

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

O.A. 1336/97 & Batch cases.

Between:

Dated of Order: 26-12-97

Smt. V. Renuka (1336/97)

Smt. P. Rahmat Bee (OA 1337/97)

D. Vara Prasad (OA.1338/97)

Smt. C. Bala Mani. (1345/97)

Smt. L. Nagamani (OA.1346/97)

Smt. E. Parvathi (OA. 1316/97)

Smt. K. Yasoda (1317/97)

Smt. Parveen (1363/97)

Sk. Ansar Begum (1364/97)

Smt. B. Gopamma (1300/97)

Smt. S. Umadevi (1517/97)

Smt. G. Suseelamma (1204/97)

A. Alice Mary & Smt. Ghousia (MA.959/97 in OASR. 3219/97)
Y. Ganga Bhavani.

P. Lakshmi Devi.

K. Yasoddamma.

D. Bibi.

D. Bujamma.

N. Kasturbai.

B. Malleeswari (MA. 956/97 in OASR. 3231/97).



...Applicants.

and

- A. 1. Telecom District Manager
Nalgonda (1st Respondent) (OA 1336/97)
2. Telecom Dist. Manager, Ongole. (1337/97)
3. Telecom Dist. Manager, Nalgonda (1338/97)
4. Telecom Dist. Manager, Nizamabad (1345/97)
5. General Manager, Hyderabad Telecom Dist. Hyderabad (1346/97)
6. Telecom Dist. Manager, Ongole. (1316/97)
7. Telecom Dist. Manager, Ongole (1317/97)
8. Telecom Dist. Manager, Khammam (1363/97)
9. Telecom Dist. Manager, Nalgonda (1300/97)
10. Telecom Dist. Manager, Ongole (1204/97)
11. Telecom Dist. Manager, Nalgonda (MA 959/97).
12. Telecom Dist. Manager, Ongole (M.A.956/97).

(A.1 to 12 all respondent 1 in the respective cases.

To this argument, the learned counsel for the applicants responds as under:

- i. The judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in UOI Vs. G.Vasudevan Pillay (1995(1)SCALE 9) would not be applicable to the present case inasmuch as it dealt with the case of Ex-Servicemen who are re-employed whereas in all these cases the applicants have not been re-employed but appointed on compassionate grounds;
- ii. The re-employment of Ex-Servicemen, grant of family pension and appointments on compassionate ground are governed by different set of rules, and no direction issued in one would automatically apply to others unless a specific provision is contained in the relevant rules;
- iii) Rule 55A of CCS Pension Rules specifically refers to Dearness Relief on pension/family pension, which would indicate that this rule is applicable only to pensioners and family pensioners. Nowhere in the rule does one find any mention of dependants/wards of the deceased officials or family pensioners who were appointed on compassionate grounds on the demise of the Government servant, or of family pensioners per se; and
- iv. No specific orders have been cited by the respondents under which the dearness relief earlier paid to the family pensioners has since been withdrawn. No details of any Government decision, or any order embodying this decision, has been cited.

3. A Batch of cases (OA.306/94 and 81 other OAs) disposed of by this Bench examined specifically the very same issues that are involved in the present OA by dismissing the claims of the applicants therein. It is unnecessary to retraverse the whole gamut of the arguments advanced by the applicant now in this OA since these are more than adequately covered and dealt with in the said judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court. The Judgments OA. 1116/93, 303/94 as well as by Madras Bench (ATR 1992 (2) CAT 75) dated 13-1-1992 pre-dated the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. It is, therefore, no longer possible to reopen the same issues which have attained finality with the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

21

OA.1336/97 & Batch cases (OA.1337/97, 1338/97, 1345/97, 1346/97
1316/97, 1317/97, 1363/97, 1364/97, 1300/97, 1516/97, 1517/97
1204/97 and MA.959/97 in OASR.3219/97 & MA.956/97 in
OASR.3231/97).

JUDGMENT

(Order per Hon'ble Mr. H. Rajendra Prasad, Member (Admn.)

The applicants in these OAs were appointed on compassionate grounds on the demise of the bread-winner in their respective households who had been serving the department for a number of years. For some time thereafter they were paid Dearness Relief on family pension sanctioned to them after the death of the original employee. This was, however, subsequently stopped on the applicant's securing regular appointment in the Department. The applicants are aggrieved by this action of the authorities and pray for a declaration that they are entitled to receive Dearness Relief on family pension even subsequent to the date of their appointment on compassionate grounds. In this connection applicants seek support from a judgment rendered by this Bench in O.A.303/94 directing the authorities to sanction relief on family pension from the date they were appointed regularly on compassionate grounds. In issuing this direction, the learned Single Judge had relied on an earlier judgment rendered by a Division Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. 1116/93.

2. Mr. Vinod Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, drew my attention to a judgment in 'Union of India and others Vs. G. Vesudevan Pillay and others (1995(1)SCALE 9) wherein it was held that Ex-servicemen pensioners who were re-employed in civil posts, or were the recipients of family pension of Ex-Servicemen, were not eligible for Dearness Relief on such pensions and the decision of the Government in this regard was sustainable. The ground taken for this view was the salary paid to them on re-employment takes care of erosion in the value of money because of rise in prices which lay at the back of grant of dearness relief, as they get dearness relief on their pay, which allowance is not available to those who do not get the employment. In view of what has been held by the Apex Court in the case of re-employed Ex-Servicemen, the present applicants also have to be held as ineligible for the payment of dearness relief since the principle underlying both situations is similar.

-5-

4. In the light of the above it is held that the applicants have not made out any convincing or cogent case and that they are not entitled to the relief claimed.

Thus the OA is disallowed and disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-x x

Deputy Registrar.

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

न्यायालय अधिकारी उप रजिस्टर (न्यायिक)
Court Officer/Dy. Registrar
केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक अधिकारी
Central Administrative Tribunal
हैदराबाद बायांठ
HYDERABAD BENCH

9/1/88