9. Charukuvada Sakubai
10. Patarlapalli Papayamma
11. Kondaveti Kondalaraju
12. Shaik Alisatad

...Applicants.

Versus

Contd...12.

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General  
Dept of posts, Dak Bhavan, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyd-1.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, Shimavaram Division  
Shimavaram.
4. The Postmaster, Shimavaram, H.O.-534201.
5. The Postmaster, Tenaku H.O. 534211.
6. The postmaster Salakoti H.O. 534260.

... Respondents

- |                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Counsel for the Applicants  | Sri KSR Anjanayulu in OAs 1685/98<br>& OAs 112, 125, 167, 302, 442/99<br><br>Sri TVVS Murthy in OAs 424, 440<br>441 529, 554, 635, 648 and 649/99<br><br>Sri. Krishna Devan in OAs 154,<br>317, 318 & 639/99<br><br>Sri. Y. Appalaraju in OAs 326,<br>480, 527 & 530/99<br><br>Sri. K. Sudhakar Reddy in OA 531/99                                                                                                                                     |
| Counsel for the Respondents | Sri B.N. Sharma, Sr. CGSC (in OAs. 112,<br>154, 317, 527, 530, 531 & 649/99<br><br>Shri J.R. Gopal Rao, CGSC (in Oa. 440,<br>529, 639 of 1999)<br><br>Shri V. Rajeswara Rao, Addl. CGSC in<br>(OAs 1685/98, 167, 302 & 554 of 1999)<br><br>Shri V. Vinodkumar, Addl. CGSC in<br>OAs 326, 441 & 480 of 1999<br><br>Miss. Shyama, Addl. CGSC in (OAs 125,<br>424, 442, 635 & 648 of 1999)<br><br>Shri P. Phalguna Rao, Addl. CGSC in<br>Oa. No. 318/99). |

CORAM.

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.H. NASIR : VICE - CHAIRMAN  
 THE HON'BLE MR. H. RAJENDRA PRASAD : MEMBER (A)  
 (Judgement per Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.H. Nasir,  
 Vice-Chairman)

O R D E R

Justice D.H. Nasir, VC

1. The respondents are sought to be directed in this group of OAs to grant to the applicants who are casual mazdoors the minimum of the pay scale corresponding to regular Group 'D' officials in the revised pay scale on pro-rata basis with effect from 1.1.1993 following the Fifth Pay Commission recommendations as per DGT letter No. 269/11/98/STN-II dated 15.9.1993.

Contd...13.

2. Common questions of law and facts arise for consideration in this batch of 23 C.s and, therefore they are being disposed of by this common order.
3. The applicants allege that they were orally threatened by respondent No.1 and his subordinate officers that unless they agreed to work as Casual Mazdoors at Rs.1,200/-P.M. and that too in pseudonyms their services would be terminated. When the applicants asked for written orders to that effect the respondents relented and paid wages for December, 1998 in January 1999. The applicants also allege that the conduct of the respondents threatening termination of their services by entrusting their work to Contract Labourers was an unfair labour practice. The denial to pay the arrears of proportionate pay and allowances due to the applicants amounted to violation of the DOT instructions contained in letter dated, 23.11.1998 and the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 27-10-1997 in WP.No.373 of 1995, as could be seen from the letter of General Manager, Telecom District, Vijayawada dated 1.1.1997.
4. The Assistant Postmaster General (Staff & Vigilance) in the office of CPMG, AP Circle, Hyderabad mentions in the reply affidavit in CA 1685/98 that the allowances of the applicants were fixed on the basis of the minimum pay scale of Group 'D' i.e. Rs.750-940 as recommended by the IVth Pay Commission and as decided by respondent No.1 vide his letter dated 10.2.1988 with effect from 5.2.1986. The Central Government revised the pay scales of its employees with effect from 1.1.1996. According to Rule 2 of the CCS (Revised pay) Rules, 1997 the revised pay rules were not applicable to the persons paid out of contingencies. The respondent No.1 in consultation with Department of personnel & Training decided to vide his office Memorandum No.1-3/97-PAP dated 3.11.1998 that the remuneration of Full time Casual Labour/Workers engaged on contingent basis whose nature of work was the same as that of regular employees should be remunerated on pro-rata basis with reference to the minimum of the pay scale of Group 'D' as per CCS (Revised pay) Rules, 1997 notwithstanding the fact that the revised pay rules did not apply to them. He further states that the orders would take effect from the date of issue i.e. 3.11.1998 and therefore, the applicants were entitled for revised pay and allowances only from 3.11.1998.

Contd...13.

