

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL:HYDERABAD BENCH:

AT HYDERABAD

CAs 1635/98, 112, 125, 154, 167, 302, 317, 313, 326, 440, 441,
442, 430, 527, 523, 530, 531, 554, 635, 424, 639, 648 and 649 of 1999

DATE OF ORDER : 29th April, 1999

CA 1635/98

1. K. Narsing Rao
2. C.M. Singh
3. A. Srinivas

4. M.A. Satter
5. B. Saloraj
6. S.C. Ramulu

...Applicants

And

Union of India rep.by:

1. The Director General, Dept of posts New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, Hyderabad City
Division, Hyderabad-1

...Respondents.

CA. 112/99

Between:

1. G. Suresh
2. S. Satyanarayana
3. K. Narsing Rao
4. K. Shoji Reddy
5. P. Raju
6. T. Yadagiri

7. S. Saloraju
8. E. Vinod Kumar
9. Kishore Kumar
10. Md. Zamiruddin
11. M. Kamalamma
12. Prabhu

...Applicants

And

Union of India rep.by:

1. The Director General, Dept of Posts New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief post Master General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad. 500001.
3. The Sr. Manager, Mail Motor Service, Koti, Hyderabad-500195.

...Respondents

Contd....2.



GA. NO. 125/99

1. D. Suryanarayana
2. N. Gurali
3. G. Ramakrishna
4. V. Venkata Raja
5. Jani Appanna
6. K. Satyanarayana
7. I. K. Ahmed
8. M. Elolamma
9. I. Venkatarao
10. K. Krishna Murthy
11. N. Suribabu
12. CH. Krishna
13. A. Rahman

14. A. Achyamma
15. N. Appayamma
16. D. Kosulamma
17. S. Machaswari
18. D. Sankarappa
19. R. Essuramma
20. S. Lakshmi
21. I. Kanakamma
22. P. Appayamma
23. D. Suramma
24. G. Sanyasi Rao
25. S. Lakshmi

...Applicants

And

Union of India, rep. by

1. The Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. Postmaster General, Visakhapatnam Region, Visakhapatnam.
4. Superintendent of post office, visakhapatnam, postal division Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

GA. NO. 154/99

Y. Narasiah

.. Applicant

And

1. Union of India rep. by

- Director General Dept of posts, Sansad marg, New delhi-1.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP. Circle, Daksadan, Abids Hyderabad.
3. The postmaster General, AP, Southern Region Kurnool.
4. The supdt of post offices, Cuddapah division Cuddapah.
5. The postmaster, Cuddapah, HPO, 516001, AP.

...Respondents

GA. NO. 167/99

1. Pampana Appalaraju
2. Bandi Kanaka Rao
3. Vada Appayamma
4. Matha Nagaswami Rao
5. Janaswamy Akarbaram
6. D. Venkatarao
7. Patikili Chandra Rao
8. Lopinti Ramachandran

14. Baggam Serveswararao
15. K. M. Shariff
16. Patripilli Chinnappa
17. Satyanarayana
17. M. Gopala Krishna Murthy
18. Durada Mangadhar Rao
19. Uttada Ratna Kumari

Contd....3.

9. Pothala Sanyasi Rao
10. Maccala Janardhan Rao
11. Poshinate Satyanarayana
12. Yerra Venkateswararao
13. Baliwada Kanaka Rao

20. Grandhi Shimeshwara Rao
21. Dunga Shankar Rao
22. Donam Kishore Babu
23. Kanaparti Venkara Suri Babu
24. Smt. S.K. Khatijabi
25. N.D. Hassem Basha

....Applicants

And

Union of India rep.by-

1. Director General, Dept of Posts, New delhi
2. Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad.
3. Postmaster General Visakhapatnam Region, Visakhapatnam.
4. Superintendent RMS, MS 'V' Division, Visakhapatnam.

...Respondents

--- --

GA.NO. 302/99

1. S.Mahaboob Basha
2. Smt.Y.Sugunamma
5. Gali Ankaiah
7. S.Akthar Basha
9. Jammi Nagamma
11. Y.Subharaaju
13. Smt. S.Basheeran

2. Y.Venkatesu
4. G.Hari Krishna
6. Smt. Gali Ramulamma
8. Jammu Subbamma
10. G.V.Venasaiah
12. Melaturu Venkataiah
14. T. Siddamma

..Applicants

And

Union of India rep.by

1. Director General, Dept of Posts, New delhi-110001.
2. Chief postmaster General, AP, Postal Circle, Hyderabad.
3. Postmaster General, Kurnool Region, Kurnool-518005.
4. Superintendent of Post Office, Cuddapah Postal Division, Cuddapah-1.

...Respondents.

--- --

GA.NO. 317/99

Between:

1. G.Nagaraju
2. A.Venkatalaxamma
3. Sunkalamma
4. G.Aswarthappa

5. G.Krishna Murthy
6. P.Sudhakar
7. Smt.D.Mastanamma
8. D.Ramanjaneyulu

...Applicants

And

1. Union of India, rep.by
Director General Dept of posts Dak Bhavan Sansadka Margh, New delhi.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Dakshana, Abida, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent Railway Mail Service, AG, Division, Guntakal.

...Respondents.

--- --

Contd.....3.

