

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

O.A.No. 1702/97

Date of Order : 9.3.99

BETWEEN :

M.Kranti Kumar Reddy

.. Applicant.

AND

1. The Director (Admn.Services),
Defence Metallurgical Research
Laboratory, Kanchanbagh,
Hyderabad.

2. The Secretary to the Ministry of
Defence, New Delhi.

.. Respondents.

- - -

Counsel for the Applicant

.. Mr.T.V.V.S.Murthy

Counsel for the Respondents

.. Mr.B.N.Sharma

- - -

CORAM :

HON'BLE SHRI R.RANGARAJAN : MEMBER (ADMN.)

HON'BLE SHRI B.S. JAI PARAMESHWAR : MEMBER (JUDL.)

- - -

O R D E R

{ As per Hon'ble Shri B.S.Jai Parameshwar, Member (Judl.) }

- - -

Mr.T.V.V.S.Murthy, learned counsel for the applicant
and Mr.M.C.Jacob for Mr.B.N.Sharma, learned standing counsel
for the respondents.

R

2. The applicant has filed this OA praying for a direction to the respondents to consider his candidature for the post of Technical Assistant 'A' in the respondent organisation. He relied upon the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Excise Superintendent, Malkapatnam, Krishna District, and others v. K.B.N. Visweswara Rao reported in 1996 (6) Scale 676. He sought for an interim direction. However interim direction was not given as the applicant had approached this Tribunal very late.

3. The respondents have filed a counter stating that as per the existing instructions recruitment to Group-C and D rules under the Central Government has to be done through employment exchange that accordingly 2 vacancies of Technical Assistant 'A' in the subject of Metallurgy were notified ^{to} ~~by~~ the employment exchange on 29.8.97. The employment exchange sponsored 9 candidates and call letters were sent to all the candidates fixing the date for interview on 15.12.97.

4. Further they submit that on 10.12.97 the applicant submitted his application stating that his case also to be considered in the light of the Supreme Court decision cited above. However the respondents ~~are~~ stated that DOPT had challenged the decision of the Supreme Court in C.A.Nos. 11646 11724 of 1996 dated 22.8.96.

32

.. 3 ..


No 14 024) 2) 96 - ESTT (D)

5. Now the DOPT has issued O.M. dated 18.5.98 giving instructions/guidelines to all the departments to follow the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in filling up the posts. Therefore the respondent authorities are to follow the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as the OM dated 18.5.98 for future vacancies.

6. Since the applicant had not approached this Tribunal in time we could not give any direction to consider his candidature. This is the view taken by us also at the time of passing interim direction.

7. In this view of the matter the OA is dismissed.

No costs.


(B.S. JAI PARAMESHWAR)
Member (Judl.)


(R. RANGARAJAN)
Member (Admn.)

9.3.99

Dated : 9th March, 1999

(Dictated in Open Court)

Amhi
usee

sd

Copy to:

1. HDHND
2. HHRP M(A)
3. HBSJP M(J)
4. D.R. (A)
5. SPARE

19/3/99
IST AND LIND COURT

TYPED BY
COMPARED BY

CHECKED BY
APPROVED BY

THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH: HYDERABAD.

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.H. NASIR:
VICE - CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE MR. H. RAJENDRA PRASAD:
MEMBER (A)

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN :
MEMBER (A)

THE HON'BLE MR. B. S. JAI PARAMESWAR
MEMBER (J)

DATED: 9/3/99

ORDER/JUDGEMENT

MA./RA./CP.No.

IN

1702/97
D.O. NO.

ADMITTED AND INTERIM DIRECTIONS
ISSUED.

ALLOWED

DISPOSED OF WITH DIRECTIONS

DISMISSED

DISMISSED AS WITHDRAWN

ORDERED/REJECTED

NO ORDER AS TO COSTS

SRR

7 copies

