

(24)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH : AT HYDERABAD

OA 1543/94.

Dt. of Order: 19-12-94.

Y.P.Rajput

....Applicant

Vs.

1. The Engineer-in-Chief's
AHQ, Kashmir House, New Delhi.
2. The Station Commander,
Station HQ, New Cantonment,
Allahabad.
3. The Garrison Engineer (West),
New Cantonment, Allahabad-211 001.
4. The Area Accounts Officer (COA),
Staff Road, Secunderabad-500 002.
5. The Area Accounts Officer,
New Cantonment, Allahabad.
6. T04 Area, Visakhapatnam-7.

...Respondents

Counsel for the Applicant : Shri P.Bhaskar

Counsel for the Respondents : Shri N.R.Devare : Sr. Coun

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI V.NEELADRI RAO : VICE-CHAIRMAN
SHRI R.RANGARAJAN : MEMBER (A)

....2.

To

1. The Engineer-in-Chief's AHG, Kashmir House, New Delhi.
2. The Station Commander, Station HQ, New Cantonment, Allahabad.
3. The Garrison Engineer(West), New Cantonment, Allahabad-1.
4. The Area Accounts Officer(CDA) Staff Road, Secunderabad-3.
5. The Area Accounts Officer, New Cantonment, Allahabad.
6. The Garrison Engineer, (ND)
7. One copy 104 Area, Visakhapatnam-7.
8. One copy to Mr.N.R.Devraj, Sr.CGSC.CAT.Hyd.
9. One copy to Library, CAT.Hyd.
10. One spare copy.

OA copy enclosed

pvm

12/05/74
19/11/74

OA 1543/94.

Dt. of Order: 19-12-94.

(Order passed by Hon'ble Justice Shri V. Neeladri Rao,
Vice-Chairman)

The applicant who is working as Assistant Garrison Engineer filed this O.A. Challenging Lr.No.1600/LF/288/E18 dt.1-8-94. Vide Annexure-22 ^{whereby} the total rent due from the applicant was assessed as Rs.31,950/- for the period from 1-1-93 to 17-5-94.. Para-6 therein is as under :-

" As advised by UA Allahabad, further representation, if any, may please be addressed to Station HQ Allahabad/GE West Allahabad, for consideration. If no satisfactory result is forth-coming by 31-12-94, it is proposed to start the recovery from September, 94 pay bill onwards in 20 instalments @ Rs.1600/- pm (last month Rs.1550/- only)."


2. It is submitted ^{in regard} ^{that} the applicant had submitted his objections to the ^{same} memo to the Respondent No.6 on 23-11-1994. In view of the above material on record, the Respondents are directed not to recover the amount covered by the above letter dt.1-8-94 till the objections submitted to the Respondent No.6 by the applicant ^{are} ~~is~~ disposed-of.

3. O.A. is ordered accordingly. No order as to costs. /


(R. RANGARAJAN)
Member (A)


(V. NEELADRI RAO)
Vice-Chairman

Dt. 19th December, 1994.
Dictated in Open Court.


Deputy Registrar (CS) CC

TYPED BY

C.C. today
19/12/94
CHECKED BY

COMPARED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO
VICE-CHAIRMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN : M(ADMN)

DATED: 19/12/94

ORDER/JUDGEMTN:

M.A./R.A/C.A.No.

in

T.A.No.

(w.p.)

Admitted and Interim directions
issued.

Allowed.

Disposed of with directions.

Dismissed.

Dismissed for default.

Ordered/Rejected

No order as to costs.

Pvm

Central Administrative Tribunal
DESPATCH

22 DEC 1994

HYDERABAD BENCH