5. Further according to the respondents the Senior postmaster, G.P.O., Hyderabad was the Controlling officer of the applicants was not Competent to revise the pay and allowance of the applicants. Fixation of the pay and allowances of the applicants by him with effect from 1.11.1997 on pro-rata basis of the revised pay scale was, therefore, not correct. Respondent No.2 was not empowered to revise the allowance of the applicants on pro-rata basis. It was, therefore, mentioned in his order dated 9.12.1997 as follows.

"However, the payments thus ordered will be subject to adjustment based on further orders from the Directorate".

6. It is further contended that respondent No.2 acted on instructions contained in the order dated 3.11.1998 of respondent No.1 who had rightly issued the impugned order dated 26.11.1998 directing the excess payment made upto 3.11.1998 to be recovered either at the discretion of the controlling authority or on the basis of the respondent No.2's order dated 9.12.1997.

7. It is also contended by the Respondents that the applicants had not exhausted the alternative remedy of approaching the higher authority for redressal of their grievances inasmuch as they did not make any representation to the competent authority before approaching this Tribunal.

8. The orders dated 3.11.1998 issued by respondent No.1 in consultation with the Department of Personnel & Training of Government of India, according to the Respondents, cannot be termed as discriminatory inasmuch as the respondent No.1 decided to revise the allowances on pro-rata basis of the pay scale as revised by the 5th pay Commission, even though the applicants were not entitled to fixation on the basis of the revised pay scales as per Revised pay rules of 1997. As long as the Revised pay rules, 1997 were not challenged, the applicants were not justified in claiming the reliefs as sought in the current batch of CAs. According to the Respondents.

...Contd... 15.

9. The main question which arises for our consideration from the above pleadings is, whether the applicants are entitled to claim and receive proportionate pay and allowances according to the recommendations of the Vth pay commission with effect from 1st January, 1996 itself or whether the department is empowered to give effect of the same from any subsequent date. As seen above, the respondents came up with a contention that under Rule 2 of the CCS (Revised Pay) Rules, 1997, the revised pay rules were not applicable to the casual mazdoors who were paid out of contingencies. However, R-1 in consultation with the Department of personnel & Training decided vide his office memorandum dated 3.11.1993 that the remuneration of the full-time casual labour engaged on contingency basis whose nature of work was the same as that of the regular employees should be remunerated on pro-rata basis with reference to the minimum of pay scale of Group 'D' as per CCS (Revised Pay) Rules, 1997 notwithstanding the fact that the revised pay rules did not apply to them.

10. As regards the effective date, the respondents raise a plea that the order could take effect only from its date of issue i.e. 3.11.1993 and therefore, the applicants were entitled for revised pay and allowances only from 3.11.1993. We have also seen the contention raised by the respondents that the Senior postmaster S. S. Hyderabad was the Controlling Officer of the applicants and that he was not competent to revise the allowance of the applicants and therefore, fixation of 1.11.1997 as the date from which the revised pay and allowances could be paid to the applicants was not correct.

11. We are firmly of the opinion that since the revised pay and allowances were allowed to the Central Government employees with effect from 1.1.1996 according to the recommendations of the Vth pay Commissions, there was no rationale behind fixing any other subsequent date in case of the applicants before this Tribunal who are casual mazdoors and whose nature of work is the same as that of the regular employees, as stated in the reply affidavit filed by the respondents. If any other subsequent date is fixed in the case of casual mazdoors, it would be a pure and simple case of discrimination and would be violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

Contd....16.

It would also be hit by the principle of "equal pay for equal work." Before we arrive at any conclusion, however, we must also take note of the letter dated 3.11.1998 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Communication, Department of posts para-1 of the said letter which is relevant for the proposition in question reads as under:-

" It has now been decided that notwithstanding that the CCS(R) Rules, 1997 do not apply to them, the remuneration of the aforesaid full time casual labour (other than Temporary status) part time Casual labour/workers engaged on contingency basis whose nature of work is the same as of regular employees i.e. part time Chowkidar/Farash/Mali/Sweeper etc. & who are not engaged for post office operative work and are being paid as per instructions contained in this office letter No.45/95/97-SPD.I dated the 10th February 1999 and Department of Personnel & Training OM.No.49814/2/06-Estt(C) dated the 7th June, 1998 shall be remunerated on pro-rata basis with reference to the minimum of the pay scale of Group 'D' as per CCS (Revised pay) Rules, 1997 plus admissible Dearness Allowance thereon only."