GA. 315/99

Between:

1. Katta Eswaraih
2. C. Ragaiah
3. Smt. P. Lakshamma

...Applicant

And

1. Union of India, rep. by
Director General, Dept of posts, Dak Bhavan Sangamang, New delhi.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Dak Sadan, Abids, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Gudur Division, Gudur (ML)
4. The Postmaster, Head post office, Gudur (ML)

...Respondents

GA. 326/99

1. J. Gopi Krishna
2. C. Jayachandra
3. K. Sankar Babu
4. Smt. S. Chan Begum
5. S. Mahabab
6. C. Ramamma
7. P. Ramaiah
8. V. Eswaramma
9. V. Chengalarayulu
10. M. Gnanamma
11. E. Govindamma
12. A. Subrahmanyam
13. A. Suguna
14. K. Perumal
15. M. Subrahmanyam
16. T. Subbamma
17. R. Vedavathi
18. J. Rajanna
19. K. Rajamma
20. G. Adamma
21. D. Kalamma
22. A. R. Gomathi

23. J. Neelamma
24. K. Gnanamma
25. K. Doraswamy
26. K. Madhu
27. K. Krishna
28. A. Rangaswamy
29. T. Ramadri
30. V. Chengalarayulu
31. P. Sumathi
32. K. V. Sasuathamma
33. K. Venkataiah
34. K. Yellamma
35. P. Bodan Khan
36. S. Jabun Beg
37. S. Fathima Begum
38. T. Venkateswarlu
39. G. Subrahmanyam
40. M. Chandamma
41. G. Mallika
42. K. Vijayalakshmi
43. C. Sarojamma
44. K. Kumari

...Applicants

And

1. Union of India, rep. by The Director General, dept of posts
Dak Bhavan, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General AP, Circle, Dak Sadan, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Postmaster General Kurnool Region, Kurnool, Ashoknagar-510005.
4. The Superintendent of post offices, Tirupati Division, Tirupati
Chittoor (DIST) AP.-517501.

....Respondents.

Contd... 5.

CA.NO. 440/99

Between:-

- | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1. D. Edukondalu | 8. K. Velamish |
| 2. D. Baji | 9. Kancharla Subbana |
| 3. Shaik Korimbai | 10. T. Lalitha |
| 4. M. Subbamma | 11. Sibi Ahsa |
| 5. R. Chakri | 12. Syed Ali |
| 6. R. Lakshmanudu | 13. M. Savitri |
| 7. G. Sasavaiah | ...Applicants |

And

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Tenali Division, Tenali.
4. The postmaster, Tenali, H.O.

...Respondents

CA. 441/99

Between:-

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. J. Madhava Rao | 6. Smt. Chakka Govardhana Mani |
| 2. Chikili Moulali | 7. Manikala Ankulu |
| 3. Gulam Ali | 8. Peeta Krishanjanayulu |
| 4. Smt. Meda Manoharamma | 9. Veligerapu Venkateswararao |
| 5. Smt. Chinta Rambayamma | ...Applicants |

And

1. The Union of India rep. by Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, APCircle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Machilipatnam Division Machilipatnam.
4. The postmaster, Machilipatnam, H.O.

...Respondents

CA.NO. 442/99

- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. B. Babu Rao | 9. Smt. Pilla Ramalakshmi |
| 2. D. Sanjivarao | 10. Smt. D. Ramamma |
| 3. M. Ramarao | 11. Smt. M. Mangamma |
| 4. M.R. Mohana rao | 12. Smt. S. Manamma |
| 5. T. Durgarao | 13. Smt. T. Mastamma |
| 6. CH. Satyanarayana | 14. R. Sambasiva Rao |
| 7. Smt. G. Padmayathi | 15. Smt. G. Appamma |
| 8. N. Jaya Rao | 16. Smt. D. Kondamma |

...Applicants

And

Contd...6.

Union of India rep. by

1. Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. Chief Postmaster General, Sp, Postal Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. Postmaster General, Vijayawada Postal Region Vijayawada.
4. Sr. Superintendent of post Offices, Vijayawada Postal Division Vijayawada.

...Respondents

DA.No. 460/99

Between:-

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. D. Injaiah | 17. J.F.Theoder |
| 2. G.S.Sastry | 18. MD.Razack |
| 3. C.Srinivas | 19. Rajender Singh |
| 4. Hameed Khan | 20. M.Harender Kumar |
| 5. Mr. Rowse | 21. J. Bal Reddy |
| 6. K.Kameshwar Rao | 22. T.Nagesh |
| 7. Meer Huzaffar Ali | 23. H.Bikshpathy |
| 8. Md.Khaleeluddin s/o Md.Jamaluddin | 24. D.Mohan Reddy |
| 9. Md.Kaleemuddin | 25. G.Anilkumar |
| 10. Md.Ayaz | 26. R.S.Reddy |
| 11. A.R.N. Rao | 27. K.Gopal Rao |
| 12. Ahmed Jeelani | 28. D.Raghavulu |
| 13. G.A.Khan | 29. Md.Yousuf |
| 14. S.A.Hussain | 30. Smt.B.Salamma |
| 15. K.Mohan Rao | 31. D.Ramaswamy |
| 16. K.S.Boli Khan | 32. V.Radhakrishna |
| 33. Md.Amjadullah Khan | 76. G.Prabhakar |
| 34. Gulam Ahmad | 77. Smt.Janamma |
| 35. G.M. Quarishi | 78. Md.Hyder Shareef |
| 36. Md. Salim Fashir | 79. Md. Hameed Khan |
| 37. H.Eswaraiiah | 80. Smt. Rasool Khatoon |
| 38. H.Ramaswamy | 81. A. Aziz |
| 39. Md. Sharfuddin II | 82. M. Srinivasa Rao |
| 40. H.Nageswararao | 83. Md. Hassan Ali. |
| 41. Tumaender | 84. K.Nehruji |
| 42. K.Hameed | 85. Laxmamma |
| 43. M.Kumar | 86. S.K. Saleem |
| 44. Smt.M.Yashodamma | 87. V.Yadaiah |
| 45. J.H.Krishna | 88. Md. Sadiq Ali |
| 46. Smt. Shanta Bai | 89. MS.Lingam |
| 47. MC.Prakash | 90. V.Nagesh |
| 48. Sanjaygiri | 91. Smt. H.Ramanujamma |
| 49. MA.Azi z | 92. B.L. Rao |
| 50. V.Rajanna | 93. Smt. S.Saraswathi Bai |
| 51. M.Krishna | 94. R.N.Reddy |
| 52. T.Vinod Kumar | 95. Mohd Zaheer |
| 53. S.Appala Naidu | 96. A.Lingam |
| 54. G.Kamala Bai | 97. B.Shivakumar |
| 55. C.Dass | 98. Mohd Afzal |
| 56. Smt. Jamuna | 99. R.Srinivas |
| 57. Suresh Babu | 100. P.S.Raju |
| 58. J.Trilok Singh | 101. VS.Reddy |
| 59. A.Nayam | 102. Smt. Terabai |
| 60. Smt. Savithry | 103. Smt. Sangubai |
| 61. Smt. Rajamma | 104. N.Srinivas |
| 62. Syed Zakiuddin | 105. Smt. Padamma |
| 63. Mohd.Ayub Khan | 106. Smt. Renuka |

