

(13)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL: HYDERABAD BENCH:
AT HYDERABAD.

O.A.NO. 582/95

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 3.5.95

BETWEEN:

JOGA VENUGOPAL

.. .. . APPLICANT

AND

1. The Chief General Manager,
Telecom, AP Circle,
Hyderabad-1.
2. The Director (Telegraph Traffic),
Telecom,
Hyderabad-1.
3. The Sr. Superintendent,
Telegraph Traffic,
Visakhapatnam Division.
4. The Telegraph Master-in-Charge,
Telegraph Office,
Andhra University,
Visakhapatnam-3.
5. The Superintendent-in-Charge,
Central Telegraph Office,
Sreekakulam.

.. .. . RESPONDENTS

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT: SHRI M.P.CHANDRAMOULI

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS: SHRI K.BHASKAR RAO
Sr./Addl.CGSC

CORAM:

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V.NEELADRI RAO, VICE CHAIRMAN

HON'BLE SHRI R.RANGARAJAN, MEMBER (ADMN.)

CONTD.....

7

(14)

O.A.NO.582/95.

JUDGMENT

Dt:3.5.95

(AS PER HON'BLE SHRI R.RANGARAJAN, MEMBER (ADMN.))

Heard SHRI R.
for the applicant and Shri K.Bhaskar Rao, learned
standing counsel for the respondents.

2. The applicant herein is a temporary ~~telegraphman~~
part-time Telegraphman. He was engaged from 25.4.1991.
This OA is filed for direction to the respondents to
continue to engage him as Telegraphmen in the office
in which he was working.
to agencies on contract basis by dis-engaging the
applicant.

3. The prayer in this OA is the same as the prayer
in OA & 412/95 decided on 30.3.1995. Hence, we see no
reason to differ from the directions already given in
OA 412/95.

5. In the result, the following direction is given:

The applicant will make representation to R-2
for his engagement as Telegraphman on hourly basis.
If such a representation is going to be made by sending
it by ~~RRR~~ Registered Post Acknowledgement Due by 15.5.95,
the same has to be disposed of by R-2. Till the said

contd....

15

.. 3 ..

representation is disposed of, the applicant has to be engaged on hourly basis as Telegraphman at the rates at which he was being paid till today, on production of a copy of this order at the earliest possible date. ~~till the representation is disposed of~~ It is needless to say that if the applicant is going to be aggrieved by the order to be passed by R-2, he is free to move this Tribunal under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

5. The OA is ordered accordingly at the adjunction stage. No costs.

(R. RANGARAJAN)
MEMBER (ADMN.)

(V. NEELADRI RAO)
VICE CHAIRMAN

DATED: 3rd May, 1995.
Open court dictation.

Deputy Registrar(J)CC

1. The Chief General Manager, Telecom, A.P. Circle, Hyderabad-1.
2. The Director (Telegraph Traffic) Telecom, Abids, Hyderabad-1.
3. The Sr. Superintendent, Telegraph Traffic, Visakhapatnam Division, Visakhapatnam-1.
4. The Telegraph Master-in-Charge, Telegraph Office, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam.
5. The Superintendent-in-Charge, Central Telegraph Office, Sreeakulam.
6. One copy to Mr. M.P. Chandramouli, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
7. One copy to Mr. K. Bhaskar Rao, Addl. CGSC. CAT.Hyd.
8. One copy to Library, CAT.Hyd.
9. One spare copy.

pvm

Received
as/s/95 at 3.40 PM
TYPED BY
COMPEARED BY

CHECKED BY
APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD.

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO
VICE- CHAIRMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN: M (ADMN)

DATED - 3-5-1995.

ORDER/JUDGMENT:

M.A./R.A./C.A.No.

O.A.No. in 587/95

T.A.No. (W.P.)

Admitted and Interim directions
issued.

Allowed.

Disposed of with directions.

Dismissed. along with OA. case

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for default.

Ordered/Rejected.

No. order as to costs.

