

(29)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH HYDERABAD

O.A. NO. 681/97

Between:

Dt. of Order: 27.3.97.

1. S. Prabhu
2. Byndla Balraj
3. Bandari Yadaiah
4. Bandari Bikshapathi
5. Mylaram Gopal
6. G. Muthyalu

Applicants.

And

1. The Director, Central Research Institute of Dryland Agriculture Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad.
2. Senior Administrative Officer, Central Research Institute of Dryland Agriculture, Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad.
3. The Director General, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.

Respondents.

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. K. K. Chakravarthy

Counsel for the Respondents : Mr. N. R. Devraj, Sr. CCSC.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE SHRI R. RANGARAJAN : MEMBER (A)

THE HON'BLE SHRI B. S. JAI PARAMESHWAR : MEMBER (J)

THE TRIBUNAL MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

Heard Sri Bhaskar for Sri K. K. Chakravarthy learned counsel for the applicant and Sri W. Satyanarayana for Sri N. R. Devraj, learned counsel for Central Govt.

There are 6 applicants in this OA. They are working as casual labourers at Central Research Institute of Dryland Agriculture at Hayatnagar, Hyderabad, R.R. District. They have filed this OA for a declaration that the action of the respondents in not granting the temporary status to the applicants at par with the Group-D employees from 7.6.88 is illegal, arbitrary and violative of guidelines issued by Govt. of India, order No. 49014/2/86-Estt-C dt. 7.6.1988 and for consequential direction to the respondents to implement the same on par with the applicants in O.A. 406/86 on the file of this Bench. In similar cases relief had been granted on 1.1.1997.

centd.

The learned counsel for the respondents produced letter No. 1-10(2)/97- Estt. Dt. 22.3.1997 addressed to him by Senior Administrative Officer of the respondents organisation wherein it is stated as follows in para-2 of the letter.

" Further, I am to stated that the case of applicants in the above O.A. is similar to that of the applicants in O.A. 406 of 1996. The order dated 1.1.1977 of the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad Bench, in O.A. 406 of 1977 is already under consideration of the ICAR, New Delhi, for implementation, including the similarly placed casual workers as well as the applicants."

In view of the above submission we do not consider any direction necessary in this connection. When a decision is taken by the respondents in pursuance of the Submission made in the letter dt. 22.3.1997 the same should be intimated to the applicants herein thereafter.

With the above observation the O.A. is disposed of at the admission stage itself. No costs.

*[Signature]*  
13.6.97  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR(J)

808  
10/6/97

T COURT

I. \_\_\_\_\_

TYPED BY \_\_\_\_\_ CHECKED BY \_\_\_\_\_

COMPARED BY \_\_\_\_\_ APPROVED BY \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

*R. Rangarajam : M (A)*  
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE  
~~VICE-CHAIRMAN~~

and  
*B. S. Sai Parameeswarar : M (I)*  
THE HON'BLE MR. ~~H. RAJENDRA PRASAD : M (A)~~

Dated: 27 - 3 - 1997

ORDER/JUDGMENT

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. \_\_\_\_\_

in \_\_\_\_\_

C.A.No. 681/97

T.A.No. \_\_\_\_\_ (w.p. \_\_\_\_\_)

Admitted and Interim directions  
Issued.

Allowed

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed.

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for default.

Ordered/Rejected.

No order as to costs.

pvm

