

(1) 16

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

O.A. 204/93.

Dt. of Decision : 10.6.94.

Mr. N. Rama Krishna

.. Applicant

Vs

1. The Railway Board, rep. by its Chairman, Rail Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The General Manager, SC Rly, Secunderabad.
3. The Chief Personnel officer, SC Rly, Secunderabad.
4. The Superintendent (Printing and Stationary) SC Rly, Secunderabad.
5. Mohd. Shamsuddin, H.S.I., Fabrication Section, Ticket Printing Press, S C Rly, Sec'bad.
6. John Ramadas, H.S.I. Fabrication Section, Ticket Printing Press, S C Rly, Sec'bad.
7. Sattaiah Laxmaiah, H.S.II, Fabrication Section, Ticket Printing Press, SC Rly, Sec'bad.



.. Respondents.

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. G. Rama Rao

Counsel for the Respondents : Mr. D. Gopal Rao, Addl. CGSC.

CGRAM:

THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO : VICE CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE SHRI R. RANGARAJAN : MEMBER (ADMN.)

Copy to:-

1. The Railway Board, rep. by its Chairman, Rail Bhavan, New Delhi.
 2. The General Manager, SC Rlys, Secunderabad.
 3. The Chief Personnel Officer, SC Rlys, Secunderabad.
 4. The Superintendent (Printing and Stationary) S.C. Rlys, Sec'bad.
 5. Mohd. Shamsuddin, H.O., Fabrication Section, Ticket Printing Press, S.C. Rlys, Sec'bad.
 6. John Ramadas, H.O., Fabrication Section, Ticket Printing Press, S.C. Rlys, Secunderabad.
 7. Sattaiiah Lakmaiah, H.O., Fabrication Section, Ticket Printing Press, S.C. Rlys, Secunderabad.
 8. One copy to Sri Ghanta Rama Rao Advocate, H.I.G. 1, Block-5, Flat-10, Baghlingampalli, Hyderabad-44.
 9. One copy to Library
 10. One spare.
 11. One copy to Mr. D. Gopal Rao, Secy. to Govt. of Hyderabad.
- kku.

Case Number...	CA 200/93
Date of Judgement...	10/6/94
Copy made ready on...	6/12/94
Section Officer (I)	

5. When the same had come up for consideration in OA No. 750/88 on the file of this Bench, it was not adverted to as it was not found necessary for disposal of the said OA as can be seen from the order dated 28-2-90 in the said OA.

6. As to whether highly skilled ¹ employee in the 4 sub-sections referred to can be treated as one seniority unit or * separate seniority units for the purpose of promotion to the post of chargeman is a policy matter. It is for the Respondents 2 & 3 to take a decision regarding this if such a decision is not taken by Respondent 1. The contention that if different views are going to be taken in regard to the same from time to time, ~~the contention that~~ the same has to be held as arbitrary cannot be held as untenable. // So in these circumstances, it is just and proper to direct the Respondents 2 & 3 to take a decision as to whether highly skilled ¹ category employees in the 4 sub-sections referred to should be treated as separate or single seniority unit for the purpose of consideration for promotion to the post of chargeman and till such a decision is taken, promotions to the post of chargeman should not be made, and after taking such a decision, the ~~in the highly skilled category~~ seniority list, should be prepared.

7. The OA is ordered accordingly. No costs.

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Date.....

Court Officer

Central Administrative Tribunal

Held at Bench

11/2/94

Green

6

5

To

1. The Chairman, Railway Board,
Railbhavan, New Delhi.
2. The General Manager, S.C.Rly, Secunderabad.
3. The Chief Personnel Officer, S.C.Rly. Secunderabad.
4. The Chief Personnel Officer, S.C.Rly, Secunderabad.
5. The Superintendent of Printing and Stationery)
S.C.Rly, Secunderabad.
6. One copy to Mr.G.Rama Rao, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
7. One copy to Mr. D.Gopal Rao, SC for Rlys, CAT.Hyd.
8. One copy to Library, CAT.Hyd.
9. One spare copy.

pvm

OA 204/93
29.7.94 2.3.8 PM
20.8.94
J. D. S. S.

20
Dt. 29/7/94.

O R D E R

I AS PER HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI V. NEELADRI RAO,
VICE-CHAIRMAN I



Heard Shri G. Rama Rao, learned counsel
for the applicant and also Shri D. Gopala Rao,
learned standing counsel for the Respondents.

2. This OA, ^{which} was disposed by order dated 10-6-94
is again listed to-day on the basis of the letter
filed by the applicants. It is stated that it
is necessary for the Respondents to decide as to
whether all the categories including Highly skilled I
have to be in one seniority unit or a separate ^{category}
seniority unit. Hence the last sentence in Para 6
of the order dated 10-6-94 in OA 204/93 has to be
modified as follows:

" The words 'in the before, the expression
Highly skilled category have to be deleted and
substituted by the following:

"in regard to all the categories upto
and including"

The word 'categories' after the category
in the last sentence of para 6 of the order
referred to has to be deleted."

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

Date..... 11/11/94

Court Officer 76-574
Central Administrative Tribunal
Hyderabad Bench
Hyderabad

Green