

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL: HYDERABAD BENCH  
AT HYDERABAD

OA.1046/97

dt.14-8-97

Between

D. Lakshmanaa

: Applicant

and

1. Telecom Commission  
Rep. by its Chairman  
Telecommunications,  
New Delhi

2. Director General  
Telecom, New Delhi

3. Chief Genl. Manager  
Telecom, AP Circle  
Abids, Hyderabad

4. Dy. Genl. Manager (Admn.)  
O/o the CGMT, Telecom,  
AP Circle, Abids  
Hyderabad

5. Divnl. Engr. (Phones)  
Kurnool

6. Telecom Dist. Manager  
Kurnool

7. Sub Divnl. Officer (T)  
Kurnool

8. Asstt. Engr. (Computer)  
O/o Telecom Dist. Manager  
Kurnool

: Respondents

Counsel for the applicant

: V. Venkateswara Rao  
Advocate

Counsel for the respondents

: K. Ramulu  
Addl. CGSC

CORAM

HON. MR. H. RAJENDRA PRASAD, MEMBER (ADMN.) *[Signature]*

## Judgement

Oral order (per Hon. Mr. H. Rajendra Prasad, Member (Admn.))

Heard Sri V. Venkateswara Rao for the applicant. Mr. K. Ramulu, Standing counsel, to whom this case is allotted, is not present.

1. The facts of the present OA are squarely covered by those contained in OA.777/96 disposed of on 18-6-1996 wherein the entire position regarding the problem of Casual Labourers, and conferment of temporary status on them followed by regularisation of their services, has been discussed in detail in the light of the provisions of the relevant scheme. The direction issued in the said OA is therefore applicable to this case as well. Hence, the following order :

It will be open to the applicant to file a representation to the respondents for the relief provided that he has been physically working as on the date of filing of this application, and provided also that such a representation is submitted within four weeks from today. The respondents shall examine the matter and take a decision as to whether the applicant can be given the reliefs under the said schemes. In case the representation is rejected eventually the reasons for rejection has to be stated expeditiously and communicated to the applicant. This may be done within two months from the date of receipt of such representation in the office of Respondent-5. In the meanwhile the applicant shall be continued to be engaged as before.

2. In case the applicant comes to entertain any grievance against the decision conveyed to him he shall have the liberty to agitate his grievance.

(H. Rajendra Prasad)  
Member (Admn.)

14 Aug 97

Dated : August 14, 97  
Dictated in Open Court

18/8/97  
2201

To,

1. The Chairman, Telecom Commission, Telecommunications, New-Delhi.
2. The Director General, Telecom., New-Delhi.
3. The Chief General Manager, Telecom., A.P.Circle, Abids, Hyderabad.
4. The Deputy General Manager (Admn),  
O/O The C.G.M.T., Telecom., A.P.Circle, Abids, Hyderabad.
5. The Divisional Engineer(Phones), Kurnool.
6. The Telecom., District, Manager, Kurnool.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer(T), Kurnool
8. The Assistant Engineer(Computer)  
O/O Telecom., District Manager,  
Kurnool.
9. One Copy to Mr.v.venkateshwara Rao, Advocate., CAT., Hyderabad.
10. One Copy to Mr.K.Ramulu, Addl.C.C.C., CAT., Hyderabad.
11. One Copy to D.A.(J).CAT., Hyderabad.
12. One spare Copy.
13. One Copy to Mr.HHRP(M)(A).

21/8/97  
C.C. today  
19/8/97

I COURT

TYPED BY

CHECKED BY

COMPARED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.G. CHAUDHARI  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. H. RAJENDRA PRASAD: M(A)

Dated: 14-8-1997

ORDER / JUDGMENT

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

in

O.A.No. 1046/97.

T.A.No. (w.p.)

Admitted and Interim Directions  
Issued.

Allowed.

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed for Default.

Ordered/Rejected.

No order as to costs.

pvm