It is in this Office Memorandum dated 3.11.1998 that it has been laid down that the order contained in the said letter would take effect from the date of issue. In the last paragraph of the said letter it is also mentioned that the said letter was issued with the concurrence of the Department of Personnel & Training vide U.O.No. 637/98-Estt(C) dated 7.9.1998 and postal finance advice vide their Diary No. 2307/FA/98 dated 3.11.1998.

12. Meaning of the term "Casual Labourers" has been clarified in the DCP letter No. 45/95/97-SPD-1 dated 10.2.1999 Annexure-III at page 13 of the OA 1005/95 in which it is stated as Under:-

"(ii) The word "Casual Labourers" would cover full-time casual labour parttime casual labour and workers engaged on contingency basis. Part time workers, casual or contingency paid will be paid on prorata basis."

Contd.... 17.

For the purpose of payment, no distinction should be made whether the casual labourers and contingency paid staff are being paid wages or from office contingencies.

(iii) The workers at the enhanced rate are to be paid before 25.2.1993 positively."

13. The above decision of the Department relates to payment of wages to casual labourers according to the recommendations presumably of the IVth Pay Commission. As already stated in the D.P. letter dated 19.2.1987 within the meaning of the term 'Casual labourers' are included fulltime casual labourers, part-time casual labourers and workers engaged on contingency basis. The part-time workers, casual or contingency paid, are also considered to be entitled to payment of wages on pro-rata basis and it has been categorically stated that for the purpose of payment no distinction should be made whether the casual labourers and contingency paid staff are being paid wages or from office contingencies. There is no reason why the same consideration should not be applied to the applicants for payment of revised wages on pro-rata basis in accordance with the recommendations of the Vth Pay Commission.

14. The letter dated 23.9.1993 (Annexure-III A at page 14 of the CA 1635/98) paves the way for payment of the revised wages with effect from 1.1.1996. The relevant extract of the said letter issued by the SGT, A.P. Hyderabad is reproduced below:-

"In view of the guidelines laid down by the Department of Personnel & Training vide letter No.45014/2/36-Estt(C) Dt.7.6.88 issued in compliance of the Apex Court judgment regarding wages of Casual Labourers it has now been decided that all the casual labourers working in the Dept. may be paid wages at the rate of 1/30th of pay at the minimum of the relevant pay scale plus Dearness Allowance for work of 6 hours with effect from 1.1.96."

Contd.....18.

15. The above orders were issued by the Telecom Commission with concurrence of Telecom Finance vide Dy.No.2347/FA-1/93 dated 10.9.1993. There is, therefore, no reason why 1.1.1996 should not be taken as the effective date even in the case of the present applicants who belongs to postal Dept. It is pertinent to note that the respondents have not disputed the correctness of the aforesaid letter dated 23-9-1993 which is produced by the applicant in A.N. 1685/93 at page 14 annexure III-a.

16. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Daily Rated Casual Labour employed under P&T Department through Charatiya Dak Tai Mazdoor March Union of India and others (1987) 5 ATC 223 inter alia held that the classification of employees into regularly recruited employees and casual employees for the purpose of paying less than the minimum pay payable to employees in the corresponding regular cadres particularly in the lowest rungs of the department where the pay scales were the lowest was not tenable. It is held by the Supreme Court that such classification was opposed to the spirit of Article 7 of the International Covenant on Economic, social and Cultural Rights, 1966. It is further observed in the said judgment that the state could not deny at least the minimum pay in the pay scales of regularly employed workmen, even though the Government may not be compelled to extend all the benefits enjoyed by regularly recruited employees. Such denial as laid down by the Supreme Court amounted to exploitation of labour. The Supreme Court further observed that the Government could not take advantage of its dominant position and compel any worker to work even as casual labourer on starvation wages. It is further observed that the casual labourer may have agreed to work on such low wages. But he did so because he had no other choice and it was poverty that had driven him to that state. The Government should be a model employer.

17. While on the subject the Supreme Court also observed in the above case that non-regularisation of temporary employees or casual labourers for long time could not be said to be a wise policy and directed the respondents to prepare a scheme on a rational basis for absorbing, as far as possible the casual labourers who have been continuously working for more than one year in the post and Telegraphs Department.

Contd.....13.

