

17

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH  
AT HYDERABAD

Contempt Petition No.22/96  
IN  
Original Application No.535/94.

Dt. of Order:6-6-96.

Between:-

- |                         |                           |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.Smt.V.T.Kusuma Kumari | 12.Syed Mustaq Ali        |
| 2.Smt.K.Savitri         | 13.B.Ramuloo              |
| 4.N.Soma Sundaram       | 15.Smt.Kamala Veni        |
| 5.Smt.T.Saraswathi      | 16.Sri N.S.Ranganadha Rao |
| 6.E.E.Edwards           | 17.A.Lakshmipathi Rao     |
| 7.Mrs.Caral Rao         |                           |
| 8.Smt.S.Vicor Madison   |                           |
| 9.Mallaiah, R.          |                           |
| 10.D.Chandramowli       |                           |
| 11.M.A.Razzack          |                           |

... Applicants/  
Applicants

And

- Secretary, Ministry of Railways,  
New Delhi.
2. Sri K.Manohar Rao,  
General Manager,  
South Central Railway, Rail Nilayam,  
Secunderabad.
3. Sr.S.C.Naghpal,  
Divisional Railway Manager,  
Secunderabad Division (BG),  
South Central Railway, Sec'bad.
4. Sri C.Ramakrishna,  
Divisional Railway Manager,  
Hyderabad Division (MG),  
South Central Railway, Sec'bad.

... Respondents/  
Respondents

-- -- --

Counsel for the Petitioners : Shri P.Krishna Reddy  
Counsel for the Respondents : Shri C.V.Malla / Reddy SC for Rlys

-- -- --

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.G.CHAUDHARI : VICE-CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE SHRI H.RAJENDRA PRASAD : MEMBER (A)

-- -- --

18

- 2 -

(Orders per Hon'ble Justice Shri M.G. Chaudhari,  
Vice-Chairman).

-- -- --

Sri P. Krishna Reddy for the petitioner, and  
Reddy,  
Shri C.V. Malla /, standing counsel for the Respondents. By  
order dt. 29-4-94 certain reliefs were granted to the appli-  
cant and respondents were to comply with the directions  
given in the order within a period of four months. The  
Respondents however carried the matter to the Supreme  
Court by filing Special Leave Petition No. 19285/95 which  
have not complied with the Original Order. We see no  
justification for the respondents to avoid complying with  
the original order expeditiously. In the circumstances  
we direct the respondents to comply with the original direc-  
tions within a period of two months from the date of receipt  
of a copy of this order. <sup>In default</sup> ~~Inadvert~~, the applicants will be  
at liberty to adopt such legal remedies as they are advised  
including <sup>applying</sup> ~~leaving~~ for action in contempt.

2. The Contempt petition is dismissed in terms of  
above order.

(H. RAJENDRA PRASAD)  
Member (A)

(M.G. CHAUDHARI)  
Vice-Chairman

Dated: 6th June, 1996.  
Dictated in Open Court.

avl/

By Registrar (Tina)