Contd...7.

- | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 64. SA. Khadar | 107. Smt. Anasua Baa |
| 65. A. Victor | 108. D. Narasing Rao |
| 66. A. Razzak | 109. Smt. R. Lalamma |
| 67. Smt. J. Chandramma | 110. H. Nasser Ali Khan |
| 68. S. Gumar Abbas | 111. Md. N. Farooqui |
| 69. G. Jooaf | 112. S. Ravikumar |
| 70. Smt. T. Varalakshmi | 113. Md. Saleem |
| 71. Smt. G. Varalakshmi | 114. Md. Younus Saleem |
| 72. Sujju Singh | 115. K. Mohan Rao |
| 73. I. Radhakrishna | 116. N. Srinivas |
| 74. Smt. T. Gunavathi | 117. Md. Fareed Ahmed |
| 75. Md. Taqi Baig | 118. M. Vinod Kumar |
| | 119. M. M. Nagash |
| 120. SA. Khadeer | 132. G. R. Yadagiri |
| 121. K. Chandra Mohan | 133. G. N. Rao |
| 122. Md. Jahangir Ali | 134. G. Shivekumar |
| 123. Smt. C. Narasamma | 135. I. P. M. Dhar |
| 124. Smt. Santosh Bai | 136. K. Yadava Rao |
| 125. Smt. P. Kamalamma | 137. S. S. Raju |
| 126. K. Ratna Chary | 138. A. C. Kumar |
| 127. K. Madhukar | 139. V. Raju |
| 128. C. Swamy | 140. P. Sathaiah |
| 129. Md. Khan | |
| 130. B. Mallesh | |
| 131. CN. Naidu | |

...Applicants

And

1. Union of India, rep. by
Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, Andhra Pradesh Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The senior superintendent of Railway Mail Service, Hyderabad
Sorting Division, Hyderabad-500027.

...Respondents

Dr. 527/98

- | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. B. Krishnamachari | 18. M. Rangarao |
| 2. A. Subbarao | 19. M. Hageswararao |
| 3. G. Baburao | 20. K. Narasamma |
| 4. M. Gopal rao | 21. Shaik Fatima Beg (Kum) |
| 5. Qursheed Baig | 22. Roshan Wadai |
| 6. M. Ganesh | 23. M. Srinivas |
| 7. K. Ramesh | 24. V. Venkatarao |
| 8. A. Vasantha Rao | 25. M. Jayamma |
| 9. J. Saraich | 26. B. Yellappa |
| 10. B. Venkataram | 27. M. Ashok Kumar |
| 11. J. Laxman | 28. RA. Laxmi (Smt) |
| 12. J. Fayaz Baig | 29. P. Venkatesh |
| 13. K. Davender | 30. Laxmi Bai (Smt) |
| 14. K. Ravindra Chary | 31. D. Shashikala (Kum) |
| 15. TV. Swamy | |
| 16. Shaik Dadmiya | |
| 17. S. Appachary | |

...Applicant

Versus

...Contd....3.

1. Union of India rep.by
Director General, Dept of Posts New delhi.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad.
3. The Postmaster General, Hyderabad Region, Dak Sadan, Hyderabad.
4. The Superintendent, Railway Mail Service (RMS for short)
"Z" Division, Tilak Rd, Hyderabad-1.

....Respondents

GA.529/99

- | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Kandukuru Ramarao | 20. Gude Satyavathi |
| 2. Komaragiri Ananthalakshmi | 21. Vangabanda Atchayamma |
| 3. Baarla Laxmi | 22. Valluru Durgamma |
| 4. Thunduru Bhaskararao | 23. Shaik Asha Beg |
| 5. Pasarlanka Samudram | 24. Kaila Rajamma |
| 6. Bellapukonda Gangamma | 25. Sajantri Nagamani |
| 7. Salasani Somrajyam | 26. Sajantri Veeramma |
| 8. Pilli pitchi Reddy | 27. Guduguntla Radha Krishna |
| 9. Jipapoti Vijayalakshmi | 28. Chintla Mahalakshmi |
| 10. Chittipalli Bhagyan | 29. Keesara Manikyam |
| 11. Veligotla Appalaraju | 30. Kammampati Narasamma |
| 12. J. Simha Chalam | 31. Peram Kotamma |
| 13. T. Parvati | 32. R. Shanmugam |
| 14. CH. Mohanrao | 33. Ch. Venkateswararao |
| 15. S. Yellareo | 34. K. Arunkumar |
| 16. Shaik Mohd Shabbir Basha | 35. V. Narasamma |
| 17. Yeramsetty Rambabu | 36. Rebhanna |
| 18. Permal Venkamma | 37. VT. Mohan Krishna |
| 19. Kondapalli Kanakamma | |

....Applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep.by the Director General Dept, of Posts
Dak Bhavan, New delhi. 110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520002.
4. The Sr. Superintendent of post office, Vijayawada Division.
Vijayawada-520001.
5. The Sr. Postmaster, Vijayawada, H.O. 522001.
6. The Postmaster, Buckinghampet, H.O. Vijayawada 520002.
7. The Sr. Medical Officer-in-Charge, P&T, Dispensary, Vijayawada.
8. The Postmaster, Nuzvid, H.O. 521201.