13. The Department of personnel & Training has not minced any word in directing that if any revision of Group 'D' pay scale takes place casual labourer with temporary status will be entitled to receive their wages with reference to the minimum of the revised pay scale with effect from the date as applicable to regular Group 'D' employees (emphasis supplied) and no separate orders would be necessary for the purpose. It is further stated in the said office Memorandum that their pay for the purpose of calculation of wages should be determined in the same way as in the case of regular Group 'D' employees. These instructions are contained in GSI Department per & Imp. OM No. 49011/2/97-Est(D) dated 24.10.1997 which appears at page 22 Annexure-A-III of OA 441/99. In fact the entire text of the said OM is required to be reproduced here so that a wholesome view could be taken. The text reads as follows:-

" 2. As per para 5 of the casual labourers (Grant of Temporary status and Regularisation) Scheme, temporary status would entitle the casual labourers to the following benefits:-

(i) Wages at daily rates with reference to the minimum of the pay scale for corresponding regular Group 'D' officers including OA, HRA and DA.

(ii) benefits of increments at the same rate as applicable to a Group 'D' employee would be taken into account for calculating pro-rata wages for every one year of service subject to performance of duty for at least 240 days (200 days in administrative offices observing five days' leave) in the year from the date of conferment of temporary status.

3. It is obvious from points (i) and (ii) above that if any revision of Group 'D' pay scale takes place, casual labourers with temporary status will be entitled to receive their wages, with reference to the minimum of the revised pay scale with effect from the date as applicable to regular Group 'D' employees and no separate orders would be necessary for the purpose. Their pay for the purpose of calculation of wages will be determined in the same way as in the case of regular Group 'D' employees.

4. This has the approval of Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure)"

Contd...20.

An argument could indeed be advanced that the said OM dated 24.11.1987 deals with the casual mazdoors on whom temporary status has been conferred and not with casual mazdoors sans such conferment.

19. How casual mazdoors on whom temporary status has not been conferred, could be treated as eligible for the same benefits is required to be convincingly dealt with.

20. The main grounds for allowing 1.1.1996 as the effective date for payment of revised pay on pro-rata basis emerge as follows.

- (i) Nature of work isthesame as that of regular employees (as stated in letter dated 3.11.1990 para-2)
- (ii) Within the meaning of the term "Casual labourers" Full time Casual Labourers/part-time casual Labourers and workers engaged on contingency basis are included.
- (iii) Part-time workers, casual or contingency paid, are also considered to be entitled to payment of wages on pro-rata basis (reference DDP letter date 15.2.1983).

21. To sum up the above discussion, the following situation reveals.

(a) The Government of India vide its communication dated 9.12.1987 in connection with payment of higher wages to part-time casual labourers decided to extend the revised scales on pro-rata basis to part-time casual labourers in an uniform manner in the entire circle with effect from 1.11.1987. The disputed portion of this communication was the effective date which was stated to be 1.11.1987.

(b) We have seen however from the DSI communication dated 15.9.1998 and 28.9.1998 that the Telecom Commission in view of the guidelines laid down by the personnel and Training Department vide letter dated 7.6.1988 issued in compliance with the Apex Court Judgment regarding wages of labourers, decided that all casual labourers working in the department be paid wages at the rate of 1/30th of pay at the minimum of the revised pay scales plus Dearness Allowance for work of 3 hours with effect from 1.1.1996.

Contd....21.

(c) There is, therefore, no reason why 1.1.1996 could not be applied as the effective date in case of the applicants also who are employed with postal Department, more particularly in view of the fact that the said communication dated 15.9.1998 were issued in accordance with the guidelines laid down by the Department of personnel & Training, apart from the fact that a clear case of discrimination would emerge if the same effective date is not granted in case of the present applicants.

(d) Earlier the Department of posts, A.P. Circle Hyderabad vide its communication dated 9.12.1997 in connection with the payment of higher wages to part-time casual labourers decided to extend the revised scales in an uniform manner in the entire circle with effect from 1.11.1997 and not from 1.1.1996.

(e) The Supreme Court also in Writ petition Nos.373 and 302 of 1996 decided on 27th October, 1997 (Supra) directed the Union of India and other respondents to pay wages to the workmen who were employed as casual labourers belonging to several categories of employees in the posts and Telegraph Department at the rates equivalent to the minimum pay in the pay scales of regular employees working in the corresponding cadres. The Supreme Court also laid down that the petitioners were entitled to corresponding Dearness Allowances and Additional Dearness Allowance, if any, payable thereon and directed that whatever other benefits which were enjoyed by the casual labourers should be continued to be extended to them.

22. The Superintendent of post offices, Tenali Division vide his Communication dated February, 1999 stated that in supersession of the orders issued revising the allowance with effect from 1.1.1996, 1.11.1997 and 1.7.1998 vide office Memos of even number dated 15.5.1998, 6.8.1998 and 10.11.1998 respectively excess payments made prior to 3.11.1998 were to be recovered and compliance reported to them.

Contd....22.

23. This communication is referred to at this stage because altogether a new date 1.11.1997 was determined as the effective date for revision of allowances payable to part-time & contingent staff. This communication gives us an impression that a good deal of ambiguity prevailed at the relevant time amongst various levels of the department of posts and nothing consequent or binding conclusively was coming to surface.

24. The Department of personnel & Training vide its office Memo No. 49011/1/77-Estt(c) dated 24.10.1997 minced no words in stating in paragraph-3 of the said O.M. that if any revision of group 'D' pay scale took place, the casual labourers with temporary status will be entitled to receive their wages with reference to minimum of the revised pay scales with effect from the date as applicable to regular Group 'D' employees and no separate orders would be necessary for the purpose. Their pay for the purpose of calculation of wages would be determined in the same way as in the case of regular Group 'D' employees.

25. Since the regular Group 'D' employees have already been granted the revised pay scale with effect from 1.1.1996, there is no rhyme or reason why similar benefits be denied to the casual mazdoors who are the applicants before us in this group of cases, in spite of the fact that temporary status may not have been conferred upon them, as already discussed earlier.

26. In *Surinder Singh V. Engineer-in-Chief, CPWD and others*. (AIR 1996 3C 78) the Supreme Court observed that the Central Government like all organs of the state was committed to the Directive Principles of State policy and Article 36 enshrined the Principle of "equal pay for equal work", and referred to the case of *Randhir Singh V. Union of India* (1982) 3 SC 299 wherein the Supreme Court had on occasion to make observations in *Kishori Behanlal Dakshi V. Union of India* (AIR 1962 SC 1139) and to point out how the principle of equal pay for equal work was not an abstract doctrine and how it was a vital and vigorous doctrine throughout accepted by the particularly by socialist countries.

Contd....23.

27. Similar views were expressed by the Supreme Court in *Direndra Chomali and another V. State of Uttar Pradesh* (ATR 1986 SC 172) that the casual employees who were in the service of the different Nehru Yuvak Kendras in the country and who were admittedly performing the same duties as class IV employees, must, therefore, get the same ~~xxx~~ salary and condition of service as Class IV employees and it made no difference whether they were appointed in sanctioned posts or not. So long as they were performing the same duties, they must receive the same salary and conditions of service as class IV employees.

28. There is, therefore, no reason why on the application of the principle that 'equal pay should be paid for equal work' the present applicants before us be deprived of the same benefit in terms of pay/wages as well as in terms of the date from which the same could be made effective. There could, therefore, be no constraint on directing the Department to refrain from making any recoveries from the pay and allowances received by the applicants on the ground of excess payment 'wrongly' made prior to 3.11.1993.

29. It may be argued that the Administrative Tribunal should ordinarily desist from giving such directions which may involve heavy financial commitments and implications. However, it would not be correct to say so in the context of the present GAs because the recovering liability of paying wages on pro-rata basis according to the recommendations of the 7th pay Commission has already been incurred by the Department by holding that the casual labourers are entitled to enhancement. The only point which had been hanging fire was relating to the effective date. Initially the department said that the effective date should be 1.11.1997 and subsequently the respondents raised a contention that the same was erroneous and directed not only that the effective date should be considered from 3.11.1993 but also that any excess payment received by the applicants on that account should be recovered from their wages. We have already dealt with this aspect earlier in this judgment.

Contd....24.

What we are trying to emphasize is that our order would only be limited to giving the effective date as 1.1.1996 which would involve only one time payment of the difference and therefore, we believe that we are not exceeding our jurisdiction in passing the instant order.

25. The present TAs are, therefore, disposed of with the following directions:-

- (a) The office Memorandum No.1-3/97-PAP dated 3-11-1998 determining the date of applicability to be 3.11.1998 as well as another office letter No.151/1-60/PCC/97-98/Corr dated 26.11.1998 directing recoveries to be made from the wages of the present applicants are hereby quashed.
- (b) The respondents are directed to give the applicants the minimum of the pay scale corresponding to regular Group 'D' employees in the revised pay scale on pro-rata basis with effect from 1.1.1996.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-xxxx

Section Officer.

प्रमाणित प्रति

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

क्रम संख्या

CASE NUMBER

04 1685/98 sbatch

निबंध का तिथि

DATE OF JUDGMENT

29.4.99

प्रति तय्यार

COPY MADE READY ON

22.6.99

अध्यक्ष, प्रो. न्यायमूर्ति

Section Officer

हैदराबाद न्यायमूर्ति

Central Administrative Tribunal

हैदराबाद बेंच

HYDERABAD BENCH.