

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL:HYDERABAD BENCH  
AT HYDERABAD

O.A.No.708 of 1997.

DATE OF ORDER:10-9-1998.

Between:

Smt.Zameel Fatima.

.. Applicant

a n d

1. Asst.Superintendent of Post Offices,  
Hyderabad, West Sub Division,  
Hyderabad-1.

2. Sr.Superintendent of Post Offices,  
Hyderabad City Division,Hyderabad-1.

3. Sri Rajanlal Ashok Kumar,  
s/o & age not known, EOSV,  
Sitarampet, Hyderabad-1.

(R-3 is impleaded as per Court Order  
dated:25-11-97 in MA.No.1118/97).

.. Respondents

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT :: Mr.S.Ramakrishna Rao

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS:: Mr.N.R.Devarej

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE SRI R.RANGARAJAN, MEMBER (ADMN)

A N D

THE HON'BLE SRI B.S.JAI PARAMESHWAR, MEMBER (JUDL)

: O R D E R :

ORAL ORDER (AS PER HON'BLE SRI B.S.JAI PARAMESHWAR, MEMBERJ))

Heard Mr.S.Ramakrishna Rao for the Applicant  
and Mr.W.V.Satyanarayana for Mr.N.R.Devarej for the  
Respondents. Earlier notice sent to R-3 returned unserved  
stating that R-3 was not available. Subsequently, the  
learned Counsel for the Applicant had also sent a notice  
to R-3 through Registered Post. He had produced the  
Receipt of 31-8-1998. Even today the acknowledgment has not  
been received. In the circumstances, it is deemed that notice  
to R-3 has been served.

....2

*R*

-2-

2. The applicant in this OA had applied for the post of EDSV, Sitarampet.


3. The facts and circumstances of this case are similar to the facts and circumstances in O.A.No.706 of 1997, decided on 2-3-1998.

4. The respondents on failure on the part of the Employment Exchange to sponsor eligible candidates, had issued notification on 2-12-1996. Subsequently, the Employment Exchange appears to have <sup>had</sup> ~~correspondence~~ <sup>and later</sup> with the respondent-authorities, <sup>and later</sup> had sent a list of eligible candidates. This fact was considered and we directed the respondent-authorities to consider the candidates who submitted their candidature in response to the notification dated: 2-12-1996.

5. Hence, the directions given in the O.A.No.706 of 1997 are applicable to this case also.

6. Hence, we direct the Respondent No.1 to select suitable candidate from amongst those who had applied in response to the notification dated: 2-12-1996 and to fill up the post of EDSV by a regularly selected candidate. Till such time the regularly selected candidate is posted as EDSV, Sitarampet, the Respondent No.3 shall be treated as a provisional appointee of the said post office.

7. With the above direction, the OA is disposed of.  
No costs.



( B.S. JAI PARAMESHWAR )  
MEMBER (JUDL)

10.9.98



( R. RANGARAJAN )  
MEMBER (ADMN)

Dated: this the 10th day of September, 1998

Dictated to steno in the Open Court

*DR*  
1

\*\*\*

*DN*

1.4..

## Copy to:

1. Asst. Superintendent of Post Offices,  
Hyderabad West Sub Division, Hyderabad.
2. Sr. Superintendent of Post Offices,  
Hyderabad City Division, Hyderabad.
3. One copy to Mr. S. Ramakrishna Rao, Advocate, CAT, Hyderabad.
4. One copy to Mr. N. R. Devraj, Sr. GSC, CAT, Hyderabad.
5. One copy to HBSJP, M(J), CAT, Hyderabad.
6. One copy to D. R (A), CAT, Hyderabad.
7. One duplicate copy.

YLKR

19/9/98

II COURT

TYPED BY  
COMPILED BY

CHECKED BY  
APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SHRI R. RAMSARADAN : M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE SHRI D.S. JAI PARAMESHWAR :  
M(J)

DATED: 10/9/98

ORDER/JUDGMENT

M.A/R.A/C.P.NO.

in

C.A.NO. 708/97

ADMITTED AND INTERIM DIRECTIONS  
ISSUED  
ALLOWED  
DISPOSED OF WITH DIRECTIONS  
DISMISSED  
DISMISSED AS WITHDRAWN  
ORDERED/REJECTED  
NO ORDER AS TO COSTS

YLKR

केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक न्यायाधिकरण  
Central Administrative Tribunal  
न्याय / DESPATCH  
24 SEP 1998  
हैदराबाद न्यायपीठ  
HYDERABAD BENCH