GA.530/99

- | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1. B. Venkateswarlu | 9. A. Ravi Babu |
| 2. V. Veeramma (Smt) | 10. P. Lakshamma (Smt) |
| 3. K. Ram Bai (Smt) | 11. SK. Saidamma (Smt) |
| 4. T. Mangamma (Smt) | 12. Jan Bus (Smt) |
| 5. V. Lakshmaiah | 13. Sk. Shafee |
| 6. CH. Nageswararao | 14. K. Lakshmi (Smt) |
| 7. K. Nagamani (Smt) | 15. Md. Karesm |
| 8. V. Veeramma (Smt) | 16. Mohd. Akhal Ahmed |

Versus

...Applicants

Contd... 9.

1. Union of India rep. by
The Director General, Dept of posts, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The postmaster General, Vijayawada Region, Vijayawada-520002.
4. The Superintendent of post offices, Khammam Division, Khammam-3

... Respondents

CA. NO. 531/93

- | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1. P. Prasadarao | 9. V. Venkateswararao |
| 2. Avula Laxamma | 10. V. Tirupathamma |
| 3. Mudigonda Laxamma | 11. K. Parvathi |
| 4. T. Subrahmanyam | 12. R. Lakshmi |
| 5. D. Venkateswarlu | 13. K. Ganapathi |
| 6. V. Kotamma | 14. G. Subbalakshmi |
| 7. T. Venkayamma | 15. Madugula Sapanamma |
| 8. T. Saidulu | |

... Applicants

and

1. The postmaster General, Vijayawada.
2. The Superintendent of post offices, Guntur Division, Guntur.
3. The Postmaster, Arundelpet Head Post officer, Guntur.

... Respondents

CA. 554/99

- | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1. B. Srinivasulu | 9. K. Suryanarayana |
| 2. Syed Omar | 10. K. Rani |
| 3. A. Mohan | 11. Sudamma |
| 4. Mohd Osman | 12. D. Chandraiah |
| 5. I. J. Durgaiah | 13. Smt. Meera Bai |
| 6. B. Panduranga | 14. J. Jagadish |
| 7. G. Ramulu | 15. Sunderamma |
| 8. Smt. Zubeda Begum | 16. N. Lakshmi Bai |

Versus

... Applicants

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts
New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, Sec'bad Division, Sec'bad-16.
4. The Sr. Postmaster, Sec'bad, H.C. 500003.

... Respondents

CA. NO. 635/99

- | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. K. Narsimhulu | 18. Jada Marthamma |
| 2. J. Ramanamma | 19. G. Polamma |
| 3. A. Prabhavathamma | 20. P. Seethamma |
| 4. A. Sitaramma | 21. S. Bhudevi |
| 5. M. Seshamma | 22. S. Ankamma |
| 6. D. Subhashini | 23. D. Seshamma |
| 7. A. Nagarathanamma | 24. B. Muslaiah |
| 8. H. Gangadharan | 25. Irukudinnela Narayanamma |
| 9. H. Kamalamma | 26. Irukudinnela Ramu |

Contd....10.

10. Y. Karthamma
11. K. Ranganayakamma
12. B. Seshamma
13. CH. Vinala
14. R. Audiseshamma
15. Indla padma
16. Indla Ramamma
17. Shaik Masthanabee

27. S. Sankaramma
28. N. Masthanamma
29. SK. Karamma
30. SK. Muntaz
31. T. Kondamma
32. G. Peddamma
33. Gangapati Nagamma
34. SK. Noorbee

Versus

....Applicants

1. Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of posts
Dak. Bhavan, New Delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyderabad-500001.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, (SSP for short)
Nellore Division, Nellore-524001.
4. The Postmaster, Nellore, H.O.
5. The postmaster, Kavali, H.O.

.....Respondents

424/39

1. Pulavarthy Nookaraju
2. Kesireddi Nookaraju
3. Gudey China Ammayi
4. Meesala Kannamma
5. Puligala Laxamma
6. Puligada Ravanamma
7. Surampudi Appalarthamma
8. Nimmakayala Apparao
9. Paturi, Atchayamma
10. Vadlamani Prabhakara
Narasimha Sastry
11. Patnala Naremma
12. Penka Subbalakshmi
13. Modisetty Venkabhadrarao
14. Siri Venkateswararao
15. Kollupuri Nageswararao
16. Gubbala Laxmi
17. Yerramsetty Simhadry
18. Kadajari Tatarao
19. Polavarapu Nagamani
20. Neelapu Nookalamma

5. Chenna Appalarthamma
6. Pedireddi China Satyanarayana
7. Chaganti Satyanarayana Murthy
8. Vanapalli Laxmi
25. Mohammed Anwar
26. Chinta Muniswamy
27. Kottagalla Nookarathnam
28. Pisipati Raghavamma
29. Nakka Kavamma
30. Chipurupalli Venkateswararao
31. Chipurupalli Venkatalexmi
32. Koppiseti Satyanarayana
33. Suripalli Saraswathi
34. Khandala Subbalaxmi
35. Alladi Sathibabu
36. Challa Thotamma
37. Tajana Yerukomma
38. Avegudu Nookalamma
39. Vaddadi Appalarthamma
40. Kalla Suramma
41. Talatam Satyavathi

And

...Applicants

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General, Dept of Posts
New Delhi-110001.
2. The Chief postmaster General AP, Circle, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Kakinada Division, Kakinada.
4. The Postmaster, Kakinada, H.O.
5. The Postmaster, Somarlakota, H.O.

