

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

C.P. 112/96 in O.A.257/94.

Date of Order: 28-11-96.

Between:

V.Ramulu,

.. Applicant.

and

1. Sri Goutham, Superintending Engineer(Civil)
Hyderabad Central Circle Division No.I
~~Hyderabad~~ C.P.W.D. Nirman Bhavan,
Hyderabad.
2. Sri D.Balachander, Executive Engineer(Civil)
Division No.1 Hyderabad Central Circle,
C.P.W.D.Nirman Bhavan, Koti, Hyderabad.
3. Sri R.Radha Krishna Murthy (Civil)
Asst.Engineer, Hyderabad Central Sub Division I/4,
CPWD, CNPA Campus, Shivrampally, Hyderabad.

.. Respondents.

For the Applicant: Mr.P.B.Vijayakumar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr.N.V.Raghava Reddy, Addl.CGSC.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.G.CHAUDHARI : VICE-CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE MR.H.RAJENDRA PRASAD : MEMBER(ADMN)

The Tribunal made the following Order:-

Heard Sri Durga Rao for Sri P.B.Vijayakumar,

Heard Sri W.Satyaharayana for Sri N.V.Raghava Reddy, for the respondents.

Although counsel for the respondents appeared on 14-11-96 even today it is stated on behalf of the Standing counsel for the respondents that he is seeking instructions. The original order was very simple in nature and compliance was not difficult. Between February and November the respondents have had ample time to inform this Tribunal as to whether they had complied with the orders or not. Merely asking for adjournment is of no purpose. Had instructions been conveyed by this time, we may have been able to dispose of the C.P itself. We are, however, constrained to issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why action in contempt may not be commenced against them for non-compliance of the original order. Returnable on 26-12-1996. It is made clear that in the meantime the order may be complied with if it has not already been complied with.

Arthi
Deputy Registrar(C)CC