

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL: HYDERABAD BENCH:  
AT HYDERABAD

O.A.No.1267 of 1999. & O.A.No.1294 of 1999.

DATE OF ORDER:31-12-1999.

OA.No.1267/99:

Between:

- |                         |                         |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. G.S.Venkataramana.   | 5. M.Sridhar Reddy.     |
| 2. D.Narayana Rao.      | 6. T.Venkatachalam.     |
| 3. D.Suryaprakash.      | 7. K.Subramanyam.       |
| 4. P.Poornachandra Rao. | 8. P.Liyakath Ali Khan. |

.....Applicants

and

1. General Manager, South Central Railway,  
Rail Nilayam, Secunderabad.
2. Senior Divisional Personnel Officer,  
South Central Railway, Guntakal.
3. ~~Senior Divisional~~ Engineer (Co-ordination),  
South Central Railway, Guntakal.
4. Union of India, rep. by Secretary  
Railway Board, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. Chairman, Railway Recruitment Board,  
18, Miller Road, Bangalore-46.

.....Respondents

OA.No.1294/99:

Between:

- |                             |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. T.Nagavenkataramana.     |                 |
| 2. Mohammad Riazur Rahiman. | .....Applicants |

and

1. General Manager, South Central  
Railway, Rail Nilayam, Secunderabad.
2. Sr.Divisional Signal & Telecom  
Engineer (M), South Central Railway,  
Guntakal.
3. Sr.Divisional Engineer (Co-ordination)  
South Central Railway, Guntakal.
4. Union of India, rep. by Secretary, Railway  
Board, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. Chairman, Railway Recruitment Board, 18,  
Miller Road, Bangalore-46

.....Respondents

-2-

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT :: Mr.P.P.Vittal  
(in both the OAs)

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS:: Mr.D.F.Paul  
(in both the OAs)

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.H.NASIR, VICE CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE SRI R.RANGARAJAN, MEMBER (ADMN.)

:COMMON ORDER:

ORAL ORDER (PER HON'BLE SRI R.RANGARAJAN, MEMBER (A) )

Heard Mr.M.V.Krishna Mohan for Mr.P.P.Vittal,  
learned Counsel for the Applicants in both the OAs  
and Mr.D.F.Paul, learned Standing Counsel for the  
Respondents in both the OAs.

2. In OA.No.1267 of 1999 there are eight applicants.  
Their services were terminated by the impugned Orders  
bearing Memo No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/GSV, dated  
17-8-1991, (Annexure A-1), Memo.No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/  
Clerks/DNR, dated 17-8-1999, (Annexure.A-2), Memo.No.G/  
P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/DS, dated 17-8-1999, (Annexure.  
A-3), Memo.No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/PR, dated 21-8-1999,  
(Annexure.A-4), Memo.No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/99,  
dated 21-8-1999, (Annexure.A-5), Memo.No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/  
SBC/Clerks/TVC, dated 21-8-1999, (Annexure.A-6), Memo.  
No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/99, dated 21-8-1999,  
(Annexure.A-7, page 19 to the OA), Memo.No.G/P.563/Con/  
RRB/SBC/Clerks/PLA, dated 21-8-1999, (Annexure-A-8), based  
on the show cause notice issued on 20-4-1999 (Annexure.A-21),  
20-4-1999 (Annexure.A-22), 20-4-1999, (Annexure.A-23),

.....3



-3-

21-4-1999 (Annexure.A-24), 21-4-1999 (Annexure.A-25), 21-4-1999, (Annexure.A-26), 21-4-1999 (Annexure.A-27), and 21-4-1999 (Annexure.A-28 to the OA). The applicants have filed this OA to set aside the above impugned termination orders. However, by an Interim Order dated 30-8-1999, the impugned termination orders have been suspended. In view of that the applicants are continuing in service.

3. In OA.No.1294 of 1999 there are two applicants. Their services were terminated by the impugned Orders bearing Memo.No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/TNV, dated 23-8-1999 (Annexure.A-1, page 11 to the OA), and Memo. No.G/P.563/Con/RRB/SBC/Clerks/MRR, dated 21-8-1999, (Annexure.A-2, page 12 to the OA), based on the show cause notice issued on 21-4-1999 (Annexures.A-7 & A-8 to the OA). The applicants have filed this OA to set aside the above impugned termination orders. However, by an Interim Order dated 30-8-1999 the impugned termination orders have been suspended. In view of that the applicants are continuing in service.

4. In both these applications the impugned show cause notice is a serio-type xerox <sup>copy</sup> ~~one~~. The imputation of charge is contained in Para.2 of the show cause notice. That charge is reproduced below:-

"Now it has come to the notice of Railway Board that RRB/Bangalore has not subjected the candidates to typewriting test which was an essential requirement, besides there being certain serious irregularities in the conduct of examination. The Railway Board after considering the matter in totality and taking into account the report of CBI and serious nature of irregularities

.....4

-4-

in conduct of selection, have decided to cancel the entire panel and to terminate the services of all the candidates appointed on South Central Railway by giving due notice as per rules."

5. The contentions raised in both the OAs have been examined in detail in OA.No.1265 of 1999, disposed of on 15-12-1999. The directions given in that OA equally holds good in both these OAs. Hence, the following Order is given:-

"The impugned termination Orders dated 17-8-1991, 17-8-1999, 17-8-1999, 21-8-1999 21-8-1999, 21-8-1999, 21-8-1999, 21-8-1999 (Annexures-A1 to A-8 to the OA) are hereby set aside. However, the respondents are at liberty to issue a fresh show cause notice indicating the irregularities noticed in the selection and also any other irregularities which need to be brought to the notice of the applicants herein. The applicants, if they receive such a notice, should reply the same within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of that notice. On that basis a final decision has to be taken by the respondent-authorities in regard to the termination of the services of the applicants."

6. In both these OAs the applicants are in service. Hence, no further order is necessary for reinstating



.....5

82

-5-

them into service in pursuance of the direction given here.

7. Both the OAs are ordered accordingly. No costs.




( R.RANGARAJAN )  
MEMBER (ADMN.)



( D.H.NASIR )  
VICE CHAIRMAN

DATED: this the 31st day of December, 1999

-----  
Dictated in the Open Court



\*\*\*  
DSN

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH : HYDERABAD.

1ST AND 2ND COURT

COPY TO:

1. HDHND
2. HRN M (ADMN.)
3. HBSJP M (JUDL.)
4. D.R. A (DMN.)
5. SPARE
6. ADVCCATE
7. STANDING COUNSEL

TYPED BY  
COMPILED BY

CHECKED BY  
APPROVED BY

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.H. NASIR  
VICE CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN  
MEMBER (ADMN.)

THE HON'BLE MR. B.S. JAI PARAMESWAR  
MEMBER (JUDL.)

\* \* \*

DATE OF ORDER: 31/12/99

MA/RA/CP.NO.

IN

OA. NO. 1267/99 & 1294/99

ADMITTED AND INTERIM DIRECTIONS  
ISSUED

ALLOWED

CP CLOSED

RA. CLOSED

DISPOSED OF WITH DIRECTIONS

DISMISSED

DISMISSED AS WITHDRAWN

ORDER/REJECTED

NO ORDER AS TO COSTS