...Respondents

Contd... 11

SA. 639/99

Between.

A. Tataiah

...Applicant

And

1. Union of India, rep. by Director General, Dept of posts, Dak Bhavan Sansad Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AP, Circle, Dak Sadan Abids, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Gudur Division, Gudur (NL)
4. The Postmaster Head post office, Gudur (NL)

...Respondents

SA. 649/99

Between.

1. Dokka Nagamma

7. M. Sarada

2. Kancharla Panduranga Rao

8. Pathan Subhani

3. Narra Jasinta

9. B. Venkata Lakshmi

4. G. Yellamma

10. Sk. Hussain Bee

5. J. Lakshmi

11. N. Parvathamma

6. G. Krishna Veni

...Applicants

And

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General Dept, of posts (D.G(P) for short)
2. The Chief postmaster General, AP, Circle, Hyd-1.
3. The Superintendent of post offices, Narasaraopeta Division Narasaraopeta.
4. The postmaster, Narasaraopeta, H.O.
5. The Postmaster Sattenapalle, H.O.

...Respondents

SA 649/99

1. A. S. M. Nageshushna Rao

7. Madabattula Danamma

2. Gondu Janakamma

8. Yerravarapu Sesthamma

3. Kesavarapu Nagamani

9. Cherukuvada Sakubai

4. P. Lakshmi Narasimhamurthy

10. Patarlapalli Papayamma

5. MD. Sharfuddin

11. Kondavesti Kondalareju

6. Gaddada Gouramma

12. Shaik Aliqted

...Applicants.

Versus

Contd...12.

1. The Union of India rep. by the Director General
Dept of posts, Bk Chavan, New delhi-110001.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, AF, Circle, Hyd-1.
3. The Sr. Superintendent of post offices, Shimavaram Division
Shimavaram.
4. The Postmaster, Shimavaram, H.O. -534201.
5. The Postmaster, Tanuku H.O. 534211.
6. The postmaster Palakol, H.O. 534260.

-- -- --

... Respondents

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Counsel for the Applicants | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Sri KSR Anjaneyulu in CA 1685/98 & CA 112, 125, 167, 302, 442/99 . Sri TVVS Murthy in CAs 424, 440 441 529, 554, 635, 648 and 649/99 . Sri. Krishna Devan in CAs 154, 317, 318 & 639/99 . Sri. Y. Appalaraju in CAs 326, 480, 527 & 530/99 . Sri. K. Sudhakar Reddy in CA 531/99 |
| Counsel for the Respondents | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Sri B.N. Sharma, Sr. CGSC (in CAs: 112, 154, 317, 527, 530, 531 & 649/99 . Shri J.R. Gopal Rao, CGSC (in CA. 4-2, 529, 639 of 1999) . Shri V. Rajeswara Rao, Addl. CGSC in (CAs 1685/98, 167, 302 & 554 of 1999) . Shri V. Vinodkumar, Addl. CGSC in CAs 326, 441 & 480 of 1999 . Miss. Shyama, Addl. CGSC in (CAs 125, 424, 442, 635 & 648 of 1999) . Shri P. Phalguna Rao, Addl. CGSC in CA. NO. 318/99). |

-- -- --

CORAM.

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.H. NASIR , VICE - CHAIRMAN
THE HON'BLE MR. RADENDRA PRASAD . MEMBER (A)

(Judgement per Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.H. Nasir,
Vice-Chairman)

C R D E R

Justice D.H. Nasir, JC

1. The respondents are sought to be directed in this group of CAs to grant to the applicants who are casual mazdoors the minimum of the pay scale corresponding to regular Group 'D' officials in the revised pay scale on pro-rata basis with effect from 1.1.1996 following the Fifth Pay Commission recommendations as per DOT letter No. 269/11/98/STN-II dated 15.9.1998.

Contd...13.

2. Common questions of law and facts arise for consideration in this batch of 23 CAs and, therefore they are being disposed of by this common order.

3. The applicants allege that they were orally threatened by respondent No.1 and his subordinate officers that unless they agreed to work as Casual Mazdoors at Rs.1,200/-P.M. and that too in pseudonyms their services would be terminated. When the applicants asked for written orders to that effect the respondents relented and paid wages for December, 1998 in January 1999. The applicants also allege that the conduct & of the respondents threatening termination of their services by entrusting their work to Contract Labourers was an unfair labour practice. The denial to pay the arrears of proportionate pay and allowances due to the applicants amounted to violation of the DOT instructions contained in letter dated, 23.11.1998 and the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 27-10-1987 in WP.NO.373 of 1986, as could be seen from the letter of General Manager, Telecom District, Vijayawada dated 1.1.1997.

4. The Assistant Postmaster General (Staff & Vigilance) in the office of CAG, Circle, Hyderabad mentions in the reply affidavit in CA 1835/97 that the allowances of the applicants were fixed on the basis of the minimum pay scale of Group 'D' i.e. Rs.750-940 as recommended by the IVth Pay Commission and as decided by respondent No.1 vide his letter dated 10.2.1988 with effect from 5.2.1986. The Central Government revised the pay scales of its employees with effect from 1.1.1996. According to Rule 2 of the CCS (Revised pay) Rules, 1997 the revised pay rules were not applicable to the persons paid out of contingencies. The respondent No.1 in consultation with Department of personnel & Training decided vide his office Memorandum No.1-3/97-PAP dated 3.11.1998 that the remuneration of Fulltime Casual Labour/Workers engaged on contingent basis whose nature of work was the same as that of regular employees should be remunerated on pro-rata basis with reference to the minimum of the pay scale of Group 'D' as per CCS (Revised pay) Rules, 1997 notwithstanding the fact that the revised pay rules did not apply to them. He further states that the orders would take effect from the date of issue i.e. 3.11.1998 and therefore, the applicants were entitled for revised pay and allowances only from 3.11.1998.

Contd...12.

5. Further according to the respondents the Senior postmaster, G.P.O., Hyderabad was not the Controlling officer of the applicants. He was not competent to revise the pay and allowance of the applicants. Fixation of the pay and allowances of the applicants by him with effect from 1.11.1997 on pro-rata basis of the revised pay scale was, therefore, not correct. Respondent No.2 was not empowered to revise the allowances of the applicants on pro-rata basis. It was, therefore, mentioned in his order dated 9.12.1997 as follows.

" However, the payments thus ordered will be subject to adjustment based on further orders from the Directorate".

6. It is further contended that respondent No.2. acted on instructions contained in the order dated 3.11.1998 of respondent No.1 who had rightly issued the impugned order dated 26.11.1998 directing the excess payment made upto 3.11.1998 to be recovered either at the discretion of the controlling authority or on the basis of the respondent No.2's order dated 9.12.1997.

7. It is also contended by the Respondents that the applicants had not exhausted the alternative remedy of approaching the higher authority for redressal of their grievances inasmuch as they did not make any representation to the competent authority before approaching this Tribunal.

8. The orders dated 3.11.1998 issued by respondent No.1 in consultation with the Department of Personnel & Training of Government of India, according to the Respondents, cannot be termed as discriminatory inasmuch as the respondent No.1. decided to revise the allowances on pro-rata basis of the pay scale as revised by the 7th pay Commission, even though the applicants were not entitled to fixation on the basis of the revised pay scales as per Revised pay rules of 1987. As long as the Revised pay rules, 1987 were not challenged, the applicants were not justified in claiming the reliefs as sought in the current batch of CAs. according to the Respondents.

...Contd... 15.

9. The main question which arises for our consideration from the above pleadings is, whether the applicants are entitled to claim and receive proportionate pay and allowances according to the recommendations of the Vth pay commission with effect from 1st January, 1996 itself or whether the department is empowered to give effect of the same from any subsequent date. As seen above, the respondents come up with a contention that under Rule 2 of the CCS (Revised Pay) Rules, 1997, the revised pay rules were not applicable to the Casual Mazdoors who were paid out of contingencies. However, R-1 in consultation with the Department of personnel & Training decided vide his office memorandum dated 3.11.1998 that the remuneration of the full-time casual labour engaged on contingency basis whose nature of work was the same as that of the regular employees should be remunerated on pro-rata basis with reference to the minimum of pay scale of Group 'D' as per CCS (Revised Pay) Rules, 1997 notwithstanding the fact that the revised pay rules did not apply to them.

10. As regards the effective date, the respondents raise a plea that the order could take effect only from its date of issue i.e. 3.11.1998 and therefore, the applicants were entitled for revised pay and allowances only from 3.11.1998. We have also seen the contention raised by the respondents that the Senior postmaster, G. I. O. Hyderabad was the Controlling Officer of the applicants and that he was not competent to revise the allowance of the applicants and therefore, fixation of 1.11.1997 as the date from which the revised pay and allowances could be paid to the applicants was not correct.

11. We are firmly of the opinion that since the revised pay and allowances were allowed to the Central Government employees with effect from 1.1.1996, according to the recommendations of the Vth pay Commissions, there was no rationale behind fixing any other subsequent date in case of the applicants before this Tribunal who are casual mazdoors and whose nature of work is the same as that of the regular employees, as stated in the reply affidavit filed by the respondents. If any other subsequent date is fixed in the case of casual mazdoors, it would be a pure and simple case of discrimination and would be violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

Contd....16.

It would also be hit by the principle of "equal pay for equal work." Before we arrive at any conclusion, however, we must also take note of the letter dated 3.11.1998 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Communication, Department of Posts para-2 of the said letter which is relevant for the proposition in question reads as under:-

" It has now been decided that notwithstanding that the CCS(RP) Rules, 1997 do not apply to them, the remuneration of the aforesaid full time casual labour (other than Temporary status) part time casual labour/workers engaged on contingency basis whose nature of work is the same as of regular employees i.e. part time Chalkidar/Farash/Mali/Sweeper etc. & who are not engaged for post office operative work and are being paid as per instructions contained in this office letter No.45/95/87-SPD-I dated the 10th February 1999 and Department of Personnel & Training OM.No.19011/2/06-Estt(C) dated the 7th June, 1988 shall be remunerated on pro-rata basis with reference to the minimum of the pay scale of Group 'D' as per CCS (Revised Pay) Rules, 1997 plus admissible Dearness Allowance thereon only."

It is in this Office Memorandum dated 3.11.1998 that it has been laid down that the order contained in the said letter would take effect from the date of issue. In the last paragraph of the said letter it is also mentioned that the said letter was issued with the concurrence of the Department of Personnel & Training vide D.O. No. 637/98-Estt(C) dated 7.9.1998 and postal finance Advice vide their Diary No. 2307/FA/98 dated 3.11.1998.

12. Meaning of the term "Casual Labourers" has been clarified in the DCP letter No. 45/95/87-SPD-I dated 10.2.1999 Annexure-III at page 13 of the OM 1606/98 in which it is stated as under:-

"(ii) The word "Casual Labourers" would cover full-time casual labour parttime casual labour and workers engaged on contingency basis. Part time workers, casual or contingency paid will be paid on prorata basis."

Contd.... 17.

For the purpose of payment, no distinction should be made whether the casual labourers and contingency paid staff are being paid wages or from office contingencies.

(iii) The arrears at the enhanced rates are to be paid before 25.2.1993 positively."

13. The above decision of the Department relates to payment of wages to casual labourers according to the recommendations presumably of the IVth Pay Commission. As already stated in the DTP letter dated 10.2.1993 within the meaning of the term 'Casual labourers' are included fulltime casual labourers, part-time casual labourers and workers engaged on contingency basis. The part-time workers, casual or contingency paid, are also considered to be entitled to payment of wages on pro-rata basis and it has been categorically stated that for the purpose of payment no distinction should be made whether the casual labourers and contingency paid staff are being paid wages or from office contingencies. There is no reason why the same consideration should not be applied to the applicants for payment of revised wages on pro-rata basis in accordance with the recommendations of the Vth Pay Commission.

14. The letter dated 20.5.1993 (Annexure-III A at page 14 of the OA 1695/96) gives the way for payment of the revised wages with effect from 1.1.1996. The relevant extract of the said letter issued by the CGT, A.P. Hyderabad is reproduced below,-

"In view of the guidelines laid down by the Department of personnel & Training vide letter No.49014/2/36-Estt(C) Dt.7.6.88 issued in compliance of the Apex Court judgment regarding wages of Casual Labourers it has now been decided that all the casual labourers working in the Dept. may be paid wages at the rate of 1/30th of pay at the minimum of the relevant pay scale plus Dearness Allowance for work of 6 hours with effect from 1.1.96."

Contd.....18.

47

10. The Department of personnel & Training has not minced any word in directing that if any revision of Group 'D' pay scale takes place casual labourer with temporary status will be entitled to receive their wages with reference to the minimum of the revised pay scale with effect from the date as applicable to regular Group 'D' employees (emphasis supplied) and no separate orders would be necessary for the purpose. It is further stated in the said office Memorandum that their pay for the purpose of calculation of wages should be determined in the same way as in the case of regular Group 'D' employees. These instructions are contained in GGI Department per 3 Reg. M No. 49011/2/97-Est(C) dated 24.10.1997 which appears at page 22 Annexure-A-III of CA 441/99. In fact the entire text of the said M is required to be reproduced here so that a wholesome view could be taken. The text reads as follows:-

" 2. As per para 5 of the casual labourers (Grant of Temporary status and Regularisation) Scheme, temporary status would entitle the casual labourers to the following benefits:-

- (i) Wages at daily rates with reference to the minimum of the pay scale for corresponding regular Group 'D' officers including DA, HRA and CA.
- (ii) benefits of increments at the same rate as applicable to a Group 'D' employee would be taken into account for calculating pro-rata wages for every one year of service subject to performance of duty for at least 240 days (200 days in administrative offices observing five days leave) in the year from the date of conferment of temporary status.

3. It is obvious from points (i) and (ii) above that if any revision of Group 'D' pay scale takes place, casual labourers with temporary status will be entitled to receive their wages, with reference to the minimum of the revised pay scale with effect from the date as applicable to regular Group 'D' employees and no separate orders would be necessary for the purpose. Their pay for the purpose of calculation of wages will be determined in the same way as in the case of regular Group 'D' employees.

4. This has the approval of Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure)"

Contd...20.

An argument could indeed be advanced that the said OM dated 24.10.1997 deals with the casual mazdoors on whom temporary status has been conferred and not with casual mazdoors sans such conferment.

19. How casual mazdoors on whom temporary status has not been conferred, could be treated as eligible for the same benefits is required to be convincingly dealt with.

20. The main grounds for allowing 1.1.1996 as the effective date for payment of revised pay on pro-rata basis emerge as follows.

- (i) Nature of work isthesame as that of regular employees (as stated in letter dated 3.11.1998 para-2)
- (ii) Within the meaning of the term "Casual labourers" Full time casual labourers/part-time casual labourers and workers engaged on contingency basis are included.
- (iii) Part-time workers, casual or contingency paid, are also considered to be entitled to payment of wages on pro-rata basis (reference DCP letter date 10.2.1988).

21. To sum up the above discussion, the following situation reveals;

(a) The Government of India vide its communication dated 9.12.1997 in connection with payment of higher wages to part-time casual labourers decided to extend the revised scales on pro-rata basis to part-time casual labourers in an uniform manner in the entire circle with effect from 1.11.1997. The disputed portion of this communication was the effective date which was stated to be 1.11.1997.

(b) We have seen however from the DOT communication dated 15.9.1998 and 23.9.1998 that the Telecom Commission in view of the guidelines laid down by the personnel and Training Department vide letter dated 7.6.1988 issued in compliance with the Apex Court Judgment regarding wages of labourers, decided that all casual labourers working in the department be paid wages at the rate of 1/30th of pay at the minimum of the revised pay scales plus Dearness Allowance for work of 3 hours with effect from 1.1.1996.

Contd....21.

(c) There is, therefore, no reason why 1.1.1996 could not be applied as the effective date in case of the applicants also who are employed with postal Department, more particularly in view of the fact that the said communication dated 15.9.1993 was issued in accordance with the guidelines laid down by the Department of personnel & Training, apart from the fact that a clear case of discrimination would emerge if the same effective date is not granted in case of the present applicants.

(d) Earlier the Department of posts, A.P. Circle Hyderabad vide its communication dated 9.12.1997 in connection with the payment of higher wages to part-time casual labourers decided to extend the revised scales in a uniform manner in the entire circle with effect from 1.11.1997 and not from 1.1.1996.

(e) The Supreme Court also in Writ petition Nos.373 and 302 of 1986 decided on 27th October, 1997 (Supra) directed the Union of India and other respondents to pay wages to the workmen who were employed as casual labourers belonging to several categories of employees in the posts and Telegraph Department at the rates equivalent to the minimum pay in the pay scales of regular employees working in the corresponding cadres. The Supreme Court also laid down that the petitioner were entitled to corresponding Dearness Allowances and Additional Dearness Allowance, if any, payable thereon and directed that whatever other benefits which were enjoyed by the casual labourers should be continued to be extended to them.

22. The Superintendent of post offices, Tenali Division vide his Communication dated February, 1999 stated that in supersession of the orders issued revising the allowances with effect from 1.1.1996, 1.11.1997 and 1.7.1998 vide office Memos of even number dated 15.5.1998, 6.8.1998 and 10.11.1998 respectively excess payments made prior to 3.11.1998 were to be recovered and compliance reported to them.

Contd....22.

23. This communication is referred to at this stage because altogether on a date 1.11.1997 was determined as the effective date for revision of allowances payable to part-time contingent staff. This communication gives us an impression that a great deal of ambiguity prevailed at the relevant time amongst various levels of the department of posts and nothing clear, exact or binding conclusively was coming to surface.

24. The Department of personnel & Training vide its office Memo No. 49011/1/97-Estt(c) dated 24.10.1997 winced no words in stating in paragraph-3 of the said O.M. that if any revision of group 'D' pay scale took place, the casual labourers with temporary status will be entitled to receive their wages with reference to minimum of the revised pay scales with effect from the date as applicable to regular Group 'D' employees and no separate orders would be necessary for the purpose. Their pay for the purposes of calculation of wages would be determined in the same way as in the case of regular Group 'D' employees.

25. Since the regular Group 'D' employees have already been granted the revised pay scale with effect from 1.1.1996, there is no rhyme or reason why similar benefits be denied to the casual mazdoors who are the applicants before us in this group of case, in spite of the fact that temporary status may not have been conferred upon them, as already discussed earlier.

26. In *Suriinder Singh V. Engineer-in-Chief, CPWD and others* (AIR 1986 SC 76) the Supreme Court observed that the Central Government like all organs of the state was committed to the Directive Principles of State policy and Article 36 enshrined the Principle of "equal pay for equal work", and referred to the case of *Randhir Singh V. Union of India* (1982) 3 SCR 299 wherein the Supreme Court had on occasion to make observations in *Kishori Mohanlal Bakshi V. Union of India* (AIR 1962 SC 1139) and to point out how the principle of equal pay for equal work was not an abstract doctrine and how it was a vital and vigorous doctrine throughout accepted by the particularly by socialist countries.

Contd....23.

27. Similar views were expressed by the Supreme Court in *Direndra Chamoli and another V. State of Uttar Pradesh* (AIR 1986 SC 172) that the casual employees who were in the service of the different Nehru Yuvak Kendras in the country and who were admittedly performing the same duties as class IV employees, must, therefore, get the same salary and condition of service as Class IV employees and it made no difference whether they were appointed in sanctioned posts or not. So long as they were performing the same duties, they must receive the same salary and conditions of service as class IV employees.

28. There is, therefore, no reason why on the application of the principle that 'equal pay should be paid for equal work' the present applicants before us be deprived of the same benefit in terms of pay/wages as well as in terms of the date from which the same could be made effective. There could, therefore, be no constraint on directing the Department to refrain from making any recoveries from the pay and allowances received by the applicants on the ground of excess payment 'wrongly' made prior to 3.11.1998.

29. It may be argued that the Administrative Tribunal should ordinarily desist from giving such directions which may involve heavy financial commitments and implications. However, it would not be correct to say so in the context of the present OAs because the recovering liability of paying wages on pro-rata basis according to the recommendations of the Vth pay Commission has already been incurred by the Department by holding that the casual labourers are entitled to enhancement. The only point which had been hanging fire was relating to the effective date. Initially the department said that the effective date should be 1.11.1997 and subsequently the respondents raised a contention that the same was erroneous and directed not only that the effective date should be considered from 3.11.1998 but also that any excess payment received by the applicants on that account should be recovered from their wages. We have already dealt with this aspect earlier in this judgment.

Contd....24.

What we are trying to emphasize is that our order would only be limited to giving the effective date as 1.1.1996 which would involve only one time payment of the difference and therefore, we believe that we are not exceeding our jurisdiction in passing the instant order.

25. The present orders are, therefore, disposed of with the following directions:-

- (a) The Office Memorandum No.1-3/97-PAP dated 3-11-1998 determining the date of applicability to be 3.11.1998 as well as another office letter No.131/1-60/PCC/97-98/Corr dated 26.11.1998 directing recoveries to be made from the wages of the present applicants are hereby quashed.
- (b) The respondents are directed to give the applicants the minimum of the pay scale corresponding to regular Group 'D' employees in the revised pay scale on pro-rata basis with effect from 1.1.1996.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-xxxx

Section Officer.

प्रमाणित प्रति
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

क्रम संख्या
CASE NUMBER 0A 1685/98 & batch
निर्णय दिनांक
DATE OF DECISION 29.4.99
प्रति तथ्या
COPY MADE 22.6.95

सहायक न्यायाधीश
S. J. Court Officer
केन्द्रिय प्रशासनिक न्यायाधिकरण
Central Administrative Tribunal
हैदराबाद न्यायापीठ
HYDERABAD BENCH.