

(23)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL: HYDERABAD BENCH: AT
HYDERABAD.

C.A.NO. 835/95.

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 25-07-95.

BETWEEN:

V. Krishna

.. Applicant.

AND

1. The Sub Divisional Officer,
Telecommunication, Medak.
 2. The Telecom District Engineer,
Sangareddy.
 3. The Chief General Manager, Telecommunication,
Doorsanchar Bhavan, Hyderabad.
- .. Respondents.

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT: SHRI K. Venkateswara Rao

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS: SHRI N.V. Raghava Reddy,
Sr./Addl. CGSC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO, VICE CHAIRMAN

HON'BLE SHRI R. RANGARAJAN, MEMBER (ADMN.)

O.A.No. 835/1995

Dt. of decision: 15-7-1995.

JUDGEMENT

1 As per Hon'ble Sri R. Rangarajan, Member (A)

Heard, the counsel for both the parties.

2. The applicant pleads that he was initially engaged as Casual Mazdoor under the control of the respondents w.e.f. 1-9-86 to 31-3-90 with artificial breaks for 800 days. His services were terminated w.e.f. 1-4-1990, and thereafter he was not re-engaged.

3. This O.A. has been filed praying for a declaration that the applicant is entitled for reengagement as Casual Mazdoor under the control of R-2 in terms of the instructions issued by the D.G., Telecommunications, and also as per Ir.No.TA/LC/1-2/III, dt. 21.10.91 and No.TA/RE/Rlgs./Corr. dt. 22.2.93 issued by the Chief General Manager, Telecommunication, Doorsanchar Bhavan, Hyderabad by holding that the action of the respondents in not reengaging him as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

4. As per the details given by the applicant, he was not engaged from 1-4-90. Hence, the question of condoning the breaks does not arise. As such, he is not eligible to claim seniority on the basis of his earlier service in different spells.

23

4. In view of what is stated by the applicant, it has to be presumed that he had gained some experience in the work in the Telecom Department. So, it is in the interest of the department, if he is engaged in preference to a fresher whenever work is available. So, the only relief that can be granted is to direct the 2nd respondent to re-engage the applicant as Casual Mazdoor in preference to freshers whenever there is work. If the applicant is going to be engaged in pursuance of this order, none shall be retrenched who are already in service.

5. The O.A. is ordered accordingly at the admission stage itself. No costs.

[Signature]
(R. Rangarajan)
Member (A)

[Signature]
(V. Neeladri Rao)
Vice Chairman

Dated 25-7-95

[Signature]
Deputy Registrar(J)CC

To

1. The Sub Divisional Officer, Telecommunication,
kmv Medak.
2. The Telecom Dist Engineer, Sangareddy.
3. The Chief General Manager, Telecommunication,
Doorsanchar Bhavan, Hyderabad.
4. One copy to Mr.K.Venkateswar Rao, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
5. One copy to Mr.N.V.Raghava Reddy, Addl.CGSC.CAT.Hyd.
6. One copy to Library, CAT.Hyd.
7. One spare copy.

pvm.

THPED BY

CHECKED BY

COMPARED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD.

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO
VICE CHAIRMAN

A N D

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN: (M(ADMN))

DATED 25/7 1995.

~~ORDER~~/JUDGMENT:

M.A./R.A./C.A.No.

OA.No. in

835/95

TA.No.

(W.P.)

Admitted and Interim directions
issued.

Allowed.

Disposed of with directions.

Dismissed. *at the admission stage*

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for default

Ordered/Rejected.

No. order as to costs.

No spare copy

Central