

(20)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH : AT HYDERABAD.

\* \* \*

O.A. 413/94.

Dt. of Decision : 8.4.1994.

G. Johnson

.. Applicant

Vs

1. Union of India Rep. by its  
Secretary, Ministry of  
Communications, Department of  
Telecommunications,  
Sanchar Bhavan,  
New Delhi - 110 001.
2. The Chief General Manager,  
Door Sanchar Bhavan, Station Road,  
Nampally, Hyderabad - 500 001.
3. The General Manager, Telecom,  
Guntur.
4. The Telecom District Engineer,  
Ongole.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer,  
Telecom, Chirala - 523 155.

.. Respondents.

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. P. Rathaiah

Counsel for the Respondents : Mr. N.R. Devaraj, Sr. CGSC.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE SHRI A.B. GORTHY : MEMBER (ADMN.)

THE HON'BLE SHRI T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY : MEMBER (JUDL.)

21

O.A.No. 413/94

Dt. of decision: 8-4-1994

Judgement

I As per the Hon'ble Sri A.B. Gorthi, Member (A) X.

The applicant who was working as a Casual Mazdoor under the Sub-Divisional Officer, Telecom, Chirala is aggrieved by the order of the respondents disengaging his services w.e.f. 1-1-94. His prayer is that he may be reengaged and considered for grant of temporary status and subsequent regularisation against a Group-D vacancy after condoning the breaks.

2. The applicant states that he was initially engaged under SDOT, Chirala as a Casual Mazdoor during Jan.1982 to Dec. 1983. Thereafter he was engaged as a Casual Mazdoor under SDOT, Chirala from May, 1990 to December, 1993. On the basis of his service as Casual Mazdoor under the respondents, he now claims that he should not have been disengaged but continued to work as Casual Mazdoor.

3. Mr.N.R. Devraj , learned standing counsel for the government stated that it would be very difficult for the department to verify the fact of the applicant having worked during 1982-83 under SDOT, Chirala. Even the documents produced by the applicant in support of his claim cannot be got verified at this belated stage.

4. Mr. P. Rathaiah , learned counsel for the applicant in support of the applicant's claim for having worked during mentioned the periods / have been annexed to the OA, the same should be duly considered by the respondents.

To

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Communications,  
Union of India, Dept. of Telecommunications.
2. ~~Sanchar Bhavan~~ The Chief General Manager, Telecom,  
Door Sanchar Bhavan, Nampally Station Road,  
Hyderabad-1.
3. The General Manager, Telecom, Guntur.
4. The Telecom District Engineer, Ongole.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Telecom, Chirala-155.
6. One copy to Mr. P. Rathaiah, Advocate, CAT. Hyd.
7. One copy to Mr. N. R. Devadas, ~~Secy~~ CGSC. CAT. Hyd.
8. One copy to Library, CAT. Hyd.
9. One copy to

pvm

23/4

(29)

5. In the application there is nothing to justify as to why the applicant kept quiet for a long period of ten years after his initial engagement under the SDOT, Chirala . If the fact of previous engagement of the applicant has got to be taken into consideration, it would upset the seniority position of the casual mazdoor working continuously at present. As the applicant himself is guilty of inaction for a long period of about ten years, we hold that it would not be proper to allow him to claim the benefit of his previous service during 1982 -83.

There is no dispute that the applicant was once again engaged under SDOT, Chirala from May, 30 1993, in view this fact, the case of the applicant deserves to be considered for fresh engagement if there is work, in preference to juniors/freshers. Consequently we dispose of this application at the admission stage itself with the following directions to the respondents.

entered in the Live'icant shall be

- (b) The applicant will be reengaged as and when there is work in preference to juniors/freshers.
- (c) The applicant's case for grant of temporary status and subsequent regularisation against Group-D post will be considered in accordance with the extant scheme/ instructions.

7. No order as to costs.

(T. Chandrasekhara Reddy)  
Member (Judl.)

(A.B. Gorthi)  
Member (Admn.)

Dated 8-4-1994  
Open Court dictation

Deputy Registrar (3)

kmv

3rd

TYPED BY

COMPILED BY

CHECKED BY *22*

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO  
VICE CHAIRMAN

AND ✓

THE HON'BLE MR. A. B. GORTHY : MEMBER (AD)

AND ✓

THE HON'BLE MR. T. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY  
MEMBER (JUDL)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN : M (ADMN)

Dated: 8-4-1994

~~ORDER~~/JUDGMENT

M.A./R.A./C.A./No.

in

O.A.No.

413/94

T.A.No.

(w.p.)

Admitted and Interim Directions  
Issued.

Allowed

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed for Default.

Rejected/Ordered.

No order as to costs.

pvm

