

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH
AT HYDERABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1716/97.

DATE OF ORDER : 11-12-1997.

Between :-

- | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. K.T.Venkata Ratnaiah | 7. V.L.Sanghi |
| 2. K.KrishnaMurthy | 8. G.M.Raghunadha Reddy |
| 3. K.Ganniyya Raj | 9. K.Guru Raja Char |
| 4. D.Ganga Satyam | 10. S.Vaidhyanatha Rao |
| 5. N.Venkata Rao | 11. K.Kasturi |
| 6. M.G.K.Menon | 12. Charanjit Singh Rainoo |

... Applicants

And

1. The Chairman, Telecom Commission,
Telecommunication, New Delhi.
2. The Chief General Manager,
Telecommunications, AP Circle,
Hyderabad.
3. The Chief General Manager,
Telecommunication, Southern Telecom
Region, Chennai.
4. The Chief General Manager,
Telecommunication, Telecom
Circle, Ulsoor,
Bangalore-560 0008.
5. The Chief General Manager,
Telecommunication, Telecom (Maintenance), ... Respondents
Northern Telecom Region,
Kidwai Bhavan, New Delhi.

-- -- --

Counsel for the Applicants : Shri K.Lakshmi Narasimha

Counsel for the Respondents : Shri N.R.Devaraj, Sr.CGSC

-- -- --

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE SHRI R.RANGARAJAN : MEMBER (A)

THE HON'BLE SHRI B.S.JAI PARAMESHWAR : MEMBER (J)

(Order per Hon'ble Shri R.Rangarajan, Member (A)).

[Signature]

-- -- --

(Order per Hon'ble Shri R.Rangarajan, Member (A)).

-- -- --

Heard Sri Laxman Rao for Sri K.Lakshmi Narasimha, counsel for the applicants and Sri N.R.Devaraj, standing counsel for the respondents.

2. There are 12 applicants in this O.A. They were initially ^{appointed} ~~engaged~~ as Jr.Engineers. The next promotion is to the cadre of Asst.Engineer. For promotion to the post of Asst.Engineer, they should qualify themselves in a departmental examination called TES Group-8 examination. As per para-206 of the P & T Manual, the date of passing the said examination decides the seniority in the higher grade of Asst.Engineer. The applicants herein submit that some of the Jr.Engineers who had passed the qualifying examination later than them were promoted to the cadre of Asst.Engineer earlier to them and they were shown seniors though the applicants were entitled to be treated as seniors to those who have passed the test later than them.

3. Original Application No.1469/96 was filed on the file of this Bench. ~~As the contentions and prayer in this O.A. are similar to the contentions and prayer in OA 1469/96 decided on 19-2-97 and~~ the applicants herein are similarly placed to the applicants in that O.A. A direction was given in that O.A. directing the respondents to implement the orders as given in CP 18/93 to CP 21/93 on the file of this Bench. As the contentions and prayer in this O.A. are similar to the contentions and prayer raised in OA 1469/96 decided on 19-2-97, we see no reason to differ from the judgement in

OA 1469/96. Hence the following direction is given :-

The present application is allowed
and the respondents are directed to
implement the orders ^{the} in case of applicants
in this O.A. also as given in CP 18/93 to
21/93 on the file of this Bench.

4. Original Application is ordered accordingly at the
admission stage itself. No costs.



(B.S. JAI PARAMESHWAR)
Member (J)

11.12.97



(R. RANGARAJAN)
Member (A)

Dated: 11th December, 1997.
Dictated in Open Court.



avl/

DA:1716/97

Copy to:-

1. The Chairman, Telecom Commission, Telecommunication, New Delhi.
2. The Chief General Manager, Telecommunications, A.P. Circle, Hyderabad.
3. The Chief General Manager, Telecommunication, Southern Telecom Region, Chennai.
4. The Chief General Manager, Telecommunication, Telecom Circle, Ulsoor, Bangalore.
5. One copy to Mr. K. Lakshmi Narasimha, Advocate, CAT., Hyd.
6. One copy to Mr. N. R. Devaraj, Sr. CGSC., CAT., Hyd.
7. One copy to D.R. (A), CAT., Hyd.
8. One duplicate.

9. The chief General manager, Telecommunications,
srr Telecom (maintenance) Northern Telecom Region,
Kirti Bhabha, New Delhi

21/1/98
TYPED BY
COMPILED BY

CHECKED BY
APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SHRI R. RANGARAJAN : M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE SHRI B.S. JAI PARAMESWAR :
M(J)

Dated: 11/12/97

~~ORDER~~ / JUDGMENT

~~MA./R.A./C.A.No.~~

in

G.A.NO. 1716/97

Admitted and Interim Directions
Issued.

Allowed

Disposed of With Directions

Dismissed

Dismissed as Withdrawn

Dismissed for Default

Ordered/Rejected

No order/as to costs.

SRR

II